Isolation, diversity, and biotechnological potential of rhizo- and endophytic bacteria associated with mangrove plants from Saudi Arabia
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ABSTRACT. Marine bacteria have been exceptional sources of halotolerant enzymes since decades. The aim of the present study was to isolate bacteria producing hydrolytic enzymes from seven different mangroves collected from the coastal area of Thuwal, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, and to further screen them for other enzymatic and antifungal activities. We have isolated 46 different rhizo- and endophytic bacteria from the soil, roots, and leaves of the mangroves using different enzymatic media. These bacterial strains were capable of producing industrially important enzymes (cellulase, protease, lipase, and amylase). The bacteria were screened further for antagonistic activity against fungal pathogens. Finally, these bacterial strains were identified on the basis of the 16S rDNA sequence. Taxonomic and phylogenetic analysis
revealed 95.9-100% sequence identity to type strains of related species. The dominant phylum was Gammaproteobacteria (γ-Proteobacteria), which comprised 10 different genera - Erwinia, Vibrio, Psychrobacter, Aidingimonas, Marinobacter, Chromohalobacter, Halomonas, Microbulbifer, and Alteromonas. Firmicutes was the second dominant phylum, which contained only the genus Bacillus. Similarly, only Isoptericola belonged to Actinobacteria. Further these enzyme-producing bacteria were tested for the production of other enzymes. Most of the active strains showed cellulytic and lipolytic activities. Several were also active against fungal pathogens. Our results demonstrated that the mangroves represent an important source of potentially active bacteria producing enzymes and antifungal metabolites (bioactive products). These bacteria are a source of novel halophilic enzymes and antibiotics that can find industrial and medicinal use.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Isolation of novel bioactive molecules from the diverse marine ecosystem has rendered marine microbiology as one of the most interesting modern fields of research. Although there is extraordinary biodiversity in the terrestrial environment, the greatest biodiversity occurs in the marine ecosystems (Donia and Hamann, 2003). The ocean occupies more than 70% of the total surface of the earth and is the habitat of myriad microorganisms (Wang et al., 2016). Such ecosystems thrive under special conditions, such as low temperature, high salinity, high pressure, and low light, and are an exciting area of research for marine microbiologists. Owing to the high adaptability towards extreme and complex environmental conditions of temperature, pressure, and pH, marine extremophiles are also popular research objects (Zhang and Kim, 2010). Particularly, rhizohytic and endophytic bacteria isolated from these conditions are a major source of novel enzymes and other metabolites, and some of them have already been used as food additives or potential drugs (Rahman et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2011; Martins et al., 2014).

Mangroves are halophytes inhabiting intertidal areas of the sea and can tolerate salinity, anaerobic conditions, tides, and high temperature. Under these stressful environmental conditions, mangroves are able to produce different kinds of active metabolites with diverse biological functions. Until now, more than 200 active metabolites have been isolated from mangroves and their associated organisms (Bandaranayake, 2002). Both rhizo- and endophytic bacteria play important roles in host plant survival, for example, by colonizing internal plant tissues (for the endophytes) and promoting plant growth and productivity (Lodewyckx et al., 2002; Berg et al., 2014). These endophytic bacteria have been isolated from different plants including citrus, maize, strawberries, and others (Araújo et al., 2000, 2001; Dias et al., 2009). However, the marine endophytes offer a new area of research for the identification and production of new compounds and enzymes of commercial value.

Microbial enzymes are routinely used in several industries, especially because they are economical, environment-friendly, pose no ethical concerns, and can be identified easily by screening microorganisms from various environmental conditions (Hoondal et al., 2002; Dalvi
et al., 2007). Endophytic bacteria produce industrially important enzymes such as amylases, lipases, agurases, cellulases, and proteases (Lodewyckx et al., 2002). Further, microorganisms from mangrove ecosystems are a rich source of industrially important enzymes and antibiotics (Thatoi et al., 2013).

The production of extracellular enzymes from marine endophytic bacteria is limited and requires investigation (Martinez et al., 1996; Indarmawan et al., 2016). A previous study reported the isolation and identification of important enzymes, such as amylase, esterase, cellulose, and protease from endophytes of mangroves (Castro et al., 2014). Further, production of exo- and endoglucanases have been reported in different groups of bacteria isolated from mangrove sediments (Soares Júnior et al., 2013). In a recent study, cellulase-producing bacterial strains of genus Bacillus and Brucella were isolated from mangrove soil (Behera et al., 2016). Despite their biotechnological importance, little is known about bacterial communities of mangroves. Therefore, the present study aimed to isolate and screen industrially important bacteria from mangrove plants. We isolated 46 enzyme-producing rhizophytic and endophytic bacteria from seven different mangroves growing in a coastal area of Thuwal, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. Furthermore, these enzyme-producing bacteria were characterized for additional enzyme production and antifungal activity.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Sample collection and isolation of bacteria

Plant specimens were collected from the coastal area of Thuwal, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia (22°15'54"N, 39°6'44"E). All the plant specimens were placed in a sterile bag after collection and transferred to the laboratory for bacterial isolation. We used soil, roots, and leaves of the plants for the isolation of enzyme-producing bacteria. For the isolation of bacteria from the adhering soil, we dipped the roots in sterile distilled water and made serial dilutions (10⁻³, 10⁻⁴, and 10⁻⁵) in autoclaved filtered sea water (AFS). The dilutions were then spread on four different enzymatic media (mentioned below) for isolating enzyme-producing bacteria. One-tenth strength R2A (1/10 R2A) medium with agar (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI, USA) was added separately to each substrate, namely, 1% carboxymethylcellulose (CMC), 1% skim milk, 1% tributyrin, and 1% starch for the isolation of cellulase, protease, lipase, and amylase-producing bacteria, respectively. The roots and leaves were also used for the isolation of bacteria after sterilization following a procedure described previously (Bibi et al., 2012). Cycloheximide (50 μg/mL) was added to the medium to avoid contamination. The plates were incubated at 26°C for almost 1 week and enzyme activities were monitored. To detect cellulase activity, the plates were flooded with a solution of 0.1% Congo red and incubated on an orbital shaker for 15 min and washed with 1 M NaCl (Hendricks et al., 1995). The positive activity was detected as a halozone around bacterial colonies on CMC agar. Skim milk ½ R2A agar plates were used for the isolation of bacteria producing proteases, which formed a clear zone on skim milk agar plates. On tributyrin ½ R2A agar plates, clear zones were detected around bacteria after hydrolysis of tributyrin. Amylase-producing bacteria showed starch hydrolysis as a clear zone on starch ½ R2A agar plates (Kumar et al., 2012). The bacteria positive for the production of any enzyme were further evaluated for other enzymatic activities. All the bacterial strains were further sub-cultured and stored as 15% (v/v) glycerol stock of media at -70°C.
Screening of antifungal activity

The antifungal potential of all hydrolase-producing bacteria isolated from the soil, roots, and leaves of the mangroves were determined. We used four different tests for fungal pathogens. Phytophthora capsici and Pythium ultimum were present in our laboratory, whereas Alternaria mali (KCTC 6972) and Fusarium moniliforme (KCTC 6149) were obtained from the Korean Collection for Type Cultures (KCTC). The antagonistic activity against fungal pathogens was conducted using a previously described method (Bibi et al., 2012). All the strains were checked twice for antagonistic activity. The antagonistic activity was then evaluated by measuring the inhibition zone of the fungal mycelia around the bacterial colony.

Extraction of bacterial DNA and 16S rDNA sequencing

The isolated bacteria were further used for genomic DNA extraction using a GeneJET Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, USA). Briefly, a loop full of bacteria from overnight grown culture on R2A agar was used for the isolation of 5-10 µg DNA. 16S rDNA sequencing was performed to identify the bacterial strains. The 16S rDNA fragment was amplified using bacterial universal primers 27F (5'-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3') and 1492R (5'-GTTACCTTGTGACTT3'). The amplifications were performed as described previously (Bibi et al., 2012). The PCR products were purified using a GeneJET PCR Purification Kit (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, USA) and stored at 4°C until they were sequenced commercially by Macrogen (Seoul, Korea). The bacteria were identified by performing a BLAST analysis with the 16S rDNA sequences using the EzTaxon server (http://eztaxon-e.ezbiocloud.net/) (Kim et al., 2012). The 16S rDNA sequences of related type strains were obtained from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) to determine the phylogenetic placement of the enzymatic bacteria and related type strains. For the phylogenetic analysis, CLUSTALX (Thompson et al., 1997) multiple alignments of the sequences were performed and the BioEdit software (Hall, 1999) was used to edit the gaps. The neighbor-joining method in the MEGA6 program with bootstrap values based on 1,000 replicates was used for construction of the phylogenetic tree (Tamura et al., 2013).

Nucleotide sequence numbers

All the nucleotide sequences of the bacterial strains have been deposited in the GenBank database under accession Nos. KY034369-KY034414.

RESULTS

Isolation of rhizophytic and endophytic enzyme-producing bacteria

Seven different mangroves, Salsola imbricata, Avicennia germinans, Avicennia marina, Halopeplis perfoliata, Halocnemum strobilaceum, Zygophyllum qatarense, and Cyperus conglomeratus, were used for the isolation of the rhizophytic and endophytic bacteria. Soil attached with plant roots, and leaf tissue samples were used for bacterial isolation. We used 1/10 R2A media supplemented with a 1% substrate as different enzymatic media for the isolation of enzyme-producing bacteria. Forty-six morphologically distinct bacterial colonies
showing activity on media were isolated from the rhizosphere and endosphere of mangroves. Most bacterial strains (28; 60.8%) were isolated from the endosphere of plants, whereas enzyme-producing rhizobacteria were comparatively scarce (18; 39.2%) on enzymatic test media. We identified 14 (endo, 7; rhizo, 7; 30.4%) cellulolytic bacteria, 4 (endo, 2; rhizo, 2; 8.7%) protease producers, 19 (endo, 6; rhizo, 13; 41.3%) lipolytic bacteria, and 9 (endo, 3; rhizo, 6; 19.6%) amylase-positive bacteria (Table 1). Most endophytes isolated from mangroves showed lipolytic activity (13, 68.4% bacteria were positive). In contrast, most rhizobacteria isolated from mangroves (66.7%) were able to produce amylase, whereas equal numbers of rhizoplastic and endophytic bacteria were identified to be protease and cellulase positive. All the enzymatic bacteria were further screened for the production of other enzymes. such as bacteria positive for cellulase production were tested for lipase, protease and amylase activities and vice versa. Twenty-three (50%) bacteria were negative for further enzyme production. In the remaining 50%, only 8 (17.4%) bacteria, namely, EA154, EA156, EA157, EA160, EA161, EA171, EA177, and EA179 were able to produce two more hydrolytic enzymes, whereas the other 15 (32.6%) bacteria were positive for the production of only one enzyme (Table 1). The endophytic strains producing high amounts of protease and amylase were EA157, EA161, and EA171 (+++, clear zone diameter between 11 to 12 mm). The rhizobacterial strains, EA154, EA156, and EA179, also showed strong enzymatic activities.

**Screening of enzymatic bacteria against fungal pathogens**

All the bacterial strains were further screened for antifungal activity against pathogenic fungi, *P. capsici*, *Py. ultimum*, *A. malli*, and *F. oxysporum* in an *in vitro* assay. Of the 46 bacteria tested, 26 (56.5%) exhibited inhibitory activity against the oomycetes of *P. capsici* (Table 2), whereas 28 (60.8%) bacterial strains were active against *Py. ultimum*. The antagonistic activity of these bacteria against *Py. ultimum* was significantly higher than that towards *P. capsici*. These bacterial isolates also showed activity against two other tested fungi. Sixteen (34.7%) isolates were active against *A. malli*. Among them, only 9 (19.6%) isolates showed moderate to strong activity, whereas others exhibited weak activity. Strong inhibition was detected by endophytic strain EA160 from the genus *Bacillus* (Table 2). This strain also showed antifungal activity against the other tested fungi. Only few isolates showed weak inhibition against *F. oxysporum* (N = 9; 19.6%); two isolates, EA169 and EA188, showed moderate inhibition against this fungus, whereas others showed weak inhibition (Table 2). Among these antagonistic bacteria, *Bacillus* was the dominant genus followed by species of *Microbulbifer*, *Marinobacter*, and *Halomonas*. Strong antifungal activity was observed for the endophytic strains EA154, EA159, EA160, EA174, and EA195 with a 10-20 mm diameter inhibition zone against fungal pathogens (Table 2), whereas the rest of the isolates showed weak to moderate activity (4-9 mm diameter inhibition zone).

**Identification of enzyme-producing bacteria and their phylogenetic analysis**

Both rhizophytic and endophytic enzyme-producing bacteria were identified by partially sequencing the 16S rDNA. These 46 enzymatic bacteria belong to ten different genera and were further assigned to three major classes: γ-Proteobacteria (N = 32; 69.6%), Firmicutes (N = 13; 28.3%), and Actinobacteria (N = 1; 2.1%) (Figure 1). A phylogenetic tree was constructed from the data using the neighbor-joining method (Figure 2). The 16S rDNA sequences obtained from this study and related type strain sequences retrieved from NCBI were
used to construct the phylogenetic tree. Most of the strains showed high bootstrap values with significant branching patterns (Figure 2). The bacterial strains exhibited a sequence similarity of 95.9-100%. γ-Proteobacteria was the dominant (N = 32; 69.6%) phylum among all enzyme-producing strains and included 10 different genera, namely, Microbulbifer (N = 11; 34.4%), Marinobacter (N = 6; 18.7%), Halomonas (N = 5; 14.7%), Chromohalobacter (N = 4; 12.5%), Aidingimonas (N = 2; 6.2%), Erwinia (N = 1; 3.1%), Vibrio (N = 1; 3.1%), Psychrobacter (N = 1; 3.1%), and Alteromonas (N = 1; 3.1%). Among these genera, Microbulbifer was

Table 1. Taxonomic identification and enzyme production on different enzymatic media.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain No</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Gene related type strain</th>
<th>% Identity</th>
<th>Enzymes activity on isolation media</th>
<th>Cellulase</th>
<th>Lipase</th>
<th>Amylase</th>
<th>Protease</th>
<th>Lipase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EA106</td>
<td>KY034374</td>
<td>Microbulbifer sp.</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA107</td>
<td>KY034371</td>
<td>Halomonas sp.</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA108</td>
<td>KY034372</td>
<td>Microbulbifer sp.</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA109</td>
<td>KY034373</td>
<td>Microbulbifer sp.</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA110</td>
<td>KY034375</td>
<td>Microbulbifer sp.</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Identification of strain based on 16S rDNA sequence analyses; *1Percentage similarity of strain with closely related type strain. *2Enzymatic activity of bacteria on main isolation media used for isolation. *3Production of cellulase, protease, lipase, and amylase by enzyme-producing bacteria was determined by plate assay. The activity was measured after 2-4 days incubation at 28°C by measuring the clear zone: -, negative; +, 3 mm; ++, between 5 to 7 mm; +++, between 8 to 9 mm, ++++, between 10 to 11 mm, +++++, between 12 to 16 mm.
the dominant (N = 11; 34.3%) genus. The second dominant phylum was Firmicutes, where *Bacillus* (N = 13; 28.2%) was the only genus found among all mangroves. Actinobacteria consisted of only one genus, *Isosporicola* (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antifungal activity*</th>
<th>Ascomycota No.</th>
<th>Closely related type strain</th>
<th>E. capsici</th>
<th>P. oligotropha</th>
<th>A. mali</th>
<th>P. mirabilis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Root</td>
<td>EA151</td>
<td>KY034309 <em>Bacillus</em> <em>licheniformis</em> ATCC 14580*</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EA152</td>
<td>KY034310 <em>Pseudomonas</em> aureofaciens NRC 1109*</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EA153</td>
<td>KY034311 <em>Bacillus cereus</em> NBR 101234*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EA154</td>
<td>KY034312 <em>Bacillus subtilis</em> subsp. <em>inaquosorum</em> KACC 13429*</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Ascomycota marina     | EA155          | KY034313 *Exophiala jeanselmei* CECT 71543* | -         | -             | -       | -           |
|                       | EA156          | KY034314 *Fusarium oxysporum* exSW* | -         | -             | -       | -           |

| Halophyta marina      | EA157          | KY034315 *Bacillus* *licheniformis* ATCC 14580* | ++        | ++            | ++      | +           |
|                       | EA158          | KY034316 *Pseudomonas* *alcaligenes* ATCC 17194* | ++        | ++            | +++     | ++          |
|                       | EA159          | KY034317 *Bacillus* *licheniformis* ATCC 14580* | ++        | ++            | +++     | ++          |
|                       | EA160          | KY034317 *Bacillus* *licheniformis* ATCC 14580* | ++        | ++            | +++     | ++          |

*Antagonistic activity of all enzyme-producing bacteria isolated in this study. The activity was measured 4-5 days after incubating at 28°C by measuring the clear zone of mycelial growth inhibition: -, negative; W, weak activity; +, 3 mm; ++, between 4 to 6mm; +++ between 7 to 9 mm, ++++, between 10 to 11 mm, +++++, between 12 to 16 mm, +++++, between 17 to 20 mm.

Table 2. Antifungal activity of rhizo- and endophytic bacteria isolated from mangroves against different pathogenic fungi.
Figure 1. Percentage composition of different phyla of enzyme-producing rhizo- and endophytic bacteria isolated from mangroves on the basis of 16S rDNA sequence similarity.

Figure 2. Phylogenetic placement of enzyme-producing bacteria isolated from mangroves on the basis of 16S rDNA sequence similarity with closely related type strains of other species. The phylogenetic relationships were inferred from the 16S rDNA sequence using the neighbor-joining method from distances computed with the Jukes-Cantor algorithm. Bootstrap values (1000 replicates) are shown next to the branches. GenBank accession Nos. for each sequence are shown in parentheses. Bar, 0.01; accumulated changes per nucleotide.
DISCUSSION

Marine bacteria are excellent sources of industrially useful enzymes. We conducted the present study to isolate hydrolytic enzyme-producing bacteria from mangroves using different enzymatic culture media. Both rhizo- and endophytic bacteria were isolated from the soil, roots, and leaves of the plants. Forty-six rhizophytic and endophytic bacteria exhibiting various enzymatic activities were isolated on 1/10 R2A media containing appropriate substrates (CMC, skim milk, tributyrin, and starch). The numbers of hydrolytic enzyme-producing bacteria is summarized in Table 1.

Mangroves are an excellent source of potentially important bacteria. Marine bacteria produce commercially important bio-active metabolites such as antibiotics against various pathogenic microbes and enzymes of industrial importance (Chatellier et al., 2000). The enzymes from marine bacteria are halotolerant and stable under extreme conditions and possess unique features and catalytic activities (Sellek and Chaudhuri, 1999; Gomes and Steiner, 2004). Several previous studies have reported isolation of enzyme-producing halophilic bacteria from different marine sediments, water, crystallizer ponds, and salt lakes (Sánchez-Porro et al., 2003; de Lourdes Moreno et al., 2009; Rohban et al., 2009). Among the 46 active bacteria identified in this study, 14 bacterial strains showed cellulytic activity, 2 were positive for protease, 13 for lipase activity, and six for amylase activity. All the bacteria were further screened for the production of other enzymes, and 2 cellulase positive, 6 lipase positive, 10 protease positive, and 14 amylase positive isolates showed further enzymatic activities (Table 1).

The 16S rDNA sequence was used for the identification of the enzyme-producing rhizophytic and endophytic bacteria. A phylogenetic analysis of these bacterial isolates using the 16S rDNA sequences grouped them into three phyla, namely, γ-Proteobacteria (Microbulbifer, Marinobacter, Halomonas, Chromohalobacter, Aidingimonas, Erwinia, Vibrio, Psychrobacter, and Alteromonas), Firmicutes (Bacillus), and Actinobacteria (Isoptericola) (Figure 1). More enzyme-producing endophytic bacteria were isolated from mangroves compared to rhizobacteria.

γ-Proteobacteria was the most dominant group identified in our study and included ten different genera. Marine bacteria from this group are already known for the production of different antibiotics (Radjasa et al., 2007). Previous studies have also reported production of different hydrolases from marine bacteria belonging to genera Erwinia, Vibrio, Psychrobacter marinobacter, Chromohalobacter, Halomonas, Microbulbifer, and Alteromonas (Dalmasso et al., 2015), whereas the production of enzymes by marine Aidingimonas have not been previously reported. The second dominant phylum Firmicutes comprised of Bacillus, which has been reported as a dominant genus among all the marine enzyme-producing bacteria (Divya et al., 2010). This is because Bacillus is easy to culture and can endure harsh environmental conditions. In a previous study on mangroves (Tabao and Moasalud, 2010), four different species of Bacillus were reported to produce cellulase, which is similar to the results of our study where several Bacillus spp exhibited strong enzymatic activities. Most of the Bacillus spp in our study was rhizobacteria.

The Actinobacteria identified in this study contained only one species, Isoptericola. This species was isolated from the soil surrounding the roots of the marine plant S. imbricata. Actinobacteria from the marine environment play an important role in bioremediation and production of antibiotics and enzymes. A multitude of antibiotics have been previously
isolated from marine sources, especially from Actinobacteria (Manivasagan et al., 2013). In this study, only one strain of Actinobacteria exhibited amylase activity, which was also positive for protease production when further assessed for other enzyme production. Furthermore, these bacteria were positive for other enzyme activities, especially lipase and cellulase.

Finally, we assayed the antagonistic potential of these bacteria against four different fungal pathogens. Most of the isolates were active against Py. ultimum and P. capsici, whereas few inhibited the growth of A. mali and F. moniliforme. Most of the isolates that inhibited the growth of fungal pathogens in our study were related to Bacillus. Marine bacteria from this genus are already known for their antimicrobial activity against different pathogens and synthesize different classes of antibiotics (Fan et al., 2011). In addition, marine Bacillus produces different bioactive metabolites with novel structures and modes of action, which are pivotal for treatment of various human infections (Mondol et al., 2013). Certain bacterial strains identified in this study exhibited strong enzymatic and antifungal activities. Strains EA154, EA160, and EA 161 belonging to Bacillus spp. produced hydrolytic enzymes and were antagonistic to fungal pathogens (Tables 1 and 2). The dominance of these bacteria from the genus Bacillus in mangrove plants indicates a role in plant defense against pathogens. Bacillus spp. from mangrove plants is already known for producing diverse extracellular enzymes (Dias et al., 2009; Khianngam et al., 2013). Recently, a metagenomic study from Saudi Arabia reported the identification of microbial communities in Red Sea mangrove (Avicennia marina) (Alzubaidy et al., 2016). However, it was not a functional study, unlike the present study. Another study from the same region reported the presence and antimicrobial properties of bacterial communities in Red Sea sediments (Al-Amoudi et al., 2016). In the present study, isolates of γ-Proteobacteria were prevalent and demonstrated immense biotechnological potential. Our data corroborate previous results regarding the potential of mangrove bacterial communities, especially those of genus Bacillus, which was predominant among other isolates, produced hydrolytic enzymes, and exhibited antimicrobial activity (Ando et al., 2001; Tabao and Moasalud, 2010). This is the first study in Saudi Arabia that isolated hydrolytic enzyme-producing bacteria from mangroves and screened them for antifungal activity.

In conclusion, isolation of bacteria from mangroves on enzymatic media resulted in the identification of a large number of enzyme-producing isolates with antifungal activity. These observations suggest a potential role of these bacteria in host plant defense against different pathogens. Finally, mangrove plants could be important sources of industrially and pharmaceutically useful bacteria that can be used for enzyme and antibiotic production.
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