Polymorphism of the gene eNOS G894T (Glu298Asp) in symptomatic patients with atherosclerosis
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ABSTRACT. Atherosclerotic and its cardiovascular complications are responsible for 17.5 million deaths a year, according to the World Health Organization. There is consensus that atherosclerosis involves multiple pathogenic processes initiated by endothelial dysfunction, with inflammation and vascular proliferation determining alterations in the matrix, with consequent formation of the atheromatous plaque and its clinical implications. Risk factors such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, and smoking are widely known. Currently, genotyping, which is not directly related to these factors, is not accepted to estimate the risk of cardiovascular diseases, but strong evidence indicates several polymorphic genes as factors of risk and progression.
leading to complications of the disease. Among the genes involved, eNOS (endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene), which is responsible for the production of endothelial nitric oxide (an important arterial vasodilator), when presented in polymorphic variation can determine production, malfunction, and predisposition to atherosclerosis. In the present study, we analyzed the G894T polymorphism of the eNOS gene in groups of individuals diagnosed with atherosclerosis and in a control group. We collected 200 blood samples from patients previously diagnosed with atherosclerosis and 100 samples formed the control group. The genotyping analysis for polymorphism of the eNOS gene was determined by PCR. We considered variables such as gender, smoking, smoking history, and alcohol consumption; statistical differences were found in the distribution of case and control groups (P = 0.0378) and in non-smoking patients (P = 0.0263). In the other associations, no statistically significant difference was found. In the population studied, the frequency of the heterozygous genotype (GT) was much higher than in the other populations (GG and TT) in both groups (case and control). The GG genotype showed greater susceptibility to atherosclerosis. Association of the GG genotype in non-smokers also showed greater susceptibility. Gender, alcohol consumption, smoking, and smoking history did not influence atherosclerosis.
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INTRODUCTION

The word atheroma was first quoted in studies in 1755 and initially the disease was named arteriosclerosis, or senile arteriosclerosis (Mayerl et al., 2006). A direct relationship between the state of hypercholesterolemia and the deposition of cholesterol inside the arterial wall was proposed in 1924, characterizing plaque formation prone to appear in certain places (Mayerl et al., 2006).

The immuno-inflammatory process is the main initiating factor in the atherogenesis process (Libby, 2012). Several components such as vascular, metabolic and immune system changes are involved in the development of atheroma plaques (Maiure et al., 2013). These changes occur slowly and the inflammatory mechanisms related to the onset of the disease begin even in childhood, when the formation of fatty streaks occurs (Loppnow et al., 2011). Over the years, this aggression to the vascular wall may intensify until it becomes clinically evident (Mayerl et al., 2006). These complications usually occur after 50 years and are related to partial or total obstruction of the vessel. According to the location of obstruction may occur stroke, exacerbation of chronic disease, such as peripheral arterial occlusion, mainly in the lower limbs, acute myocardial infarction, and sudden death (Herrington et al., 2016). For diagnostic evaluation of atherosclerotic disease, it is extremely important to carry out a complete anamnesis (Mayerl et al., 2006). In addition, there is a number of factors that influence the onset and evolution of the disease such as age, gender, ethnicity, religion (interference with healthy habits of life), and profession (Cronenwett and Johnston, 2014). In the presence of complaints or even in the absence of them during general health check-up, it is possible
to identify elements that indicate the presence of the disease. History of previous vascular
diseases and family history of early vascular diseases often justify evaluation of minor and/or
major invasiveness diagnostic procedures (Cronenwett and Johnston, 2014).

Physical examination includes anthropometric assessments such as weight, height,
and abdominal circumference; blood pressure measurements, comparative blood pressure
between upper limbs (arm-arm index), lower/upper limbs (ankle-brachial index), and pulse
palpation. The absence of pulse in any region is a pathognomonic factor of coronary-related
diseases (Mayerl et al., 2006). Hyper-pulsatile pressure or pulsatile abdominal mass may
indicate the presence of aneurysms, which are related to atherosclerosis, since atheromatosis
can lead to both obstruction and dilatation of the arterial wall (Fatini et al., 2005; Cronenwett
and Johnston, 2014).

Diagnostic complementation through examinations is done by laboratory tests to
investigate risk factors such as lipid profile (evaluation of total cholesterol and fractions,
triglycerides), apolipoprotein dosage, homocysteine levels, glycemic levels, and diabetes
mellitus (Fatini et al., 2005).

Atherosclerosis includes coronary disease, ischemic cerebrovascular accident, peripheral
chronic obstructive disease, aneurysms, and other complications that are responsible for the main
cause of disability and mortality in Brazil and worldwide (Buckley and Ramji, 2015). According
to the World Health Organization (2014), cardiovascular diseases account for approximately
17.5 million deaths per year (31%). In Brazil, the latest data presented by the Brazilian Institute
of Geography and Statistics (IBGE), through the Synthesis of Health Indicators, in 2010, with
data collection in 2008, show that circulatory diseases have a higher percentage of death in adults
(29.5%), while the second largest cause of deaths, neoplasia, accounts for just 15.6%.

Atherosclerosis is associated with several cofactors that interfere and precipitate its
onset and development (Buckley and Ramji, 2015). These factors can be divided into two main
categories: unmodifiable and modifiable risk factors (Buckley and Ramji, 2015). Unmodifiable
risk factors are related to primary factors and include genetic alterations that predispose people
to the disease such as dyslipidemias, systemic arterial hypertension (SAH), diabetes mellitus
(DM), hyperhomocysteinemia (HH), and factors inherent to senility such as older age (over 50
years) and gender (male) (Buckley and Ramji, 2015; Herrington et al., 2016).

Incidence, prevalence, morbidity, and increased mortality for males regarding
atherosclerosis are well established. This is explained by a complex interaction between
genetic, hormonal factors, and exposure to environmental factors that determine risk, as well
as the individualized phenotypic of the disease (Idrissi et al., 2016). Several genetic factors
are related to the disease, and more than 400 genes have been described in association with
atherosclerosis because they are linked to the regulation of endothelial function, inflammation,
metabolism of amino acids, lipids, or carbohydrates. The most common ones are CYP1A,
GSTs, ApoE, and the nitric oxide synthase (NOS) (Buckley and Ramji, 2015). A large part of
these genes related to atherosclerotic disease are polymorphic. They encode proteins involved
in the normal physiology of the endothelium and their variations in certain situations may
contribute to the molecular etiopathogenesis in cardiovascular diseases (Gaio et al., 2014).

NO is a small molecule with a weight of 30 D. Its production results from the
conversion of L-arginine to L-citrulline through the enzymatic activity of the NOS family
in endothelial cells. Its production takes place in the vascular wall after stimulation of the
agonists, acetylcholine and bradykinin, released during vascular stress by the nitric oxide
endothelial synthase isoform (eNOS) (Idrissi et al., 2016).
Among the genetic factors associated with atherosclerosis, several clinical-genetic studies have identified the polymorphism in the gene encoding eNOS as responsible for the development of atherosclerosis, with varied clinical repercussions (Idrissi et al., 2016). The gene responsible for encoding eNOS is located on the long arm of chromosome 7, region 35 to 36 (7q35®36). They present 26 exons and 25 introns, with a total of 21 kb (Gen Bank D26607), encoded by 4052 nucleotides in the mRNA, and expressed by endothelial cells from both central and peripheral vessels (Gaio et al., 2014).

A few intronic sites have been identified presenting polymorphism and they act differently in the expression of NO within the arterial endothelium (Gaio et al., 2014). An important polymorphism described in the literature is the G894T (a replacement of guanine for a thymine at position 894), or Glu298Asp (a replacement of non-essential amino acid glutamate for the amino acid aspartate at codon 298). This change results in accelerated degradation and significantly reducing NO production contributing to onset of atherosclerosis (Gaio et al., 2014).

In the process of atherogenesis, interference in risk factors seems to be the pathway for prevention or delay in the evolution of atherosclerotic diseases. Knowledge of the genetic profile may benefit more susceptible individuals with early institution of preventive and drug measures with better prognostic determination and longer survival. In the present paper, we detected the polymorphism of eNOS in patients from Goiânia regarding the SNP G894T and showed the association between the eNOS polymorphism and atherosclerotic disease. We also evaluated the polymorphism related to risk factors such as gender, smoking, and alcohol consumption in case and control groups.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

We collected peripheral blood samples with heparin from 300 patients for a case-control study at the Angiogenesis/Vascular Surgery and Cardiology Department of the Angiogyn Clinic, located in the city of Goiânia. From those, 200 patients had a previous diagnosis of atherosclerotic disease evaluated through clinical history, physical examination, and confirmed by angiography. The other 100 samples formed the control group based on clinical manifestations and non-invasive imaging diagnostic methods.

The inclusion criteria for patients with atherosclerotic disease were age above 38 years, presence of symptoms for atherosclerotic disease (precordial pain, intermittent claudication, resting lower limb pain, and/or ischemic stroke with proven carotid disease), evidence of (coronary, carotid, and/or peripheral angiography), who signed the informed consent form (ICF) and agreed to respond to a survey questionnaire. Exclusion criteria consisted of patients less than 38 years old who did not agree to sign the ICF and/or did not wish to respond to the questionnaire.

For the control group, the inclusion criteria consisted of patients with age above 38 years old and without diagnosis of atherosclerosis disease. They were evaluated by clinical history, physical examination, and/or non-invasive imaging (color Doppler) of carotid arteries, and showing no evidence of atheromatous plaque and without myointimal thickening (myointimal complex <1 mm). They also agreed to sign ICF and to answer the questionnaire. The exclusion criteria were the same for the case group.

The project was approved by the National Committees for Research Ethics and National Information System on Ethics in Research involving Human Subjects CEP/PUC GOIAG (Number 35321614.3.0000.0037).
We followed the Brazilian Medical Association’s Project and Guidelines (2013) in order to evaluate patients regarding smoking. Both the case group and the control group were classified into two distinct groups: smokers, characterized by regular users of tobacco and/or derivatives and who interrupted the habit of smoking for less than 15 years; non-smokers, characterized by patients who have never used tobacco products and who have interrupted their use for a period longer than 15 years.

In order to perform the extraction of the genomic DNA from the collected blood samples we used the Kaswi kit (Genomic DNA Purification Kit) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations at the Replicon Nucleus Research, Laboratory of the Pontifical Catholic University of Goiás. After extraction, DNA was quantified by the NanoVue™ Plus spectrophotometer, and we only used samples higher than 5 ng/µL. The DNA was stored at -20°C until amplified by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method in order to verify the eNOS polymorphism. To avoid contamination of the samples, the analysis was performed in an appropriate laminar flow according to the protocol proposed by Frare et al. (2013).

We used three pairs of primers in order to study the eNOS gene polymorphism in G894T: primer forward, normal primer reverse, and mutant primer reverse (Table 1). The presence of the band amplification means that the TT/GT/GG polymorphism is present. PCR was always performed in duplicate. The PCR product was subjected to 2% agarose gel (Figure 1) electrophoresis in an electric field of 10 V/cm and stained with ethidium bromide (5 mg/mL) and then visualized in the VDS® (Photo Documentation Video System - Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, USA).

We used the Biostat 5.0 software in order to perform the chi-square test and the G-test for the statistical analysis of the eNOS gene polymorphism. Statistical difference was considered significant for P < 0.05.

Table 1. Nucleotide sequence of the primers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>eNOS F</td>
<td>5'-AAGGCAGGAGACAGTGGATG-3'</td>
<td>196 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rn</td>
<td>5'-TGAAGGAAGTTCTGGTGCA-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm</td>
<td>5'-GAAGGAAGTTCTGGTGCA-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tajehmiri et al. (2013).
RESULTS

We analyzed 200 patients with a mean age of 61.1 years old in the case group while in the control group there were 100 patients with the mean age of 50.2 years old.

The G894T polymorphism of the eNOS gene found in the case group was 10% (20/200) GG, 76% (152/200) GT, and 14% (28/200) TT. In the control group, we found 2% (2/100) GG, 85% (85/100) GT, and 13% (13/100) TT. We found a statistical difference between the case and control groups regarding the genotypic distribution (P = 0.0378; Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>GG [N (%)]</th>
<th>GT [N (%)]</th>
<th>TT [N (%)]</th>
<th>Total [N (%)]</th>
<th>P*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 (10)</td>
<td>152 (76)</td>
<td>28 (14)</td>
<td>200 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>85 (85)</td>
<td>13 (13)</td>
<td>100 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Chi-square test. The difference between the groups for the GG genotype was 5 times higher for the case group.

The genotypic distribution regarding gender showed that males presented 7.6% (7/92) GG, 79.3% (73/92) GT, and 13.1% (12/92) TT in the case group. The control group had 1.9% (1/53) GG, 88.7% (47/53) GT, and 9.4% (5/53) TT.

The females in the case group had a genotype frequency of 12.1% (13/108) GG, 73.1% (79/108) GT, and 14.8% (16/108) TT. The control group showed 2% (1/47) GG, 81% (38/47) GT, and 17% (8/47) TT. We did not find statistical significant difference between the genotypes of the G898T polymorphism of the eNOS gene either in males (P = 0.2133) or females (P = 0.0850; Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>GG [N (%)]</th>
<th>GT [N (%)]</th>
<th>TT [N (%)]</th>
<th>Total [N (%)]</th>
<th>P**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case</td>
<td>7 (7.6)</td>
<td>73 (79.3)</td>
<td>12 (13.1)</td>
<td>92 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1 (1.9)</td>
<td>47 (88.7)</td>
<td>5 (9.4)</td>
<td>53 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case</td>
<td>13 (12.1)</td>
<td>79 (73.1)</td>
<td>16 (14.8)</td>
<td>108 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1 (2.0)</td>
<td>38 (81.0)</td>
<td>8 (17.0)</td>
<td>47 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**G-test.

We compared the distribution of individuals regarding smoking and found that 35% (70/199) of patients in the case group and 25.3% (25/99) in the control group declared to be smokers, whereas 65% (129/199) of individuals in the case group and 74.7% (74/99) in the control group reported not to smoke or discontinuing the habit of smoking for over 15 years.

The association of patients who declared to be smokers in the case group and the frequency of genotypes showed 10% (7/70) GG, 80% (56/70) GT, and 10% (7/70) TT. In the control group, this association presented a frequency of 4% (1/25) GG, 88% (22/25) GT, and 8% (2/25) TT, and no statistically significant difference was observed between groups (P = 0.5658; Table 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Smokers (&lt;15 years)</th>
<th>Total [N (%)]</th>
<th>P**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case</td>
<td>GG [N (%)]</td>
<td>GT [N (%)]</td>
<td>TT [N (%)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
<td>56 (80)</td>
<td>3 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 (8)</td>
<td>25 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**G-test.
In the evaluation of patients who declared themselves non-smokers and patients who declared to have quit smoking for more than 15 years, the frequency found in the case group was 10% (13/129) GG, 73.7% (95/129), GT and 16.3% (21/129) TT. In the control group, the frequency of non-smokers and ex-smokers was 1.3% (1/74) GG, 83.8% (62/74) GT, and 14.9% (11/74) TT. In this association of genotypes with non-smoker and former smoker over 15 years, we found a statistically significant difference (P = 0.0263; Table 5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>GG [N (%)]</th>
<th>GT [N (%)]</th>
<th>TT [N (%)]</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>P***</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case</td>
<td>13 (10)</td>
<td>95 (73.7)</td>
<td>21 (16.3)</td>
<td>129 (100)</td>
<td>0.0263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
<td>62 (83.8)</td>
<td>11 (14.9)</td>
<td>74 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**G-test.

In the case group the GG genotype was 9 times higher than in the control, indicating a non-association of smoking and the eNOS G894T polymorphism.

We analyzed the relation between quantity of smoking and the eNOS genotypes. In the case group, patients who reported smoking 5 to 10 packs of cigarettes a year had a genotype frequency of 0% (0/3) GG, 14% (6/43) GT, and 14.2% (1/7) TT. For patients who smoke from 10 to 20 packs a year, the frequency was 66.7% (2/3) GG, 32.5% (3/7) GT, and 42.9% (3/7) TT. And for individuals who declared smoking 20 or more packs of cigarettes a year, we observed a greater frequency of GT, than GG and TT, both in the case (53.5%) and in the control (23%) groups. We did not find a statistically significant difference between the case and control groups regarding the quantity of smoking (case group, P = 0.7302; control group, P = 0.0765; Table 6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Packs</th>
<th>Smokers</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GG [N (%)]</td>
<td>GT [N (%)]</td>
<td>TT [N (%)]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>1 (100.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (14.2)</td>
<td>0.7302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-20</td>
<td>2 (66.7)</td>
<td>14 (42.9)</td>
<td>3 (42.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 or more</td>
<td>1 (33.3)</td>
<td>23 (53.5)</td>
<td>3 (42.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3 (100.0)</td>
<td>43 (100.0)</td>
<td>7 (100.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GG [N (%)]</td>
<td>GT [N (%)]</td>
<td>TT [N (%)]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>1 (100.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (100.0)</td>
<td>0.0765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-20</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>10 (77.0)</td>
<td>2 (100.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 or more</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>3 (23.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1 (100.0)</td>
<td>13 (100.0)</td>
<td>2 (100.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**G-test.

In the analysis of the polymorphism in relation to the consumption of alcoholic beverage, a greater number of individuals declared themselves non-consumers both in the case group (182/200) and in the control group (80/100). The genotype that showed the highest frequency was GT, independently if the patient consumes alcohol or not. For those who consume alcohol the GT frequency was 93.7% (15/16) in case group and 85% (17/20) in control group. For the ones who declared not consumers of alcoholic beverage, the GT
frequency for the case group was 74.1% (135/182) and for the control group 85% (68/80). No statistical difference was observed between the group that consumes alcohol ($P = 0.0734$) and the group that does not consume alcohol ($P = 0.063$; Table 7).

**Table 7. Distribution of eNOS polymorphism in relation to alcohol consumption in the case and control groups.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Case [N (%)]</th>
<th>Control [N (%)]</th>
<th>$P^{**}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GG</td>
<td>1 (6.3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.0734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GT</td>
<td>15 (21.2)</td>
<td>17 (85)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>16 (100.0)</td>
<td>20 (100.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Case [N (%)]</th>
<th>Control [N (%)]</th>
<th>$P^{**}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GG</td>
<td>19 (10.5)</td>
<td>2 (2.5)</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GT</td>
<td>135 (74.1)</td>
<td>68 (85)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>28 (15.4)</td>
<td>10 (12.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>182 (100.0)</td>
<td>80 (100.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Chi-square test. **G-test.

**DISCUSSION**

Atherosclerosis is currently responsible for the highest morbidity and mortality rates in the world and in Brazil (IBGE, 2010; Saini et al., 2011; WHO, 2014). Due to the lack of justification for the excessive risk of the disease in situations of precocity of symptoms and their complications, as well as in the absence of traditional risk factors, the study of genetic susceptibility to disease became evident (Mohan et al., 1998).

Since the importance of NO in the regulatory process of vascular homeostasis, and that its levels were regulated by the eNOS gene, several polymorphisms have been studied in an attempt to correlate genetic susceptibility to several cardiovascular diseases (Saini et al., 2011).

The presence of the G894T polymorphism of the eNOS gene in relation to the NO production process is controversial. It is unclear in association studies whether the G894T polymorphism is a functional genetic variant or a marker for another functional variant of this gene or even another adjacent gene (Leeson et al., 2002).

When a case-control study of atherosclerosis is performed, a better definition of the groups is necessary for better interpretation of the results. The recognition of subclinical atherosclerotic disease is still difficult to achieve because it would require more invasive examinations, and as previously stated, lesions may occur at an early age without determining signs and symptoms. The present study sought to select patients with clear symptoms of atherosclerosis in the case group and the complete absence of clinical signs and known risk factors for the disease allied to a non-invasive examination (carotid echo Doppler) to select the control group, in an attempt to reduce bias.

The high degree of miscegenation in the Brazilian population, made up of Europeans, Indians and Africans, determined an important factor of genetic heterogeneity among Brazilians. We could not identify the ancestral roots of the patients studied in both case and control groups, only nationality and region (central-western Brazil). In our study, the presence of a greater number of heterozygous individuals (GT) was evident for both the case (78%) and the control (85%) groups. In order to compare the G894T polymorphism of the eNOS gene and cardiac insufficiency, Tardin et al. (2013) studied the population of Rio de Janeiro and he also found a higher genotypic GT frequency (48.3%) than GG (40%) and TT (11.7%).

---
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In North America, García-González et al. (2015) observed a higher frequency of the GT genotype in patients with a family history of coronary disease in Yucatan, Mexico.

Fatini et al. (2005), in a study of the Caucasian population of central Italy, when evaluating atherosclerotic disease in the carotid artery did not observe a significant difference of genotypic distribution between GT heterozygote individuals and homozygous GG individuals in the group case (43 and 42%, respectively) and in the control group (46 and 44%, respectively) (P = 0.16). A number of other studies in European populations showed an analogous genotypic distribution with a slight predominance for the GG genotype: England (N = 331, GG 47.8%, GT 42%, and TT 10.2%) (Hingorani et al., 1999). Germany (N = 190, GG 50.5%, GT 40%, and TT 9.5%) (Krex et al., 2006), Turkey (N = 150, GG 49.3%, GT 41.3%, and TT 9.3%) (Afrasyiap and Ozturk, 2004).

Studies in African populations showed a remarkable predominance of the GG genotype. Hillermann et al. (2005), in South Africa, published their results with a small sample (N = 42) showing 78.6% GG, 19% GT, and 2.4% TT. Li et al. (2004) showed in their results a genotype frequency of 70.4% GG, 23.9% GT, and 5.6% TT in a population of African-Americans.

Gad et al. (2012), in a survey of 104 cases of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) patients and 101 controls, showed a predominance of the GG genotype (58.4%) in relation to GT (33.7%) and TT (7.9%) genotypes.

Regarding studies about Asian populations, it is noteworthy to observe that beyond the predominant GG genotype, there was almost total absence of the TT genotype. Korea (N = 411, GG 97.6%, GT 19.5%, and TT 0.9%) (Moon et al., 2002), Japan (N = 513, GG 84.4%, GT 17.4, and TT 0%) (Kato et al., 1999), India (N = 105, GG 74.3%, 25.7%, and TT 0%) (Nishevitha et al., 2009).

According to the literature, several studies present conflicting results regarding the genotypic variation of the G894T polymorphism in the eNOS gene and a greater predisposition to atherosclerosis (Luo et al., 2014). In the population that we studied, it was observed that the presence of the GG genotype showed a greater predisposition to atherosclerosis since there was a statistically significant difference between the case and control groups and the GG genotype was 5 times higher in individuals in the case group than in the control group. No other studies were found in the Brazilian population that correlated the G894T polymorphism with atherosclerosis.

Luo et al. (2014) performed a meta-analysis studying the G894T polymorphism and its relation to AMI and found a significant association in a subgroup of Asians (P < 0.05), but did not find statistical significance in non-Asian groups (P > 0.05). They conclude that ethnicity has a strong relation to the definition in association with G894T X polymorphism and risk of AMI.

Kaur et al. (2015), in their studies with 120 stroke patients and 101 controls, found strong impact of the eNOS G894T polymorphism as a predisposing factor in cerebral ischemic disease in the population of northern India. However, this relationship occurred for the TT genotype (P = 0.05) and the T allele (P = 0.014). Mokretar et al. (2016) in a cohort study of Bulgarian patients presented a high percentage of T894 alleles in patients with coronary ischemia (N = 171) compared to the control group (N = 123) (P = 0.006).

Antoniades et al. (2005) in their studies with 229 patients who underwent AMI in the early age (before 55 years old) found the TT homozygous polymorphism for G894T with a significant difference, as well as Isordia-Salas et al. (2009) who described Glu298ASP as
an independent risk factor for early AMI. Spence et al. (2004) described in their studies that homozygous individuals for the TT genotypic mutation had no association with the risk of AMI. Fatini et al. (2005) evaluated Caucasian patients and found no statistical difference for the G894T polymorphism \((P = 0.16)\) as well as Andrikopoulos et al. (2008) who did not find statistical significance of the G894T polymorphism in patients with AMI.

Gender as a risk factor for atherosclerosis has already been widely studied, and it has a strong tendency towards male gender due to genetic, hormonal, and even cultural reasons (Winham, et al., 2015). However, when the relationship between the G894T polymorphism of the \(eNOS\) gene between the case and control groups with both male and female genotypes was evaluated in our study, no statistical difference was found. Sinici et al. (2009) investigated G894T polymorphism in the Turkish population and its correlation with vascular disease and also did not find a significant correlation between male and female. Gad et al. (2012) also found no statistical difference between male and female that could contribute to a greater influence on the polymorphism on the Egyptian population. Nasr et al. (2016), in a study of the \(eNOS\) G894T polymorphism as a marker of susceptibility to obesity in the Tunisian population, revealed a high frequency of male individuals with the TT genotype compared to the control group, but they did not find a significant association for altered vascular function.

In order to analyze the smoking habit variable, we divided both the case and control groups into two distinct subgroups: smokers, characterized by regular users of tobacco and/or derivatives and who interrupted the habit of smoking for less than 15 years; non-smokers, characterized by patients who have never used tobacco products and who have interrupted their use for a period longer than 15 years. This classification option was performed because data from Associação Médica Brasileira (2013) describe that the risk of an acute cardiac event is reduced by half after 1 year of smoking cessation and also it is equal to the risk of the non-smokers whose cessation is longer than 15 years.

Tobacco has more than 4000 chemical components, such as carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, ammonia, nitrogen oxide, hydrogen cyanide, and nicotine. It is considered an important vasoconstrictor, with direct deleterious action on the arterial wall by oxidative stress, leading to endothelial dysfunction (Dzida et al., 2012). Furthermore, it is associated with the undesired effect of elevation of blood pressure and heart rate. The habit of smoking has been linked as an important risk factor for atherosclerosis. Several studies have shown that the risk is to the number of cigarettes consumed and the duration of the habit (Wenger, 1997; Vogt et al., 1996). However, in our study, when we analyzed the relationship of the G894T polymorphism with the \(eNOS\) gene in individuals who declared themselves smokers, as well as regarding the quantity of smoking in both case and control groups, the influence of this risk factor was not evident in the population under study. Idrissi et al. (2016), studying the same G894T polymorphism found statistical difference in relation to smoking \((P = 0.04)\), but they did not mention the quantity of smoking of the groups.

About the subgroup of patients who stopped smoking for 15 years or longer and patients who never smoked, we observed in this present study statistical relevance for the GG genotype mainly in the group of patients with symptoms for atherosclerosis. Dzida et al. (2012) analyzed 166 Polish individuals who underwent AMI. They showed a higher percentage of non-smokers with the GG genotype (86%) than the TT + GT genotype (14%). However, it shows the occurrence of a higher frequency of the T allele for the \(eNOS\) G894T polymorphism among smokers than non-smokers \((P = 0.039)\) and concludes that smoking had an impact on the association with the G894T polymorphism.
The relationship between alcohol consumption as a risk factor of atherosclerosis is controversial. In an Italian population study conducted by Kiechl et al. (1998), they concluded that there are adverse but also beneficial effects of alcohol in relation to the disease or even in its prevention. As a protective factor it could be explained by the sporadic consumption of light drinks (alcohol consumption <50 g/dL), due to its antithrombotic effects and inhibiting the atherogenic action of high LDL-C levels. The adverse effects would be related to the high consumption of the so-called heavy drinks (alcohol consumption ≥100 g/dL).

Toda and Ayajiki (2010), in a review study, when evaluating the association of NO vascular action and alcohol consumption, observed that NO concentration was inverse to alcohol consumption. That is, low alcohol concentrations determined increased endothelial NO rates by increased activation and NOS expression. On the other hand, the presence of high alcohol concentration or chronic ingestion resulted in impairment of the endothelial function with a significant reduction in NO production.

In the present study, we evaluated the relationship between the case and control groups who reported alcohol consumption and the G894T polymorphism, and the groups that declared they did not consume alcoholic beverage and the same polymorphism. No subdivision was made in relation to the quantity and type of alcohol consumed. However, we observed that in the studied groups, individuals who declared that they did not consume alcoholic beverages were 11.3 times higher in the case group and 4 times higher in the control group.

In conclusion, we found no significant statistical difference regarding the G894T polymorphism and gender, patients who smoke or quantity of smoking and alcohol consumption. On the other hand, our results showed that for those patients who stopped smoking for longer than 15 years or those who have never smoked there was a significant difference regarding the genotype GG. Moreover, the population under study showed a higher frequency of heterozygotes (GT) for case and control groups, which may highlight the heterogeneity of the Brazilian population.
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