Management of clinically negative nodes (N0) in supraglottic laryngeal carcinoma: A systematic review
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ABSTRACT. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the treatment of clinically negative cervical lymph nodes in supraglottic carcinoma by a meta-analysis. The search words were “supraglottic carcinoma”, “cervical lymph nodes negative/cN0”, “radical neck dissection”, and “radiotherapy”. The databases included the Chinese biomedical literature database, Medline, Cochrane library, EMBASE database, journals, and theses, etc. from 1989 onwards. Using the 5-year overall survival, disease-free survival, and disease-specific survival rates, and the recurrence and distant metastasis rates as observation indexes, the proper model and method were selected after a heterogeneity test to allow combined statistic tests, sensitivity analysis, and publication bias analysis to be conducted. Four studies (807 cases) were included in the analysis. Comparisons of the 5-year overall survival, disease-free survival, and disease-specific survival rates as well as lymph node metastasis and the recurrence rate for radical neck dissection
and radiotherapy showed no significant differences. There was no advantage of radical neck dissection in supraglottic carcinoma with clinically negative cervical lymph nodes compared to radiotherapy. However, owing to the lack of a prospective study and large number of cases, selection bias and measurement bias may still exist.
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INTRODUCTION

Head and neck cancers are the sixth most common cancer worldwide. It has been estimated that a total of 53,640 new head and neck (oral cavity, pharynx, and larynx) cancer cases including 11,520 deaths were observed in the United States in 2013 (Siegel et al., 2013). In Australia, 584 cases of laryngeal cancer were reported in 2001, and it accounted for 247 deaths in the same year (Australian Institute of Health and Welfare website: http://www.aihw.gov.au/publications/can/ca01/ca01.pdf). Since laryngeal cancers account for about one-quarter of all head and neck cancers, and overall survival (OS), disease-free survival (DFS), and larynx reservation are largely determined by the initial tumor stage at the time of diagnosis (American Cancer Society, 2013), while the rate of occult metastasis of supraglottic carcinoma lymph nodes was 30% (Redaelli de Zinis et al., 1994). The supraglottic area is rich in lymphoid tissue. In addition, the laryngeal ventricle region is a transitional area of quadrangular membrane and the conus elasticus, and lacks the protection of elastic fibers, which causes invasion of the paraglottic space extremely easy. In addition, the clinical experience of neck palpation and clinical examination is limited, and auxiliary image examination is often unable to detect small lesions.

In “conventional” open transcervical surgical resection of lesions or tumors of the upper aerodigestive tract, visible mutilation often occurs with unfavorable aesthetic and functional results, in particular, failures to swallowing, breathing, and voice disorders (Arens, 2012). The choice of treatment depends on staging and histology (Tantiwongkosi et al., 2014). It has been shown that supraglottic laryngectomy is an effective approach for supraglottic carcinoma in the early stages, while radiotherapy is an accepted alternative to surgery in early stage disease, especially in elderly and multimorbid patients with pulmonary dysfunction, because of significant morbidity and postoperative functional problems after classic partial supraglottic laryngectomy (Canis et al., 2013). In N0 patients with laryngeal cancer, unilateral or bilateral elective neck dissection should be performed according to the anatomical location and histopathological specimens should be taken in the event of positive detection of metastases concluding that postoperative radiotherapy should be performed (Mutlu et al., 2014).

Traditionally, the treatment for laryngeal cancer has included total laryngectomy and radiotherapy, used either alone or in combination. There have been significant technological advances in voice rehabilitation, delivery of radiation as well as development and popularization of conservative surgical techniques (Chawla and Carney, 2009). At present, the treatment methods for clinically negative nodes (cN0) supraglottic carcinoma remain controversial. Some perform positive cervical lymphadenectomy, and some do conservative radiotherapy (Pillsbury and Clark, 1997). However, studies of effectiveness lack a large number of cases, a prospective study, and a control study. Therefore, it is necessary to perform a comprehensive analysis of the existing clinical trials to provide evidence for clinical treatment.
MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study types

The trials were randomized controlled trials or quasi-randomized controlled trials, which have been published. In the literature, there were clear censored follow-up data or survival curves.

Observation objects

All cases were confirmed by pathology or cytology for supraglottic carcinoma, and lymph node cervical metastasis was not detected on clinical examination (including clinical palpation, B ultrasound, computerized tomography, etc.) indicating cN0. The age, gender, region, and race of patients were not limited.

Intervention measures

For cN0 cervical lymph nodes in supraglottic laryngeal carcinoma, the test group underwent radical neck dissection and the control group underwent radiotherapy.

Curative effect indexes

The curative indexes were 5-year OS, 5-year disease-specific survival rate (DSS), 5-year DFS, recurrence rate, and distant metastasis rate.

Retrieval strategies

Online retrieval scope: MEDLINE, OVID, EMBASE, Cochrane laboratory, Chinese Biomedical Literature Database, etc. There was no language limitation. The retrieval time ranged from database establishment to September 2012. The language was limited to Chinese and English.

Screening and quality evaluation of literature

On reading the document title and abstract, tests that obviously did not meet the inclusion criteria were discarded. Then, the full text of the tests that might meet the inclusion criteria were read to determine whether the tests were really in accordance with the inclusion criteria. In the absence of a quality gold standard, the evaluation of non-randomized controlled trials used “non-randomized clinical trial quality evaluation criteria and the evaluation score table” of the Chinese Evidence-Based Medicine Center. Evaluations were carried out from 6 aspects that included grouping method, blind method, inclusive analysis of the studied cases, research baseline, diagnostic criteria, and control for confounding factors (Liu, 2001).

Statistical analysis

Data processing and analysis was performed using the Revman4.3 software provided by Cochrane collaboration net. Extraction of all eligible data in the literature was on the basis
of the results indexes. The Revman4.3 software can be used to judge the heterogeneity of the included studies using the P value of the heterogeneity test result shown on a Forest graph. If $\alpha = 0.05$ and $P > 0.05$, more studies were concluded to be homogeneous. The Peto method was used to calculate the combined statistics by the fixed effects model. If $P \leq 0.05$, we concluded that more similar studies were heterogeneous. We then used the Dersimonian-Laird method to calculate merged statistics using the random effect model after correction. The results indexes in this study were two categorical variables, and the odds ratio (OR) was used as the combined statistics. The Revman4.3 software could calculate the 95% confidence interval (95%CI) of the combined statistics shown in the Forest graph; when OR = 1, the test effect was invalid, and if the 95%CI contained 1, which was equivalent to $P > 0.05$, there was no statistical significance; if the upper and lower limit did not contain 1 (greater than 1 or less than 1), which was equivalent to $P < 0.05$, there was statistical significance.

RESULTS

Retrieval of results

Literature was retrieved online according to the retrieval strategy, the document title and abstract were screened in strict accordance with the inclusion and exclusion criteria, the document title and abstract were read, and the tests that obviously did not meet the inclusion criteria were excluded. Then, the full text of the tests that might meet the inclusion criteria were read to determine whether the tests were really in accordance with the inclusion criteria. A total of 4 studies were selected, in which 1 involved domestic research (Zhang, 2009) and 3 foreign research (Spriano et al., 1997; Orús et al., 2000; Sessions et al., 2005), including 807 patients. Basic conditions of each included clinic study are shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Forest plot of comparison of neck dissection vs radiotherapy. A. Disease-specific survival rate at 5 years; B. Disease-free survival rate at 5 years; C. Overall survival rate; D. Recurrence rate.
Quality evaluation of included literature

The 4 articles were all retrospective case-control studies. Therefore, we evaluated the included literatures considering the grouping method, blind method, whether all of the studied cases were included in the analysis, research baseline, diagnostic criteria, and control of confounding factors. The quality scores of each of the included studies are shown in Figure 1.

Five-year DSS rate

There were two reports about the 5-year DSS rate. In the Session’s report (Sessions et al., 2005), the DSS rate for the radical neck dissection group was 75.5% (95%CI 66.3-83.8) and the radiotherapy group was 66.1% (95%CI 53.7-76.7). The rate difference was +9.4% (95% CI = +4.6% to +23.8), while in reports by Orús et al. (2000) the DSS rates for the two groups were 78% (95%CI = 60-96) and 87% (95%CI = 79-95) respectively. The rate difference was -10.8% (95%CI = -31.0 to +4.3). The OR values and 95%CI exhibited P > 0.05, being then combined with fixed-effect model. After the combination, OR = 1.15 (95%CI = 0.64-2.07, P = 0.65) showed no significant difference. Radical neck dissection and radiotherapy showed no significant difference in the 5-year DSS rates among cN0 patients with supraglottic carcinoma (Figure 1A).

Five-year DFS rate

There were reports on the 5-year DFS rate. In the report by Spriano et al. (1997), DFS of the selective neck dissection group was 75.5% (95%CI = 66.3-83.8), and that of the radiotherapy group was 66.1% (95%CI = 53.7-76.7), while in reports by Zhang (2009), DFS of the selective neck dissection group, modified radical neck dissection group, and radiotherapy group was 73.1, 71.9, 72.2%, respectively. The two groups’ OR and 95%CI were calculated. The heterogeneity test showed P > 0.05 and combined using a fixed-effect model. After combining, OR = 1.32 (95%CI 0.72-2. 41), P = 0.37, which showed that there were no significant difference. Neck dissection groups and the radiotherapy group had no significant difference in 5-year DFS rates of cN0 patients with supraglottic carcinoma (Figure 1B).

Overall survival rate

There were two reports on the OS rate. In the reports by Orús et al. (2000) and Spriano et al. (1997), OS in the selective neck dissection group was 78% (95%CI = 60-96%), and that in the radiotherapy group was 87% (95%CI = 79-95%), while in Zhang (2009) reports, the OS rates of the selective neck dissection, modified radical neck dissection, and radiotherapy groups were 70.3, 72.5, and 72.6%. The two groups’ OR and 95%CI, heterogeneity test showed P > 0.05 and combined using a fixed-effect model, after combining, OR = 0.69, 95%CI = 0.36-1.33, and P = 0.27, which showed that there was no significance difference. Neck dissection (modified and selective) and radiotherapy showed no significant difference in 5-year DSS rate of cN0 patients with supraglottic carcinoma (Figure 1C).

Distant metastasis and recurrence

There were two reports on distant metastasis and recurrence. In the reports by Orús et
al. (2000) and Spriano et al. (1997), distant metastasis and recurrence rate in the selective neck dissection group was 12%, and that in the radiotherapy group was 7.8%, while in reports by Zhang (2009), distant metastasis and recurrence rates of the selective neck dissection group, modified radical neck dissection group, and radiotherapy groups were 26.9, 28, and 27.7%, respectively. The two groups’ OR and 95%CI were calculated. The heterogeneity test showed P > 0.05 and combined using the fixed-effect model. After combining, the OR = 1.13, 95%CI = 0.52-2.49, P = 0.75, which showed that there were no significant differences. The neck dissection (modified and selective) and radiotherapy groups showed no significant difference in 5-year DSS rates of cN0 patients with supraglottic carcinoma (Figure 1D).

DISCUSSION

A randomized controlled trial is the best method to evaluate the intervention treatment effect and to keep the study results free from bias. However, since the surgical operation characteristic itself including many human factors and high operability, peri-operation period, and postoperative treatment might also affect the outcome of surgery, randomized controlled trials of surgeons are difficult to carry out (McLeod, 1999).

A total of 4 studies were selected in this study, wherein 1 involved domestic research and 3 involved foreign researchers and included 807 patients. The studies were uneven in quality, which was not suitable for the Jadad standard. The argument intensity was not high because the non-randomized controlled trials did not adopt the blind method and the grouping method was mechanical. In addition, because of non-random grouping, bias between the groups was probable. The NRCT (non-randomized controlled trials) score standard was not unified, the evaluation of NRCT used the “NRCT quality evaluation criteria and evaluation score table” of the Chinese Evidence-Based Medicine Center. The scores of the 4 articles were not high, but the heterogeneity test showed that homogeneity between groups was better, and most of the meta-analyses used the fixed-effect model, then combined them (the value from the fixed-effect model) to calculate the OR and P values, and 95%CI.

There is still controversy about the choice of treatment methods for cN0 supraglottic laryngeal carcinoma. The treatment of cervical lymph nodes has been a particular focus of the debate. This is determined by its anatomical structure. The supraglottic tissues have a rich lymphatic network and easily cause occult metastasis. Besides, the current clinical examination method has limitations, which are the main reasons of treatment failure (Parmar et al., 1998). Ji et al. (2001) and Li et al. (2013) found that cN0 cervical lymph nodes in supraglottic carcinoma metastasis were mainly located in areas II, III, IV, which accounted for 96% of cases, and areas I and IV were rarely involved. In 1999, the Brazilian Head and Neck Cancer Group published the results of a randomized study of 132 cases of selective neck dissection vs modified radical neck dissection in the management of cN0 supraglottic and transglottic carcinomas, which showed that the two groups’ cervical lymph node metastasis rates were 4.8 and 2.5%, respectively. The statistical analysis showed no significant difference between the two groups (Brazilian Head and Neck Cancer Study Group, 1999). Selective neck dissection has significantly improved the quality of life in patients. However, in a clinical experiment comparing the treatments of N0 supraglottic carcinoma lymph nodes in 807 patients, the differences between radical neck dissection and radiotherapy were still inconclusive. This study expected to identify the best treatment method to guide clinical practice through analyzing four clinical treatment methods. The surgery outcome was always related to the
degree of neurological deficit, while the determinants of the prognosis of radiotherapy were residual tumor and tumor metastasis. Hypoxia is a common feature of tumors, and contributes to the development of tumorous aggression and metastasis, and reportedly plays a key role in radioresistance, chemoresistance, and poor prognosis (Li et al., 2012).

The limitations of this study are the poor quality of the included literature and small sample size for which the publication bias cannot be evaluated. In addition, each document measurement index was different, leading to difficulty in combined data analysis. Moreover, some articles could not be included, leading to selection bias. Because of all the different characteristics of the included literature, this article could not do a sensitivity test using stratified analysis, which might have led to a results bias.

The meta-analysis results showed that among the treatment methods for cervical lymph nodes in cN0 supraglottic laryngeal carcinoma, radical neck dissection, radiotherapy, comprehensive treatments, and clinical observation showed no significant difference in DFS, OS, lymph node metastasis, and recurrence of DSS. However, because of the small sample size and inclusion of non-randomized controlled trials, bias still existed. Furthermore, because evidence-based surgery itself has limitations, there is inadequate evidence to identify the pros and cons of various methods, and many unsolved problems still exist. Therefore, at this stage, there is an urgent need for large sample, multi-center, prospective, randomized, and controlled research.
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