Correlation between polymorphisms in the estrogen receptor α gene and coronary heart disease: A meta-analysis
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ABSTRACT. The aim of this study was to conduct a systematic evaluation the correlation between polymorphisms in the estrogen receptor α gene (ESRα) and coronary heart disease susceptibility. Case-control studies until August 2015 analyzing the correlation between the ESRα PvuII T/C polymorphism and coronary heart disease were obtained from various electronic databases (CBM, CNKI, Wanfang Data, VIP, and MEDLINE, Cochrane Library, Embase, Springer, and Ovid. The data obtained from these studies were evaluated and valid data was extracted. A meta-analysis was performed using RevMan 5.0. Eleven cases, comprising 1742 patients with coronary heart disease and 2012 controls, that conformed to the inclusion criteria set in this study were extracted. The results of our meta-analysis indicated that the C and T alleles, the TC+CC and TT genotypes, and the CC and TT+TC
genotypes did not differ significantly. The results of this meta-analysis confirmed that there was no correlation between polymorphisms in \( ESR\alpha \) and coronary heart disease susceptibility in the Chinese population.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Epidemiological and laboratory studies have confirmed that estrogen has a protective effect on the cardiovascular system (Jia et al., 2015; Vuorinen et al., 2015). Estrogen protects against atherosclerosis by influencing lipoprotein metabolism, or by directly acting on blood vessels (Aggarwal et al., 2012; Davey, 2012). Since estrogen function is mediated by the estrogen receptor (ESR), and polymorphisms in \( ESR \) are correlated with the expression and function of ESR (Kishi et al., 2009), polymorphisms in \( ESR \) may influence the effect of estrogen on atherosclerosis (Lehtimäki et al., 2002; Mansur et al., 2005). The \( PvuII, XbaI, \) and \( BstUI \) polymorphisms in \( ESR\alpha \) have been commonly observed in the population (Zhang et al., 2005). The \( PvuII \) and \( XbaI \) polymorphisms are point mutations located at the amino-terminal primary transcription functional domain of intron 1. These polymorphisms may influence the expression and function of \( ESR\alpha \). Different \( ESR\alpha \) genotypes may result in inter-individual differences in their expression and function, which could further influence the biological effect of estrogen \textit{in vivo}.

The \( PvuII \) polymorphism, which occurs at a common mutation site, is one of the most common polymorphisms in \( ESR\alpha \). However, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in \( ESR\alpha \) are considerably different in populations belonging to different ethnicities and geographical locations; therefore, the results of domestic and international studies, and even those conducted in populations from various geographic regions belonging to the same ethnic group, are quite inconsistent. Recently, Jiang et al. (2015) conducted a meta-analysis to determine the correlation between \( ESR\alpha \) polymorphisms and cardiovascular disease (CVD) and reported that the two were not associated. Therefore, the association between \( ESR\alpha \) polymorphisms and CVD remains to be elucidated. This meta-analysis attempted to clarify the correlation between the \( PvuII \) T/C polymorphism in \( ESR\alpha \) and coronary heart disease using related case-control studies. Additionally, the relationship between mutations in the estrogen receptor gene and coronary heart disease susceptibility was evaluated.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Inclusion criteria**

Case-control studies analyzing the association between the \( PvuII \) T/C polymorphism in \( ESR\alpha \) and coronary heart disease and published in Chinese or English were selected for this study. Studies were not excluded on the basis of the ethnicity of the subjects. However, similar standards of analytical methods, diagnostic criteria, and control groups were maintained among the included studies. Moreover, the genotypes of the control groups conformed to the Hardy-Weinberg (H-W) equilibrium. If more than one study was based on the same sample population or contained similar data, the study with the larger sample size was selected.
Exclusion criteria

Studies that did not focus on the PvuII locus in ESRα were excluded from this meta-analysis. Moreover, studies that adopted inappropriate statistical methods, or those that reported incomplete data, were excluded.

Document retrieval

The electronic databases CNKI, CBM, VIP, Wanfang Data, China Dissertation Database, MEDLINE, Cochrane Library, Embase, Springer, and Ovid were searched for relevant studies using the keywords “estrogen receptor”, “gene polymorphism”, “coronary heart disease”, and “ischemic cardiomyopathy”. The references cited in the included studies were also retrieved. The document retrieval process was performed until August 2015.

Quality assessment

The quality of the included case-control studies was assessed for adequacy of sample size, definition of diagnostic criteria, condition of the group match, the comparability of the control group to the patient group, conformance of the control group genotype to the H-W equilibrium, rationality of the gene detection method, and data sufficiency, according to the Oxford Critical Appraisal Skill Program (Oxford CASP, 2004).

Data was independently extracted and cross-checked by two reviewers using a unified data extraction table. The corresponding author of an included study was contacted when required (or to confirm the specific implementation process). A third independent opinion was obtained in case of disagreements between the two reviewers regarding the data extraction process.

Data analysis

The genotype distribution of the PvuII T/C polymorphism in ESRα was determined by analyzing the H-W equilibrium. This meta-analysis was performed on the RevMan 5.0 software platform. The Z-test was performed to detect heterogeneity among the included studies. A fixed-effects model was used in the absence of any heterogeneity and a random effects model was selected to analyze the merged data in case of significant, non-clinical heterogeneity among the results. Odd’s ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated using a two-tailed test; P = 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Publication bias in the included studies was evaluated by constructing funnel plots.

RESULTS

Study characteristics

The initial electronic search yielded 120 articles relevant to the study subject. Reviews, studies that did not analyze the PvuII locus in ESRα, and articles presenting incomplete data were excluded. As a result, 10 studies comprising 1742 patients with coronary heart disease and 2012 healthy controls, were included in this study (Guo et al., 2002; Huang et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2002; Zheng et al., 2002; Cheng et al., 2006; Li et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2008; Tang et al., 2008; Jin et al., 2010; Shen et al., 2012).
Quality analysis of the included studies (N = 10) showed that all studies set clear diagnostic criteria and had a sufficient sample size. Moreover, the cases and control groups were comparable, the adopted gene detection method (polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism; PCR-RFLP) was rational, and the obtained results were clear. Additionally, the genotypes of the control groups were in accordance with the H-W equilibrium in all studies. The specific genotypic distributions of the PvuII T/C polymorphism in ESRα in both cases and controls are summarized in Table 1.

### Table 1. Characteristics of included studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publications</th>
<th>Published year</th>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>Genotyping methods</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Genotypes</th>
<th>Allele</th>
<th>H-W</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Guo et al.</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Han</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
<td>Case</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>TT 7, TC 23, CC 37</td>
<td>T 69</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>20, 42, 10</td>
<td>82 62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang et al.</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Han</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
<td>Case</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>13, 54, 51</td>
<td>80 136</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>14, 41, 80</td>
<td>69 201</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zheng et al.</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Han</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
<td>Case</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>6, 30, 18</td>
<td>42 66</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>7, 35, 9</td>
<td>49 53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al.</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Han</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
<td>Case</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>101, 111, 47</td>
<td>313 205</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>69, 127, 39</td>
<td>265 205</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheng et al.</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Han</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
<td>Case</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>18, 85, 67</td>
<td>161 219</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>43, 92, 65</td>
<td>178 222</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li et al.</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Han</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
<td>Case</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>21, 36, 23</td>
<td>78 82</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>33, 88, 44</td>
<td>154 176</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xu et al.</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Han</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
<td>Case</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>82, 78, 14</td>
<td>242 106</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>92, 88, 30</td>
<td>272 148</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Results of the meta-analysis**

**Recessive model**

The results of the heterogeneity test confirmed a statistical heterogeneity among the included studies ($I^2 = 70\%, P = 0.0004$); therefore, the random effects model was adopted for meta-analysis. The results of this analysis showed that populations expressing the TC and TT genotypes of PvuII T/C polymorphism were at an equal risk of developing coronary heart disease compared to those expressing the CC genotype (OR = 1.15, 95%CI = 0.84-1.58; P = 0.37) (Figure 1).

---

**Figure 1.** Forest plot of the correlation between coronary heart disease and the estrogen receptor-α (ESRα) PvuII T/C polymorphism using recessive model (CC vs TC+TT).
**Dominant model**

The results of the heterogeneity test confirmed the presence of statistical heterogeneity among the included studies ($I^2 = 57\%, P = 0.01$); therefore, the random effects model was adopted for meta-analysis. The results of this analysis showed that populations expressing the TC and CC genotypes were at an equal risk of developing coronary heart disease as those expressing the TT genotype (OR = 0.94, 95%CI = 0.73-1.21; $P = 0.66$) (Figure 2).

![Figure 2. Forest plot of the correlation between coronary heart disease and the estrogen receptor-α (ESRα) PvuII T/C polymorphism using a dominant model (TT vs TC+CC).](image)

**Allele comparison**

The results of the heterogeneity test confirmed a statistical heterogeneity among the included studies ($I^2 = 70\%, P = 0.0004$); therefore, the random effects model was adopted for meta-analysis. The meta-analysis was performed using the C allele as the exposure factor and the T allele as the non-exposure factor. The results showed that populations expressing the C allele were at an equal risk of developing coronary heart disease as those expressing the T allele (OR = 1.07, 95%CI = 0.89-1.29; $P = 0.63$) (Figure 3).

![Figure 3. Forest plot of the correlation between coronary heart disease and the estrogen receptor-α (ESRα) PvuII T/C polymorphism (C allele vs T allele).](image)
The funnel plots of the meta-analysis of the TC + CC vs TT genotypes, TC + TT vs CC genotypes, and the C vs T alleles were symmetrical, indicating minor publication bias. The sensitivity analysis, performed by excluding individual studies, revealed no significant changes in the pooled OR, confirming that the meta-analysis was stable and reliable (Figure 4).

**DISCUSSION**

ESR is a member of the ligand-dependent transcription factor superfamily that includes the steroid hormone, thyroid hormone, vitamin D3, and retinoic acid receptors. Human ESRα is located at chromosome 6q25.1; wild-type ESRα has a ~140 kb-long full-length sequence comprising 6322 nucleotide pairs, divided into 8 exons and 7 introns. From these nucleotide pairs, 1785 encode a protein comprising 595 amino acids (Zhang et al., 2005). The interaction between estrogen and its receptor (ESR) results in a dipolymer that combines with the estrogen receptor response element and stimulates transcription of its target genes. This, in turn, regulates growth, reproduction, and differentiation in the body, as well as the functions of its target tissues and organs, including the mammary tissue, uterus, vagina, ovary, sperm, bone tissue, liver, cardiovascular system, and the nervous system. Previous studies have reported a close association between the PvuII polymorphisms in ESRα and susceptibility to several diseases.

Ten case-control studies, comprising 1742 patients with coronary heart disease and 2012 controls, were included in this meta-analysis. The results of this analysis suggested that the ESRα PvuII T/C polymorphism was not significantly correlated to coronary heart disease susceptibility.

However, previous studies have provided conflicting accounts of the correlation between the ESRα PvuII T/C polymorphism and coronary heart disease susceptibility. These differences can be attributed to differences in the genetic backgrounds of different populations, the sample sizes, standards, ages, and genders of the subjects, as well as the family history of CHD.

The included studies adopted appropriate methods for genotype analysis; however, several differences were observed among individuals. Furthermore, the process for the
verification of sequences obtained by PCR-RFLP differed in individual studies. These differences may lead to heterogeneity in the results of the meta-analysis. We could not identify any significant factors to stratify the data from the included studies; additionally, the number of studies included in this study was limited. Therefore, subgroup analysis was not performed. Therefore, future studies must exclude these confounding factors to determine the correlation between \( ESR\alpha \ Pvu\ II \ T/C \) polymorphism and coronary heart disease susceptibility.

The results of this study are subject to certain limitations that must be addressed in future studies. First, only articles published in Chinese and English were chosen for this meta-analysis, which may lead to a language bias. Additionally, the controls groups were not gender- and age-matched with the case groups in the included studies.

In conclusion, the correlation between the \( ESR\alpha \ Pvu\II \ T/C \) polymorphism and coronary heart disease susceptibility was evaluated by a meta-analysis. The results of this analysis indicated that these factors were not significantly related. Because of the inclusion of a limited number of studies (and consequently, cases), the results of this analysis must be further validated by larger-scale studies with a strict design to ensure maximum control of all confounding factors, and with homogeneous cases and controls. Additionally, the gene-gene and gene-environment interactions must be analyzed to clarify the pathogenesis of coronary heart disease.
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