Meta-analysis of IL-6 -174G/C polymorphism and psoriasis risk
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ABSTRACT. Previous studies examining the association between interleukin-6 (IL-6) -174G/C polymorphism and psoriasis risk have produced inconsistent results. The aim of this study was to offer a comprehensive review of the association between IL-6 -174G/C polymorphism and psoriasis risk through a meta-analysis. Literature search of PubMed and Embase databases was conducted to identify all eligible studies published before October 29, 2015. Four case-control studies involving 651 psoriasis cases and 552 controls were included in this meta-analysis. Data were extracted, and pooled odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated to assess the associations. Combined analysis revealed a significant association between this polymorphism and psoriasis risk under the recessive model (OR = 1.69, 95%CI = 1.12-2.55, P = 0.013 for GG vs GC + CC), and the heterozygous comparison model (OR = 1.70, 95%CI = 1.29-2.23, P < 0.001 for GG vs GC). However, no significant association was observed under the allelic model (OR = 1.37, 95%CI = 0.99-1.89, P = 0.060 for G vs C), the dominant model (OR = 1.25, 95%CI = 0.92-1.71, P = 0.152
for GG + GC vs CC), and the homozygote comparison model (OR = 1.62, 95% CI = 0.79-3.32, P = 0.186 for GG vs CC). We conclude that the IL-6 -174G/C polymorphism contributes to psoriasis risk. However, further studies should be performed to validate our results.
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INTRODUCTION

Psoriasis is a common chronic, relapsing, inflammatory skin disease that affects approximately 2% of the population worldwide (Ni and Chiu, 2014). The pathogenesis of psoriasis is far from clear. Previous studies suggest that inflammation mediated by T-lymphocytes plays a crucial role in the progression and prognosis of psoriasis (Bos and De Rie, 1999; Griffiths, 2003; Chamian and Krueger, 2004). IL-6 is a principal mediator of inflammation (Vural et al., 2010). Accumulating evidence suggests that IL-6 is implicated in the pathogenesis of psoriasis. For example, skin and serum IL-6 levels have been found to be elevated in psoriasis patients as compared with healthy controls (Neuner et al., 1991; Mizutani et al., 1997; Chamian and Krueger, 2004; Abanmi et al., 2005; Arican et al., 2005).

The human IL-6 gene, located on chromosome 7p21, spans 5 kb, and contains four introns, five exons, as well as a proximal promoter region (Bowcock et al., 1988). Approximately 50 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the promoter region of the IL-6 gene have been identified (Terry et al., 2000; Pereira et al., 2011). Among these, the most studied SNP at position -174 (IL-6 -174G/C) can modify transcriptional regulation and cytokine levels, and has an impact on inflammatory phenotypes (Fishman et al., 1998). Previous studies have shown that IL-6 -174 G/C polymorphism is associated with the development and progression of various human diseases including systemic lupus erythematosus, neuroblastoma, coronary heart disease, HIV/AIDS, and nephritis (Sobti et al., 2010; Santos et al., 2011; Yin et al., 2012; Totaro et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2014).

Several case-control studies have been conducted to investigate the association between IL-6 -174G/C polymorphism and psoriasis risk (Baran et al., 2008; Settin et al., 2009; Boca et al., 2013; Białecka et al., 2015; Torres et al., 2015). However, the results were inconsistent. Some studies found significant associations between the IL-6 -174G/C polymorphism and psoriasis, while others failed to discover such associations. These inconsistencies may be due to varying sample sizes as well as other factors. In this study, we performed a meta-analysis to increase the statistical power of all eligible case-control studies, and to obtain a precise estimate of the association between the IL-6 -174G/C polymorphism and psoriasis risk.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Literature search

Literature search for potentially relevant studies were independently performed by two authors using the PubMed and Embase databases. The following search terms were used: (“IL-6” or “interleukin-6”) and (“psoriasis” or “psoriases” or “psoriatic”) and (“polymorphism” or “SNP” or “single nucleotide polymorphism” or “variation” or “mutation”). Related reference
articles were also manually screened to identify further eligible studies. The last search was updated on October 29, 2015.

Study selection

Studies included in this meta-analysis must meet the following inclusion criteria: a) case-control studies were conducted to evaluate the association between IL-6 -174G/C polymorphism and psoriasis; b) 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for odds ratios (ORs) are available or can be calculated; c) genotype distributions in the control group satisfies the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE). If several articles contained overlapping data sets, the most recent study or the one with the largest sample size was used. Reviews, summaries, abstracts, and case reports were excluded from our meta-analysis.

Data extraction

The following data were independently collected by two authors: first author, year of publication, population, sample size, genotype and allele frequencies in psoriasis cases and controls, and P value of HWE in control subjects. Differences in opinions were resolved by discussion between authors.

Statistical analysis

The Pearson $\chi^2$ test was used to determine whether the observed genotype frequencies in the controls were in HWE (Schaid and Jacobsen, 1999). Heterogeneity was determined using the Cochran Q-statistic and the $I^2$ test (Higgins and Thompson, 2002; Zintzaras and Ioannidis, 2005). ORs with corresponding 95% CIs were calculated to assess the association between IL-6 -174G/C polymorphism and psoriasis risk in five genetic models (G allele vs A allele, GG vs GA + AA, GG + GA vs AA, GG vs AA, and GG vs GA). If $P < 0.1$ for the Q-test or $I^2 > 50\%$, which indicated between-study heterogeneity, the random-effect model was used. Otherwise, the fixed-effect model was applied. The significance of the pooled ORs was assessed using the Z-test. Begg’s funnel plots and Egger tests were used to determine publication bias, in which $P$ value $<0.10$ was considered statistically significant (Peters et al., 2006). All statistical tests were conducted using the STATA 12.0 software.

RESULTS

Characteristics of included studies

The procedures for including/excluding potential studies are presented in Figure 1. We retrieved 65 potentially relevant studies following initial search in the PubMed and EMBASE databases. In accordance with the inclusion criteria, four case-control studies with 651 psoriasis cases and 552 healthy controls were included in this meta-analysis. One study was excluded since the genotype distribution in the control group was inconsistent with the HWE (Settin et al., 2009). Two authors independently extracted information from all included studies, and a consensus was reached. The key information of the included studies is summarized in Table 1.
Figure 1. Flow diagram of study selection process.

Table 1. Key information of the four studies included.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Allele</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Allele</th>
<th>P&lt;sub&gt;HWE&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baran et al.</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>GG</td>
<td>GC</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>GG</td>
<td>0.6915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boca et al.</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>GG</td>
<td>GC</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>GG</td>
<td>0.1371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torres et al.</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>GG</td>
<td>GC</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>GG</td>
<td>0.0635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bialecka et al.</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>GG</td>
<td>GC</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>GG</td>
<td>0.4883</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P<sub>HWE</sub> P value for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE).

Meta-analysis results

Significant between-study heterogeneity was observed in three genetic models (G allele vs C allele, GG vs GC + CC, and GG vs CC), as assessed with the Q-test and the I^2 test (P < 0.1 or I^2 > 50%). Therefore, the random-effect model was used to pool the results. No between-study heterogeneity was observed in the comparison of GG + GC vs CC and GG vs GC, and the fixed-effect model was used to pool these results. Meta-analysis results identified a significant association between this polymorphism and psoriasis risk under the recessive model (OR = 1.69, 95%CI = 1.12-2.55, P = 0.013 for GG vs GC + CC), the heterozygous comparison model (OR = 1.70, 95%CI = 1.29-2.23, P < 0.001 for GG vs GC). However, no significant association was observed under the allelic model (OR = 1.37, 95%CI = 0.99-1.89, P = 0.060 for G vs C), dominant model (OR = 1.25, 95%CI = 0.92-1.71, P = 0.152 for GG + GC vs CC), and the homozygote comparison model (OR = 1.62, 95%CI = 0.79-3.32, P = 0.186 for GG vs CC) (Figures 2-6). Results are summarized in Table 2.
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Figure 2. Forest plot using the random-effect model for determining the association between psoriasis risk and the IL-6 -174G/C polymorphism in the allelic model (G allele vs C allele).

Figure 3. Forest plot using the random-effect model to determine the association between psoriasis risk and the IL-6 -174G/C polymorphism in the recessive model (GG vs GC + CC).
Figure 4. Forest plot using the fixed-effect model to determine the association between psoriasis risk and the IL-6 -174G/C polymorphism in the dominant model (GG + GC vs CC).

Figure 5. Forest plot using a random-effect model to determine the association between psoriasis risk and the IL-6 -174G/C polymorphism in the homozygote comparison model (GG vs CC).
As shown in Table 3, all P values of Begg’s funnel plots and Egger tests were >0.10, indicating that there was no significant publication bias in all genetic models.

**DISCUSSION**

The pathogenesis of psoriasis is a complex process in which both genetic and environmental factors play a role. Similarly, immunological disorders with inflammatory reactions mediated through T-lymphocytes are also relevant to psoriasis development (Bos and De Rie, 1999; Griffiths, 2003; Chamin and Krueger, 2004). IL-6 is one of the most potent pro-inflammatory cytokines, and is associated with numerous inflammatory diseases.
including psoriasis. In addition, elevated serum IL-6 level has been found in patients with psoriasis (Arican et al., 2005). Recently, several studies have tried to identify whether IL-6 -174G/C polymorphism is associated with psoriasis risk. However, the results of these studies are controversial. Meta-analysis has been recognized as a powerful statistical method that combines findings from independent studies to evaluate the effect of selected genetic polymorphism on the risk of a particular disease (Attia et al., 2003). To the best of our knowledge, no meta-analysis has been conducted to determine the association between IL-6 -174G/C polymorphism and psoriasis risk. Therefore, there is a need to conduct a meta-analysis using published data to clarify the inconsistent findings in this field of study.

In this meta-analysis, all the studies included have checked individual genotypes for quality control. The genotype distribution in the control group of each included study was consistent with HWE. Based on four case-control studies involving 651 psoriasis cases and 552 healthy controls, our study revealed a significant association between this gene polymorphism and psoriasis risk under the recessive model (OR =1.69, 95%CI = 1.12-2.55, P = 0.013 for GG vs GC + CC), and the heterozygous comparison model (OR = 1.70, 95%CI = 1.29-2.23, P < 0.001 for GG vs GC). However, no significant association was observed under the allelic model (OR = 1.37, 95%CI = 0.99-1.89, P = 0.060 for G vs C), the dominant model (OR = 1.25, 95%CI = 0.92-1.71, P = 0.152 for GG + GC vs CC), and the homozygote comparison model (OR = 1.62, 95%CI = 0.79-3.32, P = 0.186 for GG vs CC). Results showed obvious heterogeneity between studies in three genetic models (G allele vs C allele, GG vs GC + CC and GG vs CC), suggesting that the environment and ethnicity may contribute to differences in genetic backgrounds. Some limitations of our meta-analysis should be acknowledged. First, due to incomplete raw data or publication, several relevant studies were not included in our analysis. Second, psoriasis may also be modulated by several other genetic markers such as VEGF (Lee and Song, 2015) and TNF-α (Zhuang et al., 2013). Therefore, our meta-analysis showed that evaluation of potential gene-gene interactions is required to further elucidate the pathogenesis of psoriasis. Finally, due to the lack of raw data, we did not include factors such as gender, age, and clinical classification of the disease in our analysis, which may induce confounding bias. However, this meta-analysis also offered some clear advantages. First, as compared with individual studies, the sample size of our study was larger, which yielded results that were more reliable. Second, the associations between IL-6 -174G/C polymorphisms and psoriasis were evaluated under different genetic models.

In summary, this meta-analysis suggests that the IL-6 -174G/C polymorphism may be associated with psoriasis risk. As few studies are available in this field, and current evidence remains limited, large-scale and well-designed studies must be performed to further support and validate our results.
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