Genotoxic potential of leaf extracts of *Jatropha gossypiifolia* L.
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**ABSTRACT.** *Jatropha gossypiifolia* L. (Euphorbiaceae) is widely used in popular medicine. However, further toxicological studies are necessary for its reliable use. The present study aimed to evaluate the cytotoxic, genotoxic, and mutagenic effects of ethanolic and aqueous leaf extracts of *J. gossypiifolia*, using the test system *Allium cepa*. In addition, the phytochemical profile of the extracts was also obtained. Seeds of *A. cepa* were subjected to different concentrations of the two extracts (0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1, and 10 mg/mL). Distilled water was used for the negative control and methyl methanesulfonate (4 x 10^{-4} M) and trifluralin (0.84 ppm) for the positive controls. The values of mitotic index at all concentrations of ethanolic extract and at 0.1, 1, and 10 mg/mL aqueous extract showed a significant decrease. Alterations, such as chromosome adherence,
C-metaphases, chromosome bridges, nuclear buds, and micronuclei were verified in both extracts but chromosome loss indicating genotoxic activity was observed only in the ethanolic extract. Presence of micronuclei on administration of the extracts, also indicated mutagenic action at the chromosome level. In the ethanolic extract, aneugenicity seemed to be the main activity, probably as a result of the action of terpenes and/or flavonoids, whereas in the aqueous extract, clastogenic action appeared to be the principal activity, presumably as a consequence of the effect of flavonoids and/or saponins. Thus, we suggest that the extracts of this species should be used with great caution for medicinal purpose.
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**INTRODUCTION**

*Jatropha gossypiifolia* L. (Euphorbiaceae), also known as cotton-leaf physicnut, is a milky-bush used for medicinal purposes and is distributed around Latin America, the Caribbean, India, Western Africa, and Oceania (Kawanga, 2007). Its root, stem, and leaves possess cytotoxic, antitumor, antimalarial, antimicrobial, and insecticidal activities, among others (Devappa et al., 2011; Sabandar et al., 2013), whereas, its latex has bactericidal and cicatrizing effects (Oliveira et al., 2010). *J. gossypiifolia* leaves have been consumed in the form of tea (decoction or infusion) and are effective against general inflammation and eye pain, whereas the leaves *in natura* are used in the healing of wounds (Oliveira et al., 2010) and uterine colic (Paes et al., 2012). The phytochemical profile of the ethanolic extract of *J. gossypiifolia* has revealed the presence of steroids, phenols, tannins, saponins, oils, monoterpenes, diterpenes, triterpenes, and sesquiterpenes in the stem, leaves, and roots (Mariz et al., 2010; Devappa et al., 2011; Sabandar et al., 2013). However, phytochemical evaluations of aqueous extracts are still incipient, even though the plant is popularly used in the form of an infusion or decoction (Félix-Silva et al., 2014).

Despite the therapeutic advantages, the chemical substances present in the leaves of *J. gossypiifolia* could be toxic, as observed in house mice and fish (Singh and Singh, 2012), particularly due to the presence of diterpenes. Because the leaves of *J. gossypiifolia* empirically used by the population contain chemical substances that could be harmful to health, more studies regarding their toxicological potential become necessary.

Due to its reliability and concordance with other genotoxicity assays, the test system *Allium cepa* is generally employed for the preliminary evaluation of genotoxicity of compounds for medicinal purposes (Bagatini et al., 2007). In this context, the present study was undertaken to examine the cytotoxic, genotoxic, and mutagenic effects of the ethanolic and aqueous leaf extracts of *J. gossypiifolia*, at the chromosome level, using the test system *A. cepa*, and relating the obtained results to the respective phytochemical profiles.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Biological material**

Leaves of *J. gossypiifolia* were collected from an adult plant in Teresina (Northeast,
Brazil), in July 2012. Herbarium specimens containing leaves, flowers, and fruits were stored at the Herbarium Afrânio Fernandes at the State University of Piauí (UESPI, Teresina, Brazil; voucher specimen number: HAF 03111). Seeds of *A. cepa* cv. Vale Ouro IPA - 11 used in the bioassays were kindly provided by the Agronomic Institute of Pernambuco (IPA, Recife, Brazil). This paper describes experiments carried out under the standard procedures of scientific ethics.

**Preparation of leaf extracts**

Leaves of *J. gossypiifolia* were placed in an incubator at 45°-50°C, for 5 days. The dry material was macerated in liquid nitrogen until a fine powder was obtained. The ethanolic extract (EE) was prepared by adding 1 L absolute ethylic alcohol to the leaf powder (100 g), and incubating at 300 rpm, for 24 h. Subsequently, the extract was filtered and stored at 4°C. The extract was rota-evaporated and diluted with distilled water, to obtain five concentrations (0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1, and 10 mg/mL).

The aqueous extract (AE) was obtained by infusion of the leaf powder (100 g) in 1 L distilled water for 10 min, which was subsequently filtered and diluted with distilled water to the same concentrations, as EE. Both the extracts were used in the *A. cepa* assay.

**Allium cepa assay**

One hundred seeds of *A. cepa* were germinated on Petri dishes containing filter papers moistened with distilled water, at room temperature. After germination, the seeds were transferred to the five concentrations of the leaf extracts mentioned previously, with one dish for each concentration, for 24 h. Distilled water was used as a negative control (NC), whereas 4 x 10^-4 M methyl methanesulfonate having clastogenic and 0.84 ppm trifluralin having aneugenic effect (Fernandes et al., 2009) were employed as positive controls. The root tips were fixed in ethanol:acetic acid solution (3:1) and stored at -20ºC. The slides were prepared and stained with Schiff’s reagent according to Fernandes et al. (2009).

Cytotoxicity (mitotic index - MI), genotoxicity (frequency of chromosome alterations - CA), and mutagenicity at the chromosome level (frequency of micronuclei - MN) were evaluated by scoring 5000 meristematic cells (500 cells/slide; 10 slides per treatment) under a light microscope. Alterations resulting from aneugenic (interference with the fibers of the mitotic spindle) and clastogenic effects (breaks in the genetic material) denoted genotoxicity.

The presence of MN was evaluated in 5000 F₁ cells (originating from the mitotic division of meristematic cells; 500 cells/slide; 10 slides per treatment) to assess the persistence of mutagenicity of the leaf extracts at the chromosome level (Ma et al., 1995). For preparation of the slides with F₁ cells, the roots were cut at a region 1 mm above the meristematic region. The meristematic and F₁ cells were analyzed under a conventional microscope (DME, Leica Microsystems, Leica do Brasil Importação e Comércio Ltda., São Paulo, SP, Brazil) at 400X magnification.

**Phytochemical analysis of leaf extracts**

The phytochemical profile was determined by thin-layer chromatography. Different metabolites (triterpenes and steroids, monoterpenes, diterpenes and sesquiterpenes, alkaloids, coumarins, phenylpropanoid glycosides, flavonoids, cinamnic derivatives, hydrolyzable tannins, condensed tannins, anthraquinones, and saponins) were tested according to Randau et al. (2004).
Statistical analysis

The indices of cytotoxicity, genotoxicity, and mutagenicity were analyzed in a completely randomized experimental design. The data were evaluated by non-parametric test of Kruskal-Wallis, followed by a posteriori test of Student-Newman-Keuls (P < 0.05) using the BioEstat 5.3 program (Ayres et al., 2007). Only cytotoxicity data for both the extracts displayed normal distribution and homogeneity of variances, and were analyzed with the parametric test of Scott-Knott (P < 0.05) using the Assistat 7.7 program (Silva and Azevedo, 2002).

RESULTS

For cytotoxicity, the values of MI in meristematic cells at all concentrations of EE and at 0.1, 1, and 10 mg/mL of AE presented statistically significant dose-dependent decrease (Figure 1).

Evaluation of CA revealed that the increase in all indices (Tables 1 and 2) was dose-dependent and significant for the extracts when compared with the negative control, except for 0.001 and 0.01 mg/mL of EE and 0.001 mg/mL of AE, indicating a genotoxic action of both the extracts.

Table 1. Frequency of chromosome alterations in meristematic cells and of micronuclei (MN) in F1 cells of Allium cepa radicles after 24-h exposure to the ethanolic leaf extract of Jatropha gossypifolia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chromosome alteration</th>
<th>Distilled water</th>
<th>0.001</th>
<th>0.01</th>
<th>0.1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>MMS (4 x 10⁻⁴ M)</th>
<th>Trifluralin (0.84 ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chromosome adherence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distilled water</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00  ± 0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol Extract</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqueous Extract</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micronuclei</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyploidy</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleus</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micronucleus</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromosome fragment</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromosome bridge</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Frequency of chromosome alterations in meristematic cells and of micronuclei (MN) in F1 cells of Allium cepa radicles after 24-h exposure to the ethanolic leaf extract of Jatropha gossypifolia.

![Figure 1. Mitotic index values for meristematic cells of Allium cepa, observed after 24-h exposure to ethanolic and aqueous extracts of Jatropha gossypifolia at different concentrations (NC: negative control; MMS: methyl methanesulfonate, positive control; TRF: trifluralin, positive control). Scott-Knott test (P < 0.05; averages followed by the same lowercase letter were not significantly different) results refer to analysis of 5000 cells per treatment.](image-url)
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Significant of cytotoxicity and inhibition of topoisomerase II have been previously reported for diterpenes,

of flavonoids and/or saponins, as observed in

of the most toxic and cytotoxic compounds present in Jatropha (Devappa et al., 2011). The action of diterpenes on MI reduction may be due to a decrease in the (intracellular) calcium concentration,

of EE (0.001, 0.1, 1, and 10 mg/mL) and 10 mg/mL AE. Significant frequencies of MN were observed for EE (0.001, 0.1, 1 and 10 mg/mL) and 10 mg/mL AE.

Similar results were observed in case of MN in the F₁ cells (Tables 1 and 2). The significant presence of MN in meristematic, as well as, in the F₁ cells in both the extracts indicates a mutagenic effect at the chromosome level.

The phytochemical profile of EE revealed the presence of monoterpines, diterpenes, triterpenes, sesquiterpenes, steroids, and flavonoids, whereas that of AE demonstrated flavonoids and saponins.

**DISCUSSION**

Overall, both extracts AE and EE showed cytotoxicity and genotoxicity for the higher tested concentrations (0.1, 1, and 10 mg/mL), whereas the concentrations 0.001 and 0.01 mg/mL displayed cytotoxicity only in case of EE; only at a concentration of 0.01 mg/mL was AE genotoxic. On the other hand, a mutagenic effect at the chromosome level was observed at all concentrations of EE (except 0.01 mg/mL) and the highest concentration of AE (10 mg/mL).

The reduction in the MI (cytotoxicity) of A. cepa treated with EE can be attributed to the different classes of terpenes observed in the present study, particularly diterpenes, which are some of the most toxic and cytotoxic compounds present in Jatropha (Devappa et al., 2011). The action of diterpenes on MI reduction may be due to a decrease in the (intracellular) calcium concentration, inhibiting the protein kinase C, and thus reducing cell proliferation (Paes et al., 2012; Sheremet et al., 2012). The flavonoids in EE may also be associated with these adverse effects on the cells. On the other hand, in AE the reduction in MI seems to have been caused by synergism of flavonoids and/or saponins, as observed in Myristica fragrans (Akinbora et al., 2011). Studies of cytotoxicity and inhibition of topoisomerase II have been previously reported for diterpenes,
triterpenes, flavonoids, lignin (Zheng et al., 2010), and saponins (Sánchez-Burgos et al., 2013).

The probable inhibitory action on topoisomerase II by the phytochemicals of the extracts may have induced alterations in the condensation (chromosome adherence), and consequently the mitotic segregation (chromosome loss), as observed in A. cepa by Żabka et al. (2014). Chromosome adherence and C-metaphases observed in the two extracts were the result of genotoxic effects, as they represent damage to the genetic material that was not necessarily fixed in the organism (Leme and Marin-Morales, 2009). The presence of these alterations confirms the interference of J. gossypiifolia phytochemicals with the spindle fibers, characteristic of aneugenic action.

Aneugenic activities may also generate other types of cell alterations, such as multipolar anaphases, lobulated nuclei, and polyploid cells, as a consequence of spindle fiber formation errors. Lobulated nuclei, for instance is resulted from multipolar divisions (Fernandes et al., 2009). However, these alterations were not significant in any of the evaluated extracts. This indicates that the C-metaphases did not contribute to these alterations, suggesting that they dispose of a reversible mechanism (Odeigah et al., 1997).

Chromosome bridges, chromosome fragments, and part of the MN formation may be attributed to clastogenic activity (Fenech et al., 2011). However, the chromosome fragments were not significant at all the concentrations of the extracts. Bridges were significant for most of the AE concentrations, but only at 10 mg/mL for EE. Therefore, the clastogenic action in AE seems to be the result of synergistic action of flavonoids and/or saponins because terpenes do not seem to have this type of action. EE showed significant number of chromosome bridges only at concentration 10 mg/mL, possibly resulting from the action of high concentrations of flavonoids (in the absence of saponins) or terpenes.

In EE, the non-significant formation of chromosome fragments (at all concentrations) and chromosome bridges (at the four lower concentrations) indicate that the MN (also representing the mutagenic effect) observed in the case of various EE concentrations possibly did not originate from chromosome fragments, but rather from an aneugenic action involving one or more complete chromosomes not incorporated into the main nucleus during the cell cycle (Fenech et al., 2011), or else from the nuclear buds (Fernandes et al., 2009). This proposition reinforces the association of terpenes and/or flavonoids (in the absence of saponins) to the aneugenic, but not clastogenic potential. Thus, in EE aneugenicity seems to be the main activity, probably arising from the action of terpenes and/or flavonoids (in the absence of saponins). On the other hand, in AE, the clastogenic action seems to be the main activity resulting in the negative effects on the cells of A. cepa, probably as a consequence of the synergetic action of flavonoids and/or saponins.

The obtained results also showed significant frequency of MN in the F_1 cells in both extracts at concentrations 0.01 mg/mL and above. The MN in the F_1 cells may have originated from unrepaired or incompletely repaired damaged-DNA of parental cells (Ribeiro, 2003), indicating a mutagenic activity at the chromosome level, which was probably numerical. The presence of fragments was not significant in any of the two treatments.

Although, J. gossypiifolia is considered an important plant with potential for the generation of pharmacological and/or biotechnological products (Félix-Silva et al., 2014), the assays performed in this study indicated cytotoxicity, genotoxicity, and/or mutagenicity at the chromosome level for all the tested concentrations of the ethanolic leaf extract and for the concentrations 0.01 mg/mL or above, of the aqueous leaf extract of J. gossypiifolia, particularly for the three highest concentrations. This alerts us to the eventual possibility of J. gossypiifolia leaf extracts posing risk to human health, even though they possess various medicinal applications. Therefore, complementary studies
are necessary for determination, isolation, and analysis of the plant's bioactive compounds and identification of those that are potentially interesting from the therapeutic point of view and do not cause adverse effects to health.
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