Molecular phylogeny of *Lathyrus* species: insights from sequence-related amplified polymorphism markers
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ABSTRACT. Sequence-related amplified polymorphism (SRAP) markers were used to evaluate the intra- and interspecific variation among 40 *Lathyrus* genotypes (four species) (Fabaceae). Ten SRAP primer combinations resulted in a total of 94 bands, and they exhibited high interspecific variability. The genetic differentiation among *Lathyrus*, estimated using AMOVA, was highly significant. The results indicated that 58% of the total genetic variation existed among species, and 42% of the differentiation was within species. This was explained by the high level of genome conservation of these species as well as the recent and slow evolution of this genus. These results were confirmed by the topology of the neighbor-joining cladogram and the results of the principal coordinate analysis. Our data support previous results based on seed protein diversity. These results make SRAP markers choice markers for the study of functional polymorphism that is directly related to the transcriptomic data. The SRAP markers used in this study provide an accurate picture of the population structure within *Lathyrus* germplasm, which is critically important information for the design of genetic diversity and structure analyses.
Moreover, further extensive studies are necessary to fully examine other *Lathyrus* species and tests that adopt the SRAP technique to enrich the *Lathyrus* library for next-generation sequencing, thus providing a potent protocol for the study of polymorphism.
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**INTRODUCTION**

*Lathyrus* is a large genus containing approximately 160 species (Lewis et al., 2005), which are mostly located in Europe, Asia, and North America, and species also extend to temperate South America and tropical East Africa. The genus’ center of diversity is primarily in the Mediterranean and Irano-Turanian regions (Kupicha, 1981).

*Lathyrus* has been considered an important low-risk aversion crop, because it has relatively good tolerance to waterlogging (in the case of flooding). Because it requires low production costs, it has the ability to grow on residual moisture after the end of the rains or in case of drought (Wuletaw et al., 1997).

Several *Lathyrus* species are cultivated for human consumption, animal feed, fodder, and ornamental purposes (Sarker et al., 1997). The genus is a recommended crop for diverse types of farming systems, and there is potential for further exploitation of the *Lathyrus* gene pool. Therefore, the collection, conservation, characterization, study of genetic diversity, and utilization of *Lathyrus* deserve further attention as a priority research area. To prevent genetic erosion and extinction, *Lathyrus* conservation was given priority by Biodiversity International (former IBPGR and IPGRI) in 1985.

Unlike morphological descriptors, molecular markers detect diversity and differences among and within species directly at the DNA level and independent of environmental factors. Several PCR-based molecular detection methods have been developed, including amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP), random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD), simple sequence repeat (SSR), inter-simple sequence repeat (ISSR), and sequence-related amplification polymorphism (SRAP). All of these molecular markers are commonly used in genomic analysis (Jones et al., 2009), and most have been used in *Lathyrus* populations for relationship estimation, ecotype identification, determination of population structure (Belaïd et al., 2006; Ghorbel et al., 2014), and construction of genetic linkage maps (Chowdhury and Slinkard, 2000; Skiba et al., 2004).

The SRAP technique was developed by Li and Quiros (2001) to simplify the AFLP detection procedure, increase throughput, and improve reproducibility compared to RAPD. The simple detection procedure was produced by skipping the restriction enzyme digestion and ligation of target DNA fragments and adapters in the AFLP detection protocol. The sizes of SRAP primers are similar to those used in AFLP, but the protocol includes a single-PCR round instead of two. Compared to RAPD, SRAP uses a pair of primers with 16 to 22 nucleotides instead of 10-mer short primers. Therefore, one SRAP primer can combine with an unlimited number of other primers, which gives SRAP a big advantage over RAPD.

It is worth noting that there is a difference in the GC content between gene coding sequences and other sequences in the plant genome. Based on this difference, Li and Quiros (2001) designed two sets of SRAP primers: 1) the forward primers contained a GGCC cassette near the 3′-end of the primer that might preferentially anneal to the GC-rich regions; 2) the reverse primer set contained an AATT cassette that would preferentially anneal SRAP primers to introns and gene spacers, so that SRAP markers could preferentially amplify gene-rich genomic regions.
Lathyrus phylogeny by SRAP markers

The SRAP technique was used for several purposes, including genetic map construction (Li et al., 2003; Gao et al., 2007; Sun et al., 2007), QTL mapping (Chen et al., 2007; Fu et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2008b), gene tagging and cloning (Rahman et al., 2007; Yi et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2009a), and genetic diversity (Riaz et al., 2001; Budak et al., 2004a,b; Vandemark et al., 2006).

In the present study, SRAP markers were exploited to explain the genetic diversity and variation within and among four Lathyrus species and to estimate their relationships.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Plant materials and DNA extraction

Ten individuals from four Lathyrus species (L. sativus, L. ochrus, L. sylvestris, and L. cicera) were randomly selected (Table 1). Genomic DNA was extracted from young leaves using a Pure Link-Total Plant DNA Purification Kit (Invitrogen, France) according to the manufacturer protocol. DNA concentrations were measured with a Nanodrop ND 100 spectrophotometer (Nanodrop Technologies Inc., Wilmington, DE, USA) to assure DNA amplifications with good profiles.

Table 1. Description of Lathyrus species.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Geographical origin</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Form</th>
<th>Biological type</th>
<th>Designation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L. cicera</td>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>Zarsis</td>
<td>Cultivated</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>LLCZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. ochrus</td>
<td>Beja</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spontaneous</td>
<td>Perennial</td>
<td>LObe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. sativus</td>
<td>Sfax</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cultivated</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. sylvestris</td>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>Kompolt</td>
<td>Spontaneous</td>
<td>Perennial</td>
<td>SH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SRAP analysis

The SRAP primer combinations were randomly selected, and primer sequences are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Name and sequence of the SRAP primers used for Lathyrus genotype screening.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forward primers</th>
<th>Reverse primers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F8</td>
<td>5’-GTA GCA CAA GCC GGA AT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F9</td>
<td>5’-GTA GCA CAA GCC GGCC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F13</td>
<td>5’-GTA GTC CAA ACC GGC GC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F14</td>
<td>5’-GAT GTC CAA ACC GGC GC-3’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All PCR amplifications were performed in a 25-µL reaction volume containing: 1 µL primers (0.5 µL Me + 0.5 µL Em), 30 ng genomic DNA, 0.5 µL Taq DNA polymerase (5 U), 1.5 µL dNTPs (0.2 mM), 2.5 µL 10X buffer (Mg²⁺ free), 1.5 µL MgCl₂ (50 mM), and 16 µL ddH₂O.

All PCRs were performed in a TProfessional TRIO thermocycler (Biometra, An Analytic Jena Company), and were subjected to the following program: initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, five cycles of three steps: 1 min denaturing at 94°C, 1 min annealing at 35°C, and 1 min extension at 72°C. In the subsequent 35 cycles: 1 min denaturing at 94°C, 1 min annealing at 50°C, and 1 min extension at 72°C; a final 10 min extension at 72°C.

PCR products were separated on 3% agarose gels, and electrophoretic patterns were visualized using a Gel-Doc 2000 image analysis system (Bio-Rad, USA). Clear and reproducible bands were then scored and used in subsequent analyses.
Data analysis

SRAP markers are considered dominant, and therefore, we assumed that each band represented the phenotype at a single biallelic locus. Therefore, to generate a binary data matrix, only reproducible and consistent SRAP fragments were scored as present (1) or absent (0) for all of the samples.

In order to measure the informativeness of the marker, the polymorphism information content (PIC) was calculated for each primer combination (Table 3). PIC values were calculated using the following formula: \( \text{PIC} = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{S} f_i^2 \) (Smith et al., 1997), where \( f_i^2 \) is the frequency of the \( i \)th allele.

Table 3. Description of oligonucleotide primers used for SRAP analyses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer combination</th>
<th>PIC( % )</th>
<th>Max. No. of bands</th>
<th>Band size range (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F8R7</td>
<td>95.00</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>600/2036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F9R7</td>
<td>96.76</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>400/3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F9R9</td>
<td>96.26</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>400/2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F9R15</td>
<td>97.03</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>400/1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F13R9</td>
<td>96.36</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>506/3054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F13R7</td>
<td>97.30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>400/1700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F13R15</td>
<td>96.05</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>150/1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F13R8</td>
<td>93.11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>506/3200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F14R8</td>
<td>96.95</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>150/2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F14R15</td>
<td>97.53</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>200/1500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The estimation of genetic variation was conducted using the POPGENE software package version 3.2 for the calculation of the following parameters (Table 4): number of different alleles (\( N_A \)), number of effective alleles (\( N_E \)), Shannon’s information index (I), expected heterozygosity (\( H_E \)), and percentage of polymorphic loci (PPL).

Using the POPGENE software package (Yeh et al., 1999), Nei’s analysis of gene diversity in subdivided populations was also performed to calculate and visualize heterozygosity at the pooled population level (\( H_T \)), heterozygosity at each population level (\( H_S \)), genetic diversity among populations (\( G_{ST} \)), and estimates of gene flow from \( G_{ST} (N_m) \) (Table 5).

Table 4. Estimation of genetic variation in Lathyrus species using SRAP markers or diversity parameters of four Lathyrus species analyzed using SRAP markers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species level</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>( N_A )</th>
<th>( N_E )</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>( H_E )</th>
<th>NPL</th>
<th>PPL ( % )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L. sativus Sfax Mean</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>1.5000</td>
<td>1.2856</td>
<td>0.1707</td>
<td>0.2584</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>0.5031</td>
<td>0.3533</td>
<td>0.1922</td>
<td>0.2785</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. ochrus Beja Mean</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>1.5366</td>
<td>1.3780</td>
<td>0.2107</td>
<td>0.3081</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>53.66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>0.5017</td>
<td>0.4146</td>
<td>0.2161</td>
<td>0.3066</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. sylvestris Hungary Mean</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>1.3902</td>
<td>1.2401</td>
<td>0.1402</td>
<td>0.2096</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>39.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>0.4908</td>
<td>0.3532</td>
<td>0.1920</td>
<td>0.2776</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. cicera Zarsis Mean</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>1.5854</td>
<td>1.3434</td>
<td>0.2044</td>
<td>0.3079</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>58.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>0.4957</td>
<td>0.3623</td>
<td>0.1952</td>
<td>0.2809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species level Mean</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>2.0000</td>
<td>1.7286</td>
<td>0.4063</td>
<td>0.5906</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.2577</td>
<td>0.1044</td>
<td>0.1216</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( N_A \) = observed number of alleles; \( N_E \) = effective number of alleles; \( H \) = Nei’s (1973) gene diversity; I = Shannon’s information index; NPL = number of polymorphic loci; PPL = percentage of polymorphic loci.
Table 5. Nei’s analysis of gene diversity in *Lathyrus* species.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>(H_T)</th>
<th>(H_S)</th>
<th>(G_{ST})</th>
<th>(N_m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.4063</td>
<td>0.1815</td>
<td>0.5533</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.0109</td>
<td>0.0128</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(H_T\) = heterozygosity at the pooled population level; \(H_S\) = heterozygosity at each population level; \(G_{ST}\) = genetic diversity among populations; \(N_m\) = estimate of gene flow from \(G_{ST}\).

For estimations of variance components among and within studied species, analyses of molecular variance (AMOVA) were performed using GenAlEx 6.5 (Peakall and Smouse, 2012).

**Clustering**

Dendrograms for individual samples were constructed according to the hierarchical neighbor joining (NJ) method using the DARWIN 5.0.158 software program (Perrier and Jacquemoud-Collet, 2006).

Based on the results of the hierarchical cluster, a number of clusters (k) was set to define the population structure by the k-means algorithm using the XLSTAT software version 2014/2013 (Addinsoft). The k-means classification was made based on the determinant (w) with 500 iterations and 0.00001 convergences (MacQueen, 1967). The program was run 50 times for each subpopulation (k), and values ranged from 2 to 9 with a random starting partition.

To infer population structure of studied *Lathyrus* species, the model-based clustering approach of the STRUCTURE 2.3.4 program was used. It is assumed that within populations, the loci are at Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. The calculations were carried out under an admixture ancestry model, and the program was run 50 times for each subpopulation (K) value (ranging from 2 to 9) with 50,000 replicates for burn-in and 50,000 replicates during the analysis.

The output of the hierarchical ascending classification results was a similarity matrix between pairs of individuals based on Jaccard’s coefficient, and the output of the remaining classification results was a similarity matrix based on the Pearson coefficient.

**Multidimensional scaling**

Principal component analyses (PCA) and principal coordinate analyses (PCoA) were performed using XLSTAT to examine the genetic relationship among studied species (Gower, 1966). The PCA output was a correlation matrix, while the PCoA output was a similarity matrix based on the Pearson coefficient.

**RESULTS**

Genetic similarity was observed among 40 samples belonging to four *Lathyrus* species (*L. sativus*, *L. ochrus*, *L. sylvestris*, and *L. cicera*). Ten SRAP primer combinations, using four forward primers and four reverse primers, resulted in a total of 82 bands. None of the scored bands was monomorphic in all species. However, some of the bands were monomorphic within the same population, which characterized each target population. The number of observed bands ranged from six (F13-R8 primers) to 12 (F13-R7 primers), and the average per primer combination was 8.2. Primer utility was estimated using PIC indices. PIC values for primers ranged from 93.11% (F13-R8) to 98.26% (F9-R9) (Table 3). In this analysis, all of the PIC values were greater than...
0.9, which indicated that the observed polymorphism was significant (Xie et al., 2011). In fact, the average PIC values highlighted considerable genetic variation of *Lathyrus* germplasm, which should be considered as a background for future breeding programs.

**SRAP polymorphism**

Several parameters were calculated for each accession, including *N*<sub>A</sub>, *N*<sub>E</sub>, I, PPL, Nei’s (1973) gene diversity (H), and the number of polymorphic loci (Table 4).

*N*<sub>A</sub> values ranged from 1.390 (*L. sylvestris* Hungary) to 1.585 (*L. cicera* Zarsis). *N*<sub>E</sub> values (per locus) ranged from 1.24 (*L. sylvestris* Hungary) to 1.378 (*L. ochrus* Beja), and *N*<sub>E</sub> = 1.728 at the species level.

PPL values ranged from 39.02 to 58.54% for 32 and 48 polymorphic loci observed in *L. sylvestris* and *L. cicera*, respectively. *H*<sub>E</sub> values ranged from 0.2 (*L. sylvestris*) to 0.3 (*L. ochrus*).

The I value at the species level was 0.59, and it showed the same bias as expected heterozygosis in that *L. sylvestris* and *L. cicera* exhibited the least (0.14) and greatest (0.12) diversity, respectively.

The genetic differentiation among *Lathyrus* estimated by AMOVA analysis was highly significant (P < 0.001; Table 6). Moreover, the results showed that 58% of the total genetic variation existed among species, and 42% of the differentiation was within species. This result can be explained by the high levels of genomic conservation observed in these species as well as the recent and slow evolution of this genus. On the other hand, the low rate of intraspecific variation could be due to the specificity of the primers used and the low mutation rates of the amplified ORFs. Genetic diversity is essential for the long-term survival of a species and its adaptability to the environment. Thus, it is important to be aware of the inter- and intraspecific diversity before developing any protection and management strategies for an endangered species (Hamrick and Godt, 1996).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
<th>SSD</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Among populations</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>368.675</td>
<td>122.892</td>
<td>11.462</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within populations</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>297.700</td>
<td>8.269</td>
<td>8.269</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>666.375</td>
<td>19.732</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

d.f. = degrees of freedom; SSD = sum of squared deviations; MS = mean squared deviation; P = probability of obtaining a more extreme component estimate by chance alone, estimated by computing 999 permutations.

**Clustering based on SRAP markers**

The NJ dendrogram (Figure 1), which represents relationships between individuals of different species, shows that the *L. cicera* genotypes formed one cluster with two sub-clusters (the first with six CZ genotypes and the second with four CZ genotypes). The *L. sylvestris* genotypes also formed a single cluster with two sub-clusters, which included the first with nine SH genotypes divided into two groups (SH7, SH6, SH8, SH9, and SH10) and (SH2, SH1, SH4, and SH3), and the second with the SH5 genotype. Genotypes from *L. ochrus* and *L. sativus* were grouped into one cluster with two sub-clusters. The first sub-cluster included OB genotypes that were divided into two groups (OB2, OB1, OB7, OB3, OB5, OB4, and OB6) and (OB10, OB9, and OB8), and the second included SS genotypes divided into two groups [(SS9, SS7, SS8, SS6, and SS10) and (SS4, SS3, SS5, SS2, and SS1)].
Figure 1. Dendrogram obtained using the neighbor joining (NJ) cluster analysis based on Nei’s genetic distances (1973) among populations and the genetic structure assessed using STRUCTURE.

For the fine STRUCTURE model analysis, final population sub-groups were determined based on changes in the second derivative ($\Delta K$) of the relationships between K and the log likelihood (Evanno et al., 2005), and there was a clear peak in the value of $\Delta K = 171.428$ at $K = 4$ (Figure 2). Therefore, $K = 4$ best fit the data. The proportions of all individuals were assigned into four clusters, but the OB and CZ populations displayed some degree of mixed ancestry (Figure 1).

Regarding the k-means analysis, for each variation of the number of K clusters from $k = 4$ to $k = 9$, there was an exchange of individuals between classes. Only a certain number of OB, SH and SS individuals per species remained stable and part of the same group independent of the k value. L. cicera individuals were continuously moving, and they had no stable group with the k [4, 9] range. Results for OB and SH are in full agreement with those obtained with the NJ classification hierarchy.
Figure 2. Results of the Bayesian assignment analysis using STRUCTURE HARVESTER.

Multidimensional scaling using SRAP markers

PCoA was performed to provide spatial representation of the relative genetic distances among individuals, and the analysis was also used to determine the consistency of differentiation among the populations defined by the cluster analysis (Figure 3). Based on the binary matrix, the resulting two main principal coordinates were mapped (Figure 4), and they explained 18.12 and 17.15% of the total variation, respectively. Regarding the PCA results, the first ($F_1$) and second axes accounted for 20.61 and 18.61% of the total variance.
Lathyrus phylogeny by SRAP markers

Figure 4. Principal component analysis across four Lathyrus species.

The $F_1$ axis (PCA and PCoA) separated the four species into two major groups. The first group includes L. ochrus, L. cicera, and L. sativus, and the second group includes L. sylvestris. This result is in agreement with that of the fine STRUCTURE model analysis results.

DISCUSSION

The SRAP marker system is becoming the marker of choice for characterization and genetic diversity studies in a wide range of plants. In our study, the SRAP markers (developed primarily for Brassica species) were used for the first time in Lathyrus. Moreover, the transferability of the markers was evaluated and used for analyses of genetic diversity and variation within and among four Lathyrus species, and they were used to estimate the species relationships. The number of polymorphisms amplified in this study was also lower than that identified in the SRAP analysis of faba beans (Alghamdi et al., 2012), which reported a total of 1036 bands using 14 primers. However, many SRAP studies congregate with the low number of alleles (Jing et al., 2013). In fact, some labeled SRAP oligonucleotides, such as FC1, BG23, and SA7, amplify more than 10 polymorphic loci, while Em2 and DC1 produce less than six (Li et al., 2013). The diversity level depends on the number and origin of the analyzed genotypes, so it is difficult to compare the levels of diversity based on the SRAP marker system results from another genus with our results. Nevertheless, most studies have confirmed the value of the SRAP method in diversity analyses of different plants.

All of the markers obtained using the SRAP marker system were subsequently used for diversity assays, and the PIC values of each primer combination were used to access their informativeness, which was determined by the number of different alleles and the corresponding frequency distribution within a population.

Diversity analyses among the Lathyrus species studied here showed the presence of moderate levels of diversity, high levels of heterozygosity, and high numbers of effective alleles observed in L. ochrus. The moderate levels of diversity observed using the SRAP marker system might be due to limited sampling, because these Lathyrus species were represented by a single population each. A moderate amount of variation was also proportioned among Lathyrus species, and it resulted in a $G_{ST}$ value of 0.553. This modest genetic diversity was also supported by the $N_{am}$ value of 0.4037, which indicated low gene flow and 58% molecular variance among Lathyrus species.
The SRAP marker system efficiently distinguished *Lathyrus* species with high polymorphism levels. Reported high polymorphism levels in *Lathyrus* were previously based on molecular markers such as AFLP (Badr et al., 2002), ISSR (Ghorbel et al., 2014), RFLP, and RAPD (Chtourou-Ghorbel et al., 2001), and the polymorphism gradation was based on AFLP and RAPD (100%) markers and ISSR (98.12%) and RFLP (91.96%) markers. Polymorphism in *Lathyrus* species that was based on AFLP and RAPD markers data is comparable to the SRAP-based analysis performed in this study. These results can be explained by the fact that a principal aspect of the SRAP marker system is the combination and simplification of both AFLP and RAPD protocols. Of the analyzed samples, more than 85% showed unique SRAP fingerprints, which confirmed the effectiveness of this marker for the identification of genetic diversity in *Lathyrus* species.

All clustering and multidimensional scaling used in this study confirmed that individuals were assigned to four principal clusters. According to the NJ dendrogram, *L. ochrus* and *L. sativus* were clustered in the same group, and this result was supported by PCA and PCoA distributions. The population genetic structure across the analyzed species identified four groups in which clustered individuals were dependent on their species, but admixture among species was also observed.

In this study, we applied the K-means method to test the contribution of analyzed individuals in the structuring of their clusters. Graphs representing the distribution of individuals relative to the barycenters showed that certain individuals, including {Sf<sub>3</sub>, Sf<sub>5</sub>, Sf<sub>6</sub>, Sf<sub>7</sub>, Sf<sub>8</sub>, Sf<sub>9</sub>, and Sf<sub>10</sub>}, {LObe<sub>1</sub>, LObe<sub>3</sub>, LObe<sub>4</sub>, LObe<sub>5</sub>, LObe<sub>6</sub>, LObe<sub>7</sub>, LObe<sub>8</sub>, LObe<sub>9</sub>, and LObe<sub>10</sub>}, and all *L. sylvestris* individuals, were always grouped together. Therefore, these groups formed the genotypes that characterized their clusters (Figure 5).

Only *L. cicera* individuals exhibited continuous movement associated with varied K values. This result can be explained and supported by the degree of mixed ancestry between some *L. cicera* individuals and other studied species. This includes the LCZ9 genotype that was genotypically similar to *L. ochrus*, and the LCZ10 genotype that was genetically similar to both *L. sylvestris* and *L. sativus*.

It is interesting to compare our results with those obtained from studies of the systematic *Lathyrus* relationships that were conducted with molecular markers. For instance, the *Lathyrus* and *Clymenum* sections that belong the populations examined in this study were analyzed by Chtourou-Ghorbel et al. (2001), Ghorbel et al. (2014), and Gharbi et al. (2014) using ISSR markers, RFLP, RAPD and cpDNA *trnH-psbA* markers, respectively. These studies used the same populations analyzed in this study, but the results differed completely as compared to our data. All of these studies showed a close relationship between *L. cicera* and *L. sativus*, which are clustered with *L. sylvestris* in the section *Lathyrus*. Moreover, these studies also supported the genetic separation of *L. ochrus*, which was purported to belong to the section *Clymenum*.

The results obtained by Badr et al. (2002) (using AFLP data) and those obtained by Croft et al. (1999) (based on RAPD data) indicated that the sister relationship between *L. sativus* and *L. cicera* may be a result of hybridization and common ancestry. The results also support the classification of *L. ochrus* into the section *Clymenum* and the classification of *L. cicera*, *L. sativus*, and *L. sylvestris* into the section *Lathyrus* (Kupicha, 1983).

Our data are completely different, and they show an integration of *L. ochrus* into the section *Lathyrus*. According to our results, *L. ochrus* is included with *L. sativus* in the same group, so our classification does not support the monophyly of the section *Lathyrus* as described by Kupicha (1983).

Our data support results based on seed protein diversity that were obtained by Badr et al. (2002), which showed similarities in seed proteins between *L. cicera*, *L. sativus*, and *L. ochrus*.
Figure 5. Individual distribution relative to barycenters with K value. A. K = [4-6]. B. K = [7-9].
These results make SRAP markers choice markers for the study of functional polymorphism that is directly related to transcriptomic data. Furthermore, the results support information from Robarts and Wolfe (2014), which proposed “that use of SRAP markers should be viewed analogous to morphological character states”.

In conclusion, our data initially confirmed that sets of SRAP markers provide an accurate picture of the population structure within Lathyrus germplasm, which is critically important information for the design of genetic diversity and structure analyses. Moreover, more extensive studies are necessary to fully examine other Lathyrus sections and tests that adopt the SRAP technique to enrich the Lathyrus library for next-generation sequencing, thus providing a potent protocol for the study of polymorphism.
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