Production of hGFAP-DsRed transgenic Guangxi Bama mini-pigs via somatic cell nuclear transfer
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ABSTRACT. The mini-pig is a useful animal model for human biomedical research due to its physiological similarity to humans and the ease of handling. In order to optimize the efficiency of production of transgenic Bama mini-pigs through somatic cell nuclear transfer (SCNT), we examined the effects of contact inhibition, roscovitine treatment, and serum starvation on the cell cycle synchronization and transgenic cloned embryo development in vivo and in vitro after nuclear transfer. The analysis showed that the rates of G0/G1 stage cells in the contact inhibition (92.11%) and roscovitine treatment groups (89.59%) were significantly higher than in serum starvation group (80.82%). A higher rate of apoptosis was seen in the serum starvation group (14.13%) compared to the contact inhibition and roscovitine treatment groups (6.71 and 2.46% respectively, P < 0.05). There was a significant decrease in blastocyst yield in the serum starvation group (14.19%) compared to the roscovitine treatment and contact inhibition groups (21.31 and 20.32% respectively, P < 0.05). A total of 1070 transgenic cloned embryos derived from the three treatment groups were
transferred to surrogate sows; one pregnancy was established and three embryos from the roscovitine treatment group successfully completed gestation. These results indicate that the roscovitine treatment was more effective at synchronizing transgenic kidney cells in Bama mini-pigs and allowed reconstructed embryos to develop to full term.
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INTRODUCTION

The Bama mini-pig is a miniature porcine species from the Guangxi province of China. Due to the many physiological, anatomical, and genetic similarities between pigs and humans, there is considerable interest in their use in biomedical research. Miniature pigs such as Bama mini-pigs are of particular interest because their small size is an advantage for studies in biomedicine, human metabolism, pharmacology, and vascular imaging (Li et al., 2006; Mei et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2008, 2014).

The combination of somatic cell nuclear transfer (SCNT) and transgenesis methodologies has proven to be a viable approach to the production of transgenic animals. However, the efficiency of producing transgenic pigs using SCNT technology is still very low (Lai et al., 2002; Fujimura et al., 2008; Knosalla et al., 2009; Jeong et al., 2013). An important factor that affects the developmental potential of cloned offspring is the cell cycle stage of the donor nucleus. If the cell-cycle stage of the donor nucleus and the recipient oocyte cytoplasm is coordinated, then the cloned embryo can maintain normal ploidy and acquire normal development potential (Campbell et al., 1996). Wilmut and colleagues (2007) reported that using donor cells in the G0 phase for nuclear transfer improved the rate of development of cloned embryos. Several animal species have now been cloned using the G0/G1 stage of the cell cycle as the donor nucleus for SCNT, for example, in mice (Wakayama et al., 1998), cattle (Wells et al., 1999), and pigs (Onishi et al., 2000; Polejaeva et al., 2000).

Donor cells can be induced to synchronize at the G0 or G1 stage by serum starvation (Cho et al., 2002) or contact inhibition (Boquest et al., 1999; Lee et al., 2005; McElroy et al., 2008). Chemical inhibitors as butyrolactone I (Kitagawa et al., 1994), aphidicolin (Pedrali-Noy et al., 1980), demicolcine (Liu et al., 2004) and DMSO (Hashem et al., 2007; Koo et al., 2009b) can effectively synchronize cells at G0/1 and have been successfully used in SCNT. Recently, a potent inhibitor of the cyclin-dependent kinase 2 roscovitine was shown to be effective at G0/G1 stage synchronization in cells of various animal species, including wild cat (Gomez et al., 2003), cattle (Bordignon and Smith, 2006; Sun et al., 2008), dog (Koo et al., 2009a), pig (Park et al., 2010) and water buffalo (Selokar et al., 2012). Roscovitine-treated cells could be effectively reprogrammed in SCNT and resulted in improvement of the developmental potential of cloned embryos (Gibbons et al., 2002).

Inducing cell cycle synchronization can increase the rate of apoptosis in cells (Lindenboim et al., 1995; Li et al., 2014); however, it has been reported that treating donor cells with an apoptosis inhibitor improves the developmental potential of cloned embryos (Park et al., 2004). Previous research focused on cell cycle synchronization using non-transgenic cells. As the introduction of an exogenous gene might affect the cell genotype and phenotype, and possibly cause a different response to the synchronization treatment, it is important to investigate the effect of genetic
manipulation of donor cells. This study was initiated to evaluate the effect of cell cycle synchronization on transgenic kidney fibroblast cells from the Guangxi Bama mini-pig; three synchronization methods were examined, namely, serum starvation, roscovitine treatment, and contact inhibition. The results of this study should aid the optimization of protocols for producing transgenic pigs.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Unless otherwise stated, all Chemicals were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA).

Establishment and culture of donor fibroblasts

Kidney fibroblasts were isolated from a newborn Guangxi Bama mini-pig. Kidney tissue was washed twice with 75% alcohol, and 3 times with DPBS (Dulbecco’s Phosphate-Buffered Saline; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and then minced using scissors. The minced tissue was washed 3 times with DPBS, and once with DMEM, then placed on plastic Petri dishes. After 6 h, 3 mL DMEM medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, hyclone), 1% (v/v) minimal essential medium (MEM), nonessential amino acid solution (Invitrogen), 25 mM NaHCO$_3$, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, and 0.066 g/L penicillin and 0.1 g/L streptomycin was added and the tissues were cultured at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO$_2$ atmosphere. The culture medium was changed every two days. The cells grew to 90% confluence after 6-10 days incubation; tissue clumps were then removed and the cells were washed 3 times with DPBS. The cells were dissociated by incubating in 0.25% trypsin/0.02% EDTA for 5 min at 37°C, transferred to a centrifuge tube and centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 5 min. The cell pellet was resuspended in 2 mL culture medium and either seeded into 35 mm plastic culture dishes for further passage or frozen and stored in liquid nitrogen. The cryopreservation medium had 70% (v/v) DMEM, 20% (v/v) FBS, and 10% (v/v) DMSO. Frozen kidney fibroblasts were thawed at 37°C, and the cell suspension was transferred to 15 mL plastic centrifuge tubes, centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 5 min, and the supernatant removed and cells seeded into DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS for subculture.

Transfection of kidney fibroblast cells with a hGFAP-DsRed plasmid

Bama mini-pig kidney fibroblast cells were passaged three times in culture before use in transfection. The cells were seeded into a 24-well culture plate at 8-10 x 10$^4$ cells/well density and grown to 70-80% confluence. The transfection mixture was prepared by adding 1.25 μL Lipofectamine 2000 and 0.7 μg hGFAP-DsRed plasmid to 100 μL DMEM that was free of serum and antibiotics. The mixture was incubated for 30 min at room temperature and 100 μL was added to each well. After 6 h, the transfection mixture was removed and the cells were cultured for 48 h with fresh DMEM. The cells were then subjected to selection in DMEM containing 300 μg/mL zeocin for 2 weeks. The surviving colonies were isolated and seeded into 96-well plates and stable cell lines were either subcultured or cryopreserved.

Cell treatment

hGFAP-DsRed transgenic cells were divided into three groups for synchronization treatment. Group 1: cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS for 5 days until
90% of the cells were confluent. Group 2: cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 15 µM roscovitine (Sigma) for 24 h. Group 3: cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 0.5% FBS for 5 days.

Cell cycle analysis by flow cytometry

Cells from the three groups were harvested using 0.25% trypsin/0.02% EDTA, suspended in DPBS, and centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 5 min. The supernatant was then removed, and the cells gently resuspended in 0.25 ml DPBS containing 5 µL 10 mg/mL RNase and 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100 for 20 min at 37°C for 1 h. They were then stained by adding 10 mL 1 mg/mL propidium iodide for 5 min and analyzed by flow cytometry (Becton Dickinson. USA).

Flow cytometric analysis of apoptosis

The rate of apoptosis in the three groups was determined using the Annexin V-FITC Apoptosis Detection Kit (APOAF, Sigma) following the manufacturer instructions. Cells were harvested using 0.25% trypsin/0.02% EDTA, suspended in DPBS, and centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 5 min. The supernatant was decanted and the cells were gently resuspended in binding buffer at 1 x 10⁶ cell/mL concentration. Annexin V FITC conjugate and propidium iodide solutions were added to each cell suspension and the cells were incubated at room temperature for 10 min. Stained cells were analyzed by flow cytometry using 488 nm excitation and 530 and >575 nm emission. A total 10,000 cells was recorded per sample. Live cells showed no fluorescence, early apoptotic cells stained with FITC, and late apoptotic cells stained with both propidium iodide and FITC.

Real-time RT PCR

Total RNAs from cells of the three groups were extracted as described previously (Park et al., 2010) using the 7300 real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The primers for gene amplification are listed in Table 1. Amplification was performed using SYBR Premix Ex Taq (Takara, Japan), and all PCR products were analyzed using the 7300 system SDS software version 1.3 (Applied Biosystems).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer sequence (5’-3’)</th>
<th>GenBank accession No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BAX</td>
<td>F: TAAACTGCTGCTCAAGGGCCC</td>
<td>BAX XM_003355974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: AAAGTAGGAGAAGGACGCGTG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCL-2</td>
<td>F: CCAGGAGAGGCTAGTCAGAG</td>
<td>BCL-2 AB116145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: CAAGTTGGATCGGACTCAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ovary recovery and in vitro oocyte maturation

The procedures for ovary collection and in vitro maturation of oocytes were as described previously (Liu et al., 2014) Follicular fluid and cumulus-oocyte complexes (COCs) were aspirated from follicles 3-6 mm in diameter Oocytes with uniform cytoplasm and at least three layers of intact cumulus cells were selected and washed three times in TL-HEPES containing 0.1% w/v polyvinyl
alcohol (PVA), and washed twice with maturation medium TCM199 (Invitrogen). Thirty COCs were
cultured in each 200 μL TCM-199 medium at 39°C and 5% CO₂ in air with 100% humidity. The
maturation medium used in this study was TCM-199 supplemented with 0.57 mM cysteine, 10 ng/ 
mL epidermal growth factor, 10 IU/mL FSH, 10 IU/mL LH and 10% (v/v) porcine follicular fluid. The
COCs were cultured for 20-22 h, and then transferred to the maturation medium without hormone
for another 22-24 h.

**Nuclear transfer**

SCNT was performed as described previously (Liu et al., 2014) Briefly, after maturation
culture for 42-46 h, oocytes with a first polar body and a uniform cytoplasm were selected for
nuclear transfer. The first polar body and the metaphase II spindle plate with a small amount of
surrounding cytoplasm were removed and a single donor cell with a smooth surface was transferred
into the perivitelline space through the same hole. The manipulated oocytes were washed three
times and transferred to PZM-3 supplemented with 0.4% BSA and cultured at 39°C in humidified
air containing 5% CO₂ for 30 min.

**Electrical activation/fusion**

Activation/fusion of reconstructed embryos was as described previously (Liu et al., 2010)
with slight modifications. In brief, the couplets were cultured for 30 min in PZM-3, then washed 3
times with activation/fusion medium (0.25 M mannitol supplemented with 0.01% polyvinyl alcohol,
0.5 mM HEPES, 0.1 mM CaCl₂·2H₂O and 0.1 mM MgCl₂·6H₂O), and equilibrated in fusion medium
for 5 min. Electrofusion was performed with oocyte-cell couplets sandwiched between a pair of hand-
made platinum electrodes (150 μm diameter) connected to a micromanipulator. One DC pulse (1.5 
kv/cm) for 30 μs was provided by a BTX Electro-cell Manipulator 2001 (BTX, San Diego, CA, USA).

**In vitro culture of reconstructed embryos**

After activation, reconstructed embryos were washed 5 times and incubated for 30 min in
PZM-3 containing 0.4% BSA and evaluated for fusion under a stereomicroscope. Fused embryos were
first cultured for 3 h in PZM-3 medium supplemented with 7.5 μg/mL cytochalasin B(CB), and then the
reconstructed embryos were cultured in PZM-3 medium at 39°C and 5% CO₂ in humidified air.

**Embryo transfer**

Transgenic cloned embryos were cultured in vitro for 0.5-1.5 days. Then, 80-110 embryos
were loaded into a straw and were surgically introduced into the oviducts of naturally cycling
recipient pigs on day 2 of estrus. Pregnancy in the recipient pigs was checked by ultrasonography
on day 30 after embryo transfer.

**Detection of transgenic Bama mini-pigs by PCR**

Genomic DNA samples were isolated from the ear tissues of cloned pigs and surrogates
using the TIANamp Genomic DNA extraction Kit (TIANGEN, Beijing, China) according to the
manufacturer instructions. For all samples, amplification of the targeted hGFAP-DsRed gene was performed using the forward primer 5'-CTTCGCCTGGGACATCCT-3' and reverse primer 5'-GGTGTAGTCCTCGTTGTGGG-3'. Thirty cycles of PCR amplification were performed: denaturation at 94°C for 30 s; annealing at 55°C for 30 s; and extension at 72°C for 45 s.

**Western blotting**

Protein extraction was performed as previously described (Ahn et al., 2010; Jeong et al., 2013). Negative transgenic porcine muscle, transgenic porcine heart, testicle, kidney, brain, muscle, lung, and liver tissue were washed in PBS, quickly frozen in liquid nitrogen, and then powdered. The powder was transferred to lysis buffer. The lysate was centrifuged for 50 min at 12,000 rpm. Lysate was separated by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and the proteins transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. The membrane was blocked overnight at 4°C and then washed 3 times in TBST. Mouse monoclonal antibody-RFP (DsRed1:1500, CoWin Biotech, China) and mouse anti-β-actin (1:1,000, CoWin Biotech) were added for 2 h at room temperature. The membrane was washed and then incubated with secondary antibodies (1:3000) for 1 h. The membrane was tested using Super ECL Plus Western Blotting following the manufacturer instructions.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed after arcsine transformation. Differences between means were analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Fisher’s LSD test for percentage data of cell cycle stages, apoptosis percentages, and embryonic developmental stages. Differences were considered significant at P < 0.05.

**RESULTS**

**Effects of cell-cycle synchronization protocols on the cell-cycle stage**

The majority of cells were at the G0/G1 stage after synchronization with contact inhibition, serum starvation, or roscovitine. The proportions of cells at G0/G1 did not differ significantly between the contact inhibition and roscovitine treatments (92.11 vs 89.59%); however, both were significantly higher than in the serum starvation group (80.82%, P < 0.05; Table 2, Figure 1). The proportion of cells at S phase in the serum starvation group was significantly higher than in the contact inhibition and roscovitine treatment groups (14.99 vs 2.54% and 6.34% respectively; P < 0.05). The three treatment groups did not differ for the proportion of cells at G2/M (P > 0.05; Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>% Cells at different cell cycle stages (mean ± SEM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G0/G1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum starvation</td>
<td>80.82 ± 0.51*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact inhibition</td>
<td>92.11 ± 0.99*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roscovitine</td>
<td>89.59 ± 1.24*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values with different superscripts in same columns are significantly different (P < 0.05).
Apoptosis rates in the three treatment groups

A significantly higher rate of apoptosis occurred in the serum starvation group than in the contact inhibition or roscovitine treatment groups (14.13 ± 3.48% vs 6.71 ± 0.29% and 2.46 ± 0.20%, respectively; P < 0.05; Figure 2). There were no differences in the rates of apoptosis between the contact inhibition and roscovitine treatments (P > 0.05).

Figure 1. Flow cytometric analysis of cell-cycle in transgenic kidney fibroblasts cultured under different conditions. The single-parameter DNA histogram allows discrimination of cell populations in G0/G1, S, and G2/M phases of the cell cycle.

Figure 2. Effect of cell-cycle synchronization protocols on apoptosis in transfected kidney fibroblast cells from a newborn Bama mini-pig. Apoptosis in each treatment group was detected using an Annexin V-FITC Apoptosis Detection Kit.
Expression of BAX and BCL-2 genes

The level of expression of BAX was significantly higher in the serum starvation group than in the roscovitine and contact inhibition groups (12.80 ± 1.36 vs 1.0 ± 1.02 and 1.64 ± 1.04, respectively; P < 0.05, Figure 3), whereas expression of BCL-2 was significantly lower in the serum starvation group than in the contact inhibition and roscovitine groups (1.0 ± 1.48 vs 4.85 ± 1.05 and 6.08 ± 1.01, respectively; P < 0.05, Figure 4).

Figure 3. Relative expression level of the pro-apoptotic BAX gene in transgenic porcine fibroblasts cultured under serum starvation, contact inhibition, and roscovitine treatment conditions.

In vitro development of cloned embryos

Using the cells from different cell-cycle synchronization protocols as donor cells for SCNT, the cleavage rate of cloned embryos in the roscovitine-treated group was significantly higher than in the serum starvation group (80.69 ± 1.0% vs 74.41 ± 1.5%; P < 0.05), but not significantly
different from the contact inhibition group (78.59 ± 1.3%; P > 0.05). The rate of blastocyst formation in the serum starvation group was significantly lower than in the roscovitine and contact inhibition groups (14.19 ± 1.2% vs 21.31 ± 0.8% and 20.32 ± 0.9%, respectively; P < 0.05; Table 3).

Table 3. Comparison of development rates of SCNT embryos produced using Bama mini-pig transgenic kidney fibroblast cells derived from different cell cycle synchronization protocols.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor cells</th>
<th>Embryos cultured</th>
<th>Cleaved (%)</th>
<th>Blastocysts (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serum starvation</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>111 (74.41 ± 1.5)</td>
<td>21 (14.19 ± 1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact inhibition</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>127 (78.59 ± 1.3)</td>
<td>33 (20.32 ± 0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roscovitine</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>121 (80.69 ± 1.0)</td>
<td>32 (21.31 ± 0.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*^a,b,c^ Values with different superscripts in same columns are significantly different (P < 0.05).

Production of hGFAP-DsRed transgenic piglets

A total of 1070 cloned transgenic embryos from the contact inhibition, serum starvation, and roscovitine treatment groups were transferred surgically to 11 recipient sows. Only one pregnancy went to full term (Table 4); three piglets were produced, one was healthy, one died after birth, and one was a difficult birth possibly due to an over-weight body and was stillborn (Figure 5A). The presence of hGFAP-DsRed in the piglets was confirmed by PCR (Figure 5B). Expression of the hGFAP-DsRed protein in several organs, including kidney, heart, brain, testicle, muscle, lung, and liver, was detected by western blotting (Figure 5C).

Table 4. In vivo development of transgenic cloned embryos derived from the contact inhibition, serum starvation, and roscovitine treatment groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment group</th>
<th>No. of transferred cloned embryos</th>
<th>No. of recipients</th>
<th>No. of pregnancies</th>
<th>Piglets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serum starvation</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact inhibition</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 healthy, 1 died during birth, 1 died after birth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roscovitine</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Analysis of hGFAP-DsRed transgenic piglets. A. hGFAP-DsRed transgenic cloned pig derived from roscovitine treatment group. B. Detection of the hGFAP-DsRed gene of the cloned piglet. Lane M = size marker; lane 1 = muscle; lane 2 = kidney; lane 3 = brain; lane 4 = dilution of plasmid for positive control; lane 5 = recipient; lane 6 = non-transgenic pig cell for negative control. C. Western blot analysis of hGFAP-DsRed transgene expression in several organs (heart, testicle, kidney, brain, muscle, lung, and liver) of the transgenic piglet.
DISCUSSION

Previous studies have reported that the cell cycle stage of donor cells is an important factor influencing the developmental ability of nuclear transfer embryos (Campbell et al., 1996; Prather et al., 1999). Normal ploidy and integrity is maintained in SCNT cloned embryos when the cell cycle stage of donor cells and recipient oocyte cytoplasm is coordinated. It has also been claimed that it is necessary to use G0 stage cells as donors for SCNT in order to achieve complete reprogramming, although there are reports that cloned animals can be obtained using G1 stage cells for SCNT (Cibelli et al., 1998). Several protocols can be used to arrest cells at G0/G1 stages for use in SCNT. Contact inhibition and serum starvation are the most commonly used methods (Wilmut et al., 2007), but chemicals such as roscovitine and cycloheximide have also been employed (Sun et al., 2008).

Serum starvation can induce apoptosis in porcine granulosa cells and increase expression of the p53 protein (Peng et al., 1998). Although Kues et al. (2000) reported that short periods of serum starvation increased the proportion of porcine fetal fibroblasts at G0/G1 to approximately 80%; prolonged culture led to reduced cell survival and increased DNA fragmentation. However, most of this research was carried out on non-transgenic cells; in the present study, we evaluated the ability of contact inhibition, serum starvation, and roscovitine to synchronize Bama mini-pig kidney fibroblasts transfected with hGFAP-DsRed. Our flow cytometry analysis indicated that >80% of the cells were at the G0/G1 stage. Additionally, the rate of apoptosis in the serum starvation treatment group was significantly lower than for contact inhibition and the roscovitine treated groups.

Our analyses here showed higher proportions of G0/G1 cells in the contact inhibition (92.11%) and roscovitine treatment groups (89.59%) compared to the serum starvation group (80.82%). In contrast, Park et al. (2010) reported that cells serum starvation induced a higher rate of G0/G1 cells (87.5%) stage compared to roscovitine (79.9%) and contact inhibition groups (76.3%). Sun et al. (2008) reported that the efficiency of obtaining G0/G1 cells was lower using roscovitine compared to serum-starvation and contact inhibition (89.7 vs 91.1% and 91.0%; P < 0.05). The contrasting outcomes in these studies might be the consequence of the treatment methods, species, or cell type.

Khammanit et al. (2008) reported that serum starvation did not increase the rate of apoptotic cells; by contrast, in the present study, the rate of apoptosis after 48 h serum starvation was higher than found for contact inhibition or roscovitine treatments. We also examined the relative levels of expression of apoptosis-related genes, BAX and BCL-2. Serum starvation significantly increased expression of BAX but decreased expression of BCL-2 compared to roscovitine and contact inhibition. Gibbons et al. (2002) reported that roscovitine treatment predictably synchronized the donor cell cycle of bovine somatic cells and improved their capacity for nuclear reprogramming; as a result, calf survival and cloning efficiency were enhanced. Furthermore, Park et al. (2010) reported that roscovitine treatment of porcine donor cells for SCNT could improve the development of cloned embryos and increase the efficiency of production of cloned piglets. In the present study, contact inhibition, serum starvation and roscovitine treated transgenic cells were used as donor nuclear cells for SCNT, and cloned embryos were cultured in vitro. We found that the blastocyst formation rate in the serum starvation group was significantly lower than in the roscovitine and contact inhibition groups. This may be attributed to the induction of apoptosis, which affected the development of cloned embryos. Miranda et al. (2009) showed that blastocyst production and quality after SCNT were reduced using apoptotic cells induced by serum starvation. Park et al. (2004) found that treatment of donor cells with putative apoptosis inhibitors reduced apoptosis after SCNT and improved the development of cloned embryos.
Here we tested the in vivo developmental competence of transgenic Bama mini-pig cloned embryos derived from contact inhibition, serum starvation and roscovitine treated transgenic kidney fibroblasts. Only one pregnancy successfully achieved full-term; the cells used for SCNT in this case were roscovitine treated.

In conclusion, we showed that transgenic Bama-mini pig kidney fibroblasts could be synchronized at the G0/G1 stage by serum starvation, roscovitine treatment, or contact inhibition. However, serum starvation increased the rate of apoptosis and the level of expression of the apoptosis-promoting gene BAX. Overall, the in vitro and in vivo developmental competence of transgenic Bama mini-pig embryos was improved using roscovitine treated donor cells for SCNT. The results of this study will assist the production of transgenic Bama mini-pigs and aid establishment of pig transgenic models for biomedical research.
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