Intestinal lactic acid bacteria from Muscovy duck as potential probiotics that alter adhesion factor gene expression
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ABSTRACT. The purpose of this study was to assess the suitability of lactic acid bacteria (LABs) isolated from Muscovy duck as a potential probiotic. Isolates were identified by targeted polymerase chain reaction and assessed in vitro for probiotic characteristics such as autoaggregation; surface-charge; hydrophobicity; tolerance to acidic pH, bile salts and protease; and expression of genes involved in Caco-2 cell adhesion. The LAB isolates exhibited strong resistance to high bile concentration and acidic pH, produced lactic acid, and bacteriostatic (P < 0.05) were identified as bacilli compared with LAB isolates of cocci. Additionally, the LAB isolates showed high sensitivity to penicillin and tetracycline antibiotics, while they were resistant to ofloxacin, Macrodantin, and cotrimoxazole. The level of F-actin mRNA increased in the groups treated with CM3, Salmonella enterica, and CM3 + S. enterica (P < 0.0001, P < 0.05 and P < 0.05 ). The level of cell adhesion molecule (CAM) and E-cadherin (E-cad) mRNA expression was significantly lower in the treatment group (P < 0.05 for both) than in the...
control. The F-actin, CAM, and E-cad mRNA levels were significantly lower in the *S. enterica* and CM3 + *S. enterica* groups (P < 0.01) than in the CM3 group. Among these, RNA levels were higher in the CM3 + *S. enterica* than *S. enterica* group. These results indicate that the natural duck gut microflora is an excellent source for probiotic bacteria and can facilitate the establishment of criteria to select probiotic strains for the prevention of diarrhea.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Gastrointestinal illness, such as diarrhea, in young animals has a significant impact on animal husbandry. The use of probiotics sourced from indigenous microbiota could prevent pathogenic colonization in the digestive tract and act as a barrier against toxic substances and pathogens (Long and Swenson, 1977; Nemcova, 1997; Zoetendal et al., 2006; Neal-McKinney et al., 2012). Previous studies show that lactic acid bacteria (LABs) and related microorganisms initially colonize the intestinal tract and subsequently, prevent colonization of intestinal pathogens (Long and Swenson, 1977). Thus, the appropriate use of probiotics could prevent illnesses and increase productivity of reared animals (Fuller, 1989).

Probiotics are administered to produce a beneficial physiological effect (Mountzouris et al., 2007), often as an adjuvant to provide protection to newborns (Brink et al., 2005; Saxelin, 2008; Tsirtsikos et al., 2012). Lactobacilli are an important species of probiotics (Fuller, 1989; Otero et al., 2006; Martin et al., 2007; Karunasena et al., 2013), known to provide resistance against pathogens such as *Escherichia coli* (Shu and Gill, 2002) and *Salmonella* (Calderon et al., 2007). *In vivo* studies have shown that LABs colonize the intestinal tract of mice and provide protection against *Salmonella* (Calderon et al., 2007).

Previous studies demonstrated that probiotic strains prevented colonization by pathogens mainly by adhesion to the intestinal tract or production of bactericidal substances (Ocana and Nader-Macias, 2002; Otero et al., 2006). The purpose of this study was to isolate LABs from young Muscovy ducks and determine resistance to intestinal tract conditions (acidic pH, bile etc.). Moreover, we determined if the LAB isolates could function as probiotics when administered to other Muscovy ducks, in terms of bacteriostatic activity and adhesion factor gene expression.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Animals and collection of samples**

We obtained 30 healthy Muscovy ducks (<4 weeks old) from Muscovy duck farms in Fujian Province, China. These ducks had not received antibiotics in the 2 or 3 weeks and had been determined as clinically healthy (based on body weight, food intake, and absence of diarrheal symptoms). Samples of intestinal contents were collected and stored in de Man, Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) medium containing 1.5% agar, pH 6.2, at 4°C. Before use, the sample solutions were resuspended (10⁻¹ dilution) in peptone water, followed by serial dilutions. Al-
quots of each dilution were inoculated in MRS broth (1% meat extract, 1% casein, 0.4% yeast extract, 2% glucose, 0.108% Tween-80), and plated on MacConkey agar (Britania, Buenos Aires, Argentina), and MRS agar, in order to quantify enterobacteria and LABs, respectively.

**Presumptive identification of the microorganisms**

We performed a Gram stain to determine morphology and the catalase (Sigma, Shanghai, China) reaction to detect gas production from glucose in PY medium, containing 500 μL Tween-80, 0.5% agar, and 1.6% bromocresol purple. Gas production from gluconate was detected using gluconate medium and tubes were sealed with a layer of Vaseline-paraffin (1:1). LABs were inoculated into both, PY and gluconate media, and incubated for 48 h at 37°C.

The degradation of H₂O₂ was determined to identify LAB isolates as previously described (Otero et al., 2004). The isolated LABs were inoculated on MRS agar (Sigma Chemical Co, St. Louis, MO, USA) plates and incubated for 24 h at 37°C. Extent of effervescence produced by the isolate in the plate using 15% H₂O₂ was scored as negative (-) weakly positive (+), moderately positive (++) or strongly positive (+++).

**Genetic identification of the isolated strain**

The isolated LAB was further identified by 16S rRNA gene sequencing. Colonies on MRS agar were picked up, and the DNA, which was extracted by the QIAmp DNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Guangzhou, China) as per the manufacturer instructions, was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using the GoTaq® DNA polymerase kit (containing GoTaq® DNA Polymerase, 5X Green GoTaq® Reaction Buffer, and 5X Colorless GoTaq® Reaction Buffer; Promega, Xiamen, USA), 1 μM forward (5’-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3’) and reverse (5’-TAGGGTTACCTTGTTACCTGACTT-3’) primers, and Milli-Q (Milli-Q Gradient, Shanghai, China) water. The final reaction volume was 25 μL. PCR was performed using a CFX96-TM-C1000 thermal cycler (BioRad, USA) under the following conditions: 5 min at 95°C for initial denaturation; 35 cycles consisting of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 55°C, and 90 s at 72°C; and final extension for 10 min at 72°C. PCR products were electrophoresed on 1.0% agarose gels, purified, and sequenced via the DNA sequencing service provided by Sangon Biotechnology, Shanghai, China. Our 16S rRNA sequences were identified by comparison with those deposited in the GenBank database by using the BLAST search.

**Organic acid quantification**

Supernatant fractions (1 mL) from the 3rd subculture in MRS broth incubated for 16 h at 37°C were analyzed for lactic acid production by high-pressure liquid chromatography. A column (BioRad HPX-87H 300 x 7.8 mm) at 41°C temperature within the KS System chromatographer (Knauer, Germany), 5 mM H₂SO₄, pH 2, as eluent, flow rate of 0.5 mL/min, and a Knauer K-2301 (Knauer, German) refractive index detector were used for organic acid detection.

**Inhibitory activity against different pathogens**

The inhibitory substance in the supernatant fluid of the isolates was evaluated by the
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plate diffusion technique (Nemcova, 1997). Briefly, pathogenic strains were inoculated at a concentration of $10^5$ colony-forming units (CFU)/mL on 1.5% MRS agar plates. Supernatant fractions (1 mL) from the 3rd subculture in MRS broth were placed into 4-mm holes punched into the agar of the MRS plates; subsequently, the plates were incubated for 24 h at 37°C. An inhibition zone of ≥6 mm was considered as a positive result. Supernatants testing positive were treated with catalase (1000 U/mL) to determine the nature of the hydrogen peroxide and organic acids produced. Pathogens including *E. coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Salmonella* spp, and *Bacillus cereus* isolated from clinical samples of poultry and laboratory stock cultures were used to evaluate the inhibitory activity of the isolated LABs.

**Tolerance to acidic pH**

Bacteria were cultured in MRS broth at 37°C overnight, followed by incubation for another 24 h in fresh MRS broth. The MRS broth was collected and centrifuged at 3000 g for 15 min at 4°C, and pellets were washed twice and re-suspended in sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.2. Each strain was diluted 1:10 in PBS at pH 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6. Subsequently, LAB isolates were transferred into MRS media and broth, and incubated aerobically at 37°C for 24 h. Count of surviving cells was determined by plating on MRS agar.

**Tolerance to bile salts**

MRS media with bile (Sigma, Shanghai, China) at concentrations of 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 g/L were prepared. Samples of the 3rd subcultures of LABs (2 µL each, corresponding to $6 \times 10^8$ CFU/mL, 5 repetitions for each isolate) were spotted onto MRS-bile medium and incubated aerobically for 24 h at 37°C.

**Tolerance to protease**

LAB isolates were cultured in MRS broth at 37°C for 24 h and subcultured into fresh MRS broth and incubated for another 24 h. MRS media with trypsin (Sigma, Shanghai, China) at concentrations of 8, 10, 12, 14, and 16 g/L were prepared. Samples of the 3rd subcultures of LABs (2 µL each, corresponding to $6 \times 10^8$ CFU/mL) were spotted onto MRS-trypsin medium, incubated for 24 h at 37°C, and detected at OD $\text{600}$.

**Antibiotic sensitivity**

LABs were activated by incubating in MRS broth at 37°C overnight, followed by incubation for another 24 h in fresh MRS. Bacterial concentration was adjusted to $3 \times 10^8$ CFU/mL and the suspension was added to sterile LB molten medium (45°C) in a proportion of 1:25 (v:v). Antibiotic susceptibility discs was gently fixed on the agar surface and plates were incubated for 24 h at 37°C to determine inhibition zones.
Characterization of adhesion and reverse transcriptase (RT)-PCR

Bacterial cell surface hydrophobicity and adherence to epithelial and Caco-2 cells were investigated to characterize the adhesion ability of LABs. Cell surface hydrophobicity was tested as previously described (Handley et al., 1987; Otero et al., 2004). Samples of the 3rd subcultures and saline-washed lactobacilli (OD$_{600}$ = 0.6) were mixed with the same volume of n-hexadecane (Sigma, Shanghai, China). After a separation time of 60 min, the decrease in OD of the aqueous phase was used as a measure of cell surface hydrophobicity. 

In vitro, adherence to epithelial cells was determined as previously described (Tuomola and Salminen, 1998; Matisjaic, et al., 2003). The Caco-2 cell line was purchased from the Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Shanghai. Caco-2 cell was cultured and cell concentration was adjusted to 4 x 10$^5$ CFU/mL, while LAB cell concentration was adjusted to 1 x 10$^8$ CFU/mL. The two suspensions were mixed in a ratio of 1:4 (v:v) and incubated for 30 min at 37°C in a shaking water bath (25 rev/min). Adhesion was observed microscopically and scored positive if at least 10 adhered bacteria could be observed per Caco-2 cell. A confirmatory adhesion assay was performed with Caco-2 cells using phase-contrast microscopy.

LAB cells were collected by centrifuged at 3000 rpm/min and immediately subjected to RNA extraction using Trizol (TaKaRa, Dalian, China) following manufacturer instructions. The mRNA expression level was determined as previously described (Bionaz and Loor, 2011). Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed using a Bio-Rad MyiQ™ Detection System (Applied Biosystems, USA) as previously described (Xie et al., 2015). The nucleotide sequences of adhesion factor were used for primer design and search in the European Bioinformatics Institute database (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/). The primers used were as follows: cell adhesion molecule (CAM; F: 5'-ctgatgatgacgtgagcagc-3'; R: 5'-gactcccatgtgagtgtcca-3'), E-cadherin (E-cad; F: 5'-cgtagcagtgacgaatgtgg-3'; R: 5'-ctgggcagtgtaggatgtga-3') and F-actin (F: 5'-cgtggtagaagtgcaggaga-3'; R: 5'-ccgatgttggctatgtgtgg-3'). The reaction conditions were as follows: 5 min at 95°C, 40 cycles of 40 s at 94°C and 40 s at 60°C, followed by a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The final data were recorded, and the comparative threshold cycle (Ct) method was used to calculate the amount of target mRNA normalized to GADPH and relative to an internal control by 2$^{-\Delta\Delta\text{Ct}}$ method (Xie, et al., 2015).

Statistical analysis

All analyses were conducted in duplicate in 2 independent fermentation surveys. Data obtained were subjected to ANOVA and means were separated by the Tukey multiple comparison tests using SPSS 16.0. The mean difference was considered significant at the 0.05 level.

RESULTS

Presumptive identification and characterization of microorganisms

The enterobacteria isolated from Muscovy ducks (between 1- to 4-week-old) showed no statistically significant differences in the numbers of LABs. A total of 58 isolates were identified as LABs: 78% of the bacilli and cocci were isolated from Muscovy ducks, with a majority of cocci (26 isolates) and bacilli (29 isolates) isolated from ducks on farms. We identified 6 different categories and designated them as CM1, CM2, CM3, CM4, CM5, and CM6. The
isolates in CM2, CM4, and CM5 were spherical or elliptical, in pairs or short chains, while those in CM1, CM3, and CM6 were short or long rods, as shown in Figure 1A. Sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene of CM1, CM2, CM3, CM4, CM5, and CM6 showed similarity to *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* (99%), *Lactococcus lactis* subsp *lactis* (99%), *Lactobacillus salivarius* (99%), *Streptococcus lactis* (99%), *Enterococcus columbae* (99%), and *Lactobacillus murinus* (99%) in GenBank sequences and rooted the phylogenetic tree (Figure 1B and C).

Table 1 summarizes the individual characteristics of the isolates by hydrophobicity and autoaggregation. The surface characteristics of the LAB isolates were evaluated and a higher degree of hydrophobicity was observed in several isolates in the *Lactobacillus* group. The autoaggregation ability increased with time (from 0 to 12 h). Overall, the LAB isolates expressed >90% hydrophobicity and the surface charge of the LAB isolates was higher in the group treated with ethyl acetate than those treated with chloroform.
Table 1. Characteristics of hydrophobicity, autoaggregation, and surface charge with LAB isolates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>Hydrophobicity (%)</th>
<th>Autoaggregation (%)</th>
<th>Surface charge (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hexadecane</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>Chloroform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM1 (Lactobacillus rhamnosus)</td>
<td>96.79 ± 3.75</td>
<td>61.36 ± 1.76</td>
<td>97.94 ± 2.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM2 (Lactococcus lactis subsp Lactis)</td>
<td>98.66 ± 1.75</td>
<td>72.55 ± 3.10</td>
<td>95.72 ± 6.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM3 (Lactobacillus salivarius)</td>
<td>99.79 ± 2.66</td>
<td>90.16 ± 2.33</td>
<td>99.72 ± 1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM4 (Streptococcus lactis)</td>
<td>99.72 ± 3.68</td>
<td>52.59 ± 2.29</td>
<td>96.12 ± 1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM5 (Enterococcus columbace)</td>
<td>99.23 ± 1.33</td>
<td>57.89 ± 1.84</td>
<td>95.67 ± 2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM6 (Lactobacillus murinus)</td>
<td>98.77 ± 1.46</td>
<td>49.72 ± 3.51</td>
<td>96.18 ± 2.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are reported as means ± SEM.

Bacteriostatic activity of isolated strains

LAB isolates sharing beneficial properties (hydrophobicity and autoaggregation) were selected and identified by genetic methods (Table 1). The results show that 33% bacilli were moderate producers (++) of hydrogen peroxide (Table 2). The strains that produced inhibitory substances were identified as probiotic and capable of protecting the intestinal steady state condition, on account of lactic acid production (Table 2). As per Table 2, the LAB isolates in CM2, CM4, and CM5 were cocci and produced lower amounts of lactic acid than those in CM1, CM3, and CM6 categories. As per Table 2, LAB isolates in CM3 showed significant bacteriostatic activity, especially inhibiting Salmonella (P < 0.05) and B. cereus (P < 0.05). The inhibitory zone diameter for Salmonella and B. cereus was significantly larger than that for E. coli and S. aureus, respectively (Table 3).

Table 2. Inhibitory substances produced of LAB isolated from Muscovy ducks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>Lactic acid (g/L)</th>
<th>pH supernatant</th>
<th>H2O2 production</th>
<th>Gas production</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CM1 (Lactobacillus rhamnosus)</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>4.75 ± 0.19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM2 (Lactococcus lactis subsp Lactis)</td>
<td>9.73</td>
<td>3.97 ± 0.22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM3 (Lactobacillus salivarius)</td>
<td>15.56</td>
<td>5.11 ± 0.19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM4 (Streptococcus lactis)</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td>4.40 ± 0.24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM5 (Enterococcus columbace)</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>4.37 ± 0.23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM6 (Lactobacillus murinus)</td>
<td>11.56</td>
<td>4.28 ± 0.24</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are partially reported as means ± SEM. *Lactic acid production was determined by HPLC in a 16-h culture in MRS broth. **pH of the supernatant was determined using a pHmeter. *The degradation of H2O2 was determined to identify LAB isolates as previously described (Otero et al., 2004). A scored was assigned as negative (-), weakly positive (+), moderately positive (++), or strongly positive (+++).

Table 3. Bacteriostasis activity comparison of Lactobacillus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator bacteria strains</th>
<th>Inhibitory zone diameter (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CM1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
<td>15.55 ± 1.00!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmonella</td>
<td>18.95 ± 2.57!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus cereus</td>
<td>20.27 ± 2.34!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>10.93 ± 1.38!</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values of same row with same letter superscripts mean P > 0.05 and with different small or capital letter superscripts mean P < 0.05. *Muscovy duck intestinal isolated lactobacillus has significantly bacteriostatic effect on indicator strains (P < 0.05).
Tolerance characteristic of isolated strains

Bacteriocin produced by 6 of the LAB isolates was inactivated by acidic pH, bile salts, and protease, as shown in Figure 2. Most of the LAB isolates were able to grow in media at pH in the range of 3 to 6, but the survival rate of LAB isolates dropped significantly when the pH dropped below 3. The survival rate of bacilli was significantly higher than that of cocci at pH 2 and significantly increased with increasing pH (P < 0.05) (Figure 2A). This result indicated that the bacillary isolates have strong acid resistance.

The LAB isolates were sensitive to different concentrations of bile and showed growth in media containing 2, 4, 6, and 8 g/L bile salts (Figure 2B-1). Additionally, LAB isolates identified as bacilli were able to resist 10 g/L bile concentration and most of the LAB isolates grew at the lowest concentration (2 g/L) (Figure 2B-1). However, of the 6 categories of LAB isolates, only the survival rate of CM3 was more than 25% at the highest concentration (10 g/L) (Figure 2B-2). The survival rate of bacilli was significantly higher than that of cocci at 4, 6, and 8 g/L bile salts concentrations (P < 0.05). However, the survival rate of LAB isolates decreased with an increase in bile concentrations, wherein all concentrations after 2 g/L bile salts showed a significant decline in survival of LAB isolates (P < 0.05). Additionally, the survival rate of cocci was lower than that of bacilli (Figure 2B-1). Growth inhibition by protease was dependent on strong release of acids into the media by inhibitory strains. The survival rate of bacilli was significantly higher in the media at pH 3 with 5 mg/mL pepsin, than that of cocci (P < 0.05) (Figure 2C). With trypsin treatment, the activity of LABs was higher than that of cocci. In addition, resistance to trypsin showed a negative correlation with trypsin concentration and significantly decreased for all LABs (P < 0.05) (Figure 2D).

According to Table 4, 6 LAB isolates were sensitive to penicillin and tetracycline antibiotics, while they were resistant to ofloxacin, Macrodantin, and cotrimoxazole. Among these, CM1, CM2, CM4, and CM5 showed a high level of sensitivity to ampicillin and doxycycline, whereas CM6 was sensitive to ampicillin alone.

Characterization of adhesion and involvement of gene expression

Bacterial cell adherence to Caco-2 cell was determined by phase-contrast microscopy and the results show that LAB isolates adhered to Caco-2 cell (Figure 3A, B, C, D, E, and F). Among these, CM5, CM4, and CM2 strains, identified as cocci, showed adhered bacterial cell numbers of 32.9 ± 4.80, 31.3 ± 3.50, and 30.8 ± 2.30 CFU/Caco-2 cell, respectively. These values are significantly higher than those obtained for LABs (P < 0.05) identified as bacilli (Figure 3G).

The quantities of adhesion factor mRNAs are summarized in Figure 4. Compared with the control group, F-actin mRNA increased in CM3 (P < 0.0001), Salmonella enterica (P < 0.05), and CM3 + S. enterica groups (P < 0.05). CAM and E-cadherin mRNA significantly decreased in the S. enterica (P < 0.05) and CM3 + S. enterica groups (P < 0.05) compared with the control. Interestingly, all the adhesion factor mRNA levels significantly decreased in the S. enterica (P < 0.01) and CM3 + S. enterica groups (P < 0.01) compared with the levels in the CM3 group. In particular, the CAM mRNA level was higher in the CM3 + S. enterica group than in the S. enterica group.
Figure 2. Resistance of LAB isolates from Muscovy duck to pH, trypsin and antibiotics. A. LAB resistance to different levels of acidic pH, compared with cocci, *P < 0.05. The survival rate of bacilli was significantly higher than that of cocci at pH 2 and significantly increased with increasing pH. B-1. LAB resistance to different concentration of bile; B-2, survival rate of LAB isolates in the presence of 10 g/L bile. Both, cocci and bacilli, were resistant to bile, the different small letter superscripts mean values were comparable at P < 0.05 in the presence of 2, 4, 6 and 8 g/L bile salts, compared with cocci, *P < 0.05. C. Survival rate of LAB isolates with pepsin treatment, compared with that of cocci, *P < 0.05. D. LAB resistance to different concentrations of trypsin. All the data are reported as means ± SEM.

Table 4. Antibiotic sensitivity analysis of LAB isolated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotics name</th>
<th>LAB isolates strain</th>
<th>CM1</th>
<th>CM2</th>
<th>CM3</th>
<th>CM4</th>
<th>CM5</th>
<th>CM6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythromycin</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectinomycin</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamycin</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ofloxacin</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrodantin</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotrimoxazole</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
<td>dR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

dR: drug-resistant; N: normal, between sensitive and resistant; S: sensitive.
Figure 3. Adhesion of LAB isolates to Caco-2 cells, as determined by phase-contrast microscopy. A. CM1 isolate adhered to Caco-2 cell; B. CM2 isolate adhered to Caco-2 cell; C. CM3 isolate adhered to Caco-2 cell; D. CM4 isolate adhered to Caco-2 cell; E. CM5 isolate adhered to Caco-2 cell; F. CM6 isolate adhered to Caco-2 cell; G. bacterium cell adhesion numbers and supernatant pH value. Red arrows indicate bacteria. The adhesion numbers are reported as means ± SEM. Cocci show higher adhesive ability as compared to bacilli, *P < 0.05.

Figure 4. Expression of F-actin, CAM, and E-Cad genes in Caco-2 cells treated with CM3, Salmonella enterica or CM3 + S. enterica. RNA extracted from Caco-2 cells was reverse-transcribed to cDNA and analyzed by real-time PCR. Compared with the control, * P < 0.05, ***P < 0.0001; compared with the CM3 group, #P < 0.05, ##P < 0.01.
DISCUSSION

In vivo evaluations of the health benefits of potential probiotics are time-consuming and expensive. Therefore, in vitro tests are advantageous to reduce the resources required to screen the large number of potential strains and finally identify the most effective organism (Nemcova, 1997). Relatively few in vitro studies have been conducted regarding the beneficial effect of probiotics isolated from ducks. Additionally, it is important to isolate and select probiotic strains using host-specific assays. The isolation of probiotic LABs from the same ecological niche allowed for host-specificity, especially surface properties or beneficial product, which is the first step towards identifying an ideal probiotic (Zoetendal, et al., 2006).

Previous studies have shown that surface properties such as hydrophobicity, surface charge and autoaggregation, and ability to adhere to host cells are the most critical factors to combat pathogens (Ocana and Nader-Macias, 2002). As the first step for LAB colonization is adhesion to the epithelial cell surface and formation of a biofilm (Ocana and Nader-Macias, 2002), there is a significant correlation between bacterial adhesion to the host cell surface and probiotic ability (Del Re et al., 1998, 2000; Makras et al., 2006). In this study, we demonstrated these characteristics in LAB isolates from the intestinal tract of Muscovy duck, consistent with previous reports (Calderon et al., 2007; Mountzouris et al., 2007; Reddy et al., 2007; Fraga et al., 2008; Klose et al., 2010). Although only a few LAB isolates identified showed high levels of hydrophobicity and autoaggregation indices, we demonstrated that these properties are present in probiotics (Espeche et al., 2009). On the other hand, probiotic adhesion of the strains to intestinal cells also results in the release of inhibitory substances including exopolysaccharides and lactic acid (Otero et al., 2006; Martin et al., 2007; Klose et al., 2010; Karunasena et al., 2013; Kumar et al., 2013; Neissi et al., 2013; Roberts et al., 2013).

To evaluate relationship between intestinal bacteria identified in our analyses, we cultured a representative consortium of 6 enterobacteria isolates. The species-level 16S rRNA similarity to operational taxonomic units associated with Lactobacillus was confirmed by 16S sequence comparison with the input and the native intestinal bacteria from our initial analyses, fulfilling Koch’s postulates (Figures 1B and C). Additionally, we included LAB isolates in the consortium and showed the strongest resistance to acidic pH (Figure 2A). The results of this study also confirm that LAB isolates produce large quantity of lactic acid (Table 2), with bacilli showing the highest output. However, only 1 strain, morphologically identified as L. marinus, was shown to produce a high level of hydrogen peroxide (Table 2). In addition to hydrogen peroxide, organic acids produced by LABs also inhibit the colonization of pathogens (Rodriguez-Palacios et al., 2009). In vitro bactericidal effect was evaluated to determine the resistance to high concentrations of bile (Fernández et al., 2003; Díez-Gonzalez, 2007; Klose et al., 2010). Some secondary bile acids can impair pathogen growth in vitro, such as that produced by Clostridium difficile (Wilson, 1983; Sorg and Sonenshein, 2008). Thus, resistance to bile salts is of great importance to the survival and growth of bacteria in the intestinal tract. Of the 6 LAB isolates, only CM3 was able to show growth in the presence of 10 g/L bile, with a survival rate of >25% (Figure 2B-2). This strain also showed inhibition of pathogens such as Salmonella and B. cereus (Table 3). These results are consistent with previous reports indicating that resistance to bile salts is a prerequisite for probiotics (Fernández et al., 2003).

Another important property of potential probiotics is the ability to adhere to intestinal or gastric mucin, since this is considered a pre-requisite for gut colonization and resistance against intestinal pathogens (Martin et al., 2007). In this study, 6 LAB isolates were evaluated...
for their ability to adhere to Caco-2 cells and they showed strong adhesion (Figures 3A-F). Of these, CM2, CM4, and CM5, which were morphologically identified as cocci, showed strongest adhesion (Figure 3G), attributed to a high level of surface hydrophobicity (Matisjaic et al., 2003). A previous study has implicated surface hydrophobicity as essential to attachment of bacteria to epithelial and intestinal mucosal cells (Handley et al., 1987).

Previous studies have shown that *Lactobacillus* can inhibit *Salmonella*, possibly by influencing the expression of host cell adhesion factor and subsequently, inflammation caused by *Salmonella* was reduced (Calderon et al., 2007). Beneficial bacteria isolated from different sources are widely used in veterinary medicine and these act mainly by producing inhibitory substances (Strompfová et al., 2006; Diez-Gonzalez, 2007; Veir et al., 2007). For example, *Lactobacillus amylovorus*, producing a highly acidic inhibitory substance, shows high antibacterial ability against diarrheal pathogens in pigs (Klose et al., 2010). In this study, the adhesion factor gene expression was significantly lower in the *Salmonella* group and CM3 + *Salmonella* group than in the CM3 group (Figure 4). However, CAM mRNA was higher in the CM3 + *Salmonella* group than in the *Salmonella* group. These results indicate that CM3 can be considered as a probiotic to prevent diarrheal disease. Since LABs can increase gene expression of adhesion factors, especially CAM, these can prove useful to adhere to the host cell and reduce the area available for adhesion of pathogenic bacteria. Overall, this study provides a preliminary data for the selection of probiotics to be used in animal husbandry to prevent diarrheal disease.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Our results indicate that surface properties such as hydrophobicity, surface charge, and autoaggregation could alter host-cell adherence and finally resistance to pathogens. This strategy could be useful to evaluate beneficial effects of microbial inoculum with probiotic properties.
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