Advanced oxidation protein products as a biomarker of cutaneous lupus erythematosus complicated by nephritis: a case-control study
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ABSTRACT. Oxidative stress is involved in the pathogenesis of lupus nephritis (LN). The current study investigated the significance of advanced oxidation protein products (AOPPs) as a biomarker of LN in patients with cutaneous lupus erythematosus. Ninety-two patients who initially presented with systemic lupus erythematosus were divided into the LN- and LN+ groups. Serum AOPP levels were determined, and the association between AOPP levels and LN was investigated in a case-control study. In the LN+ group, patients with higher AOPP levels exhibited higher levels of dsDNA and proteinuria but lower levels of eGFR and complement C3 compared to those in patients with lower AOPP levels. A multivariable logistic regression model showed that the AOPP level was an independent risk factor for
LN. The risk of nephritis specifically increased 24% for each 10 μM increase in AOPP (95% confidence interval, 1.166-1.915, P = 0.030). In contrast, neither elevated dsDNA level nor decreased complement C3 level was an independent risk factor for LN. Higher serum AOPP levels were associated with an increased risk of LN. Therefore, future studies are warranted to determine the potential clinical value of this novel biomarker.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a primary autoimmune disease. It is not uncommon in Asian countries including China (Mok, 2011). SLE has complex pathogenic mechanisms and can cause injuries to multiple organs. In China, the kidneys are the most susceptible organs to SLE development after the skin. Because the treatment protocols and prognoses for different types of SLE with or without lupus nephritis (LN) can vary greatly, the ability to differentiate between cutaneous lupus erythematosus and LN is clinically important (Fismen et al., 2007).

Neutrophil-mediated oxidative stress is an important mechanism of LN (Knight and Kaplan, 2012). Oxidation proteins contain double-tyrosine structures. Meanwhile, advanced oxidation protein products (AOPPs) are generated by myeloperoxidase in neutrophils because of oxidative stress (Capeillere-Blandin et al., 2006). The double-tyrosine structure of AOPPs has a special absorbance feature at 340 nm. Taking advantage of this absorbance property, Witko-Sarsat et al. (1996) developed an economical and convenient spectrophotometric method to detect AOPPs that has been used extensively in recent years. Thus, AOPPs are considered a biological marker that reflects inflammation-associated oxidative stress (Witko-Sarsat et al., 1996). AOPPs can activate inflammatory cells (Witko-Sarsat et al., 1998) and contribute to the progression of renal failure (Li et al., 2007). AOPPs have been used as markers of autoimmune diseases of the skin and kidneys (Descamps-Latscha et al., 2004; Yazici et al., 2004; Li et al., 2007; Servettaz et al., 2007). Furthermore, a subset of SLE patients present with increased serum levels of AOPPs (Lozovoy et al., 2011; Hanasand et al., 2012).

Accordingly, the present case-control study aimed to determine whether the AOPP level can be used as a biomarker to predict LN in SLE patients who initially present with cutaneous lupus erythematosus.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Patient selection**

SLE patients hospitalized from January 2010 to December 2012 for skin manifestations in the Department of Dermatology of the Sichuan Provincial People’s Hospital were analyzed retrospectively. These patients’ manifestations of cutaneous lupus erythematosus were aligned with the classification criteria for SLE-characteristic skin lesions established
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by the Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics (Petri and Magder, 2004). These inpatients were studied and divided into the LN- and LN+ groups on the basis of their renal complications. In addition, patients were included if they were followed up for at least 6 months and their serum samples from their initial admissions were available. The LN patients who were followed were placed in the LN+ group even if they did not have renal damage on admission. The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) involvement of organs besides the kidneys; 2) a clinical manifestation or examination outcome of infection during the first 4 weeks of the investigation; and 3) diabetes mellitus. A total of 140 patients were originally enrolled, but 24 were excluded because of a lack of available serum samples. Among the remaining 116 patients, 106 were successfully followed for 6 months. During the follow-up, extrarenal organ damage, concurrent infections, and diabetes occurred in 3, 5, and 6 patients, respectively; these patients were excluded from the analysis. Finally, 92 patients were analyzed, including 56 and 36 patients in the LN- and LN+ groups, respectively. In the LN+ group, 30 patients underwent percutaneous renal needle biopsies. Meanwhile, the other 6 patients did not consent to renal biopsy. According to the LN pathological classifications proposed by the World Health Organization, 6, 21, 2, 1 patients were type III, IV, V, and IV + V, respectively.

This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the institutional ethics committee of Sichuan Provincial People’s Hospital, Chengdu, China. Informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to participation.

Clinical indices

The patients’ demographic data, clinical manifestations (including dental ulcers, arthritis, and serositis manifestations), hematological abnormalities, renal function, immunological abnormalities, and inflammatory indices upon hospitalization were collected. SLE-associated hematological damage was evaluated according to the criteria proposed by the American College of Rheumatology, including leukocyte count <4.0 x 10^9/L, hemolytic anemia, and platelet count <100 x 10^9/L (Bombardier et al., 1992). The estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated on the basis of the EPI formula to reflect renal function (Levey et al., 2009). Complement C3 <0.8 g/L was defined as hypocomplementemia, and dsDNA >100 IU/mL was defined as dsDNA positive on the basis of the laboratory index used at the Sichuan Provincial People’s Hospital. SLE activity was evaluated on the basis of the SLE disease activity index (SLEDAI, Bombardier et al., 1992).

Serum AOPP detection

On admission, serum samples were collected for AOPP detection. Serum samples (200 μL) or chloramine-T standards (Sigma, USA) were added to a 96-well plate (Corning Costar, Corning, USA). Each serum sample was mixed with 20 μL acetic acid, and the chloramine-T standards were mixed with 30 μL potassium iodide-acetic acid reaction solution (1:2 v/v). The absorbance (A) was immediately read at 340 nm (Thermo Multiskan MK3, Finland) (Chen et al., 2011). All samples were measured in triplicate, and the detection was completed within 3 min of sample application.
Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using SPSS version 13.0 (SPSS Inc., USA). Two independent-sample t-tests were used to analyze numerical variables, and the χ² test was used to analyze nominal variables for intergroup comparisons. Pearson’s correlation analysis was performed to determine the correlations between 2 variables. A multivariable binary logistic regression model was used to analyze the risk factors contributing to nephritis. In the intergroup comparison, variables (P < 0.10) were introduced into the multivariate logistic regression model to analyze the risk of LN. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were used to evaluate the correlations between the risk factors and subsequent disease risk. Some of the variables were transformed appropriately according to their clinical significance. The level of statistical significance was set at P < 0.05, and all tests were 2-sided.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics

No significant differences were observed between the 2 groups with respect to age, gender, or clinical signs and symptoms. However, the LN+ group exhibited higher dsDNA levels, SLEDAI scores, and serum AOPP levels but lower eGFR compared to those in the LN- group (Table 1).

Table 1. General data of the LN- and LN+ groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation index</th>
<th>LN- (N = 56)</th>
<th>LN+ (N = 36)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>30 ± 6</td>
<td>32 ± 7</td>
<td>0.166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male/female)</td>
<td>16/40</td>
<td>15/21</td>
<td>0.195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral ulcers (presence/absence)</td>
<td>50/6</td>
<td>34/2</td>
<td>0.391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthritis (presence/absence)</td>
<td>43/13</td>
<td>30/6</td>
<td>0.449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dropsy of serous cavities (presence/absence)</td>
<td>9/47</td>
<td>7/24</td>
<td>0.453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematologic abnormalities (presence/absence)</td>
<td>54/2</td>
<td>33/3</td>
<td>0.551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFRa (mL⋅min⁻¹⋅1.73 m⁻²)</td>
<td>99.5 ± 11.7</td>
<td>57.4 ± 7.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRPb (mg/L)</td>
<td>4.8 ± 2.4</td>
<td>4.7 ± 2.7</td>
<td>0.807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ds-DNA (IU/mL)</td>
<td>140.0 ± 28.8</td>
<td>164.4 ± 38.7</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3 (g/L)</td>
<td>0.585 (0.437, 0.739)</td>
<td>0.700 (0.513, 0.774)</td>
<td>0.109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLEDAIc (point)</td>
<td>7 ± 2</td>
<td>13 ± 2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOPPd (μM)</td>
<td>80.1 (66.7, 89.6)</td>
<td>122.3 (116.1, 133.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continuous variables are reported as mean values ± SD or medians (25th, 75th percentile) as appropriate and categorical data are reported as number of cases (percentage). aEstimated glomerular filtration rate; bC-reactive protein; cSystemic lupus erythematosus disease activity index; dAdvanced oxidation protein products.

Correlation between AOPP and LN

SLEDAI scores (1 point per increase), dsDNA levels (10 IU/mL per increase), and AOPP levels (10 μM per increase) were introduced into the logistic regression model. AOPP levels were a significant independent risk factor for LN in SLE patients initially presenting with cutaneous lupus erythematosus. Specifically, each 10-μM increase in AOPP level increased the risk of LN 24% (95%CI, 1.166-1.915, P = 0.030).

LN+ subgroup analysis

The 36 patients in the LN+ group was subdivided into the high and low AOPP sub-
groups according to the AOPP median of 122.3 μM. The low AOPP subgroup exhibited higher dsDNA levels, SLEDAI scores, and serum AOPP levels but exhibited lower eGFR compared to those in the high AOPP subgroup (Table 2). Serum AOPP levels were positively correlated with SLEDAI scores and proteinuria levels, and negatively correlated with eGFR and dsDNA levels (Figure 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation index</th>
<th>Low AOPP (N = 18)</th>
<th>High AOPP (N = 18)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>30 ± 6</td>
<td>31 ± 6</td>
<td>0.761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male/female)</td>
<td>6/12</td>
<td>9/9</td>
<td>0.310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR (mL/min 1.73 m²)</td>
<td>62.4 ± 7.0</td>
<td>52.3 ± 5.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteinuria (g/24 h)</td>
<td>2.8 ± 1.2</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (mg/L)</td>
<td>5.4 ± 2.4</td>
<td>4.1 ± 3.0</td>
<td>0.164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ds-DNA (IU/mL)</td>
<td>151.7 ± 34.1</td>
<td>172.2 ± 39.7</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3 (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.700 (0.552, 0.751)</td>
<td>0.493 (0.421, 0.731)</td>
<td>0.152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLEDAI (point)</td>
<td>11.7 ± 2.2</td>
<td>13.9 ± 2.1</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Estimated glomerular filtration rate; †C-reactive protein; ‡Systemic lupus erythematosus disease activity index. Continuous variables are reported as mean values ± SD or medians (25th, 75th percentile) as appropriate and categorical data as number of cases (percentage). The subgroups were subdivided according to the AOPP median of 122.3 μM in the LN+ group.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, the clinical significance of the elevated AOPP levels observed in LN patients was investigated using serum samples from SLE patients with an initial manifestation of cutaneous lupus erythematosus. The LN+ patients had higher AOPP levels compared to those in the LN- patients, and an elevated AOPP level was an independent risk factor for LN. Furthermore, subgroup analysis revealed that AOPP levels were correlated with proteinuria, eGFR, SLEDAI scores, and anti-dsDNA antibody levels. These findings suggest that AOPP levels may be associated with the occurrence of LN and could serve as a potential biomarker for predicting the severity of LN.
AOPP levels can be affected by numerous factors. For example, diabetes and latent infections can increase AOPP levels (Kalousova et al., 2002); therefore, patients with these conditions were excluded from the present study, which focused exclusively on SLE patients who initially presented with cutaneous lupus erythematosus.

In contrast to the present finding that AOPP levels were correlated with SLEDAI scores, Lozovoy et al. (2011) reported that plasma AOPP levels are not related to SLE activity. One possible reason for this discrepancy is that Lozovoy et al. used plasma to detect AOPPs while serum samples were used in the present study. Our recent study suggested that fibrinogen in plasma does not have the same biological features as AOPPs (Chen et al., 2011). Fibrinogen levels are elevated in SLE patients (Ames et al., 2000), while the half-life of plasma fibrinogen is decreased in SLE patients complicated with LN (Sergent et al., 1976). Therefore, fibrinogen might interfere with the applicability of AOPP levels in the evaluation of SLE activity, particularly in cases complicated by LN. Another possible reason for the discrepancy is that the study of Lozovoy et al. contained a small proportion of LN patients (6.9%), while the present study focused exclusively on these patients.

Most SLE patients who receive treatment in the Department of Dermatology initially present with cutaneous lupus erythematosus. However, a considerable proportion of these patients do not suffer from LN (Vera-Recabarren et al., 2010). Indeed, LN can manifest during the disease course in many individuals. However, no specific biological marker has been found for LN patients in the early disease stage. The present preliminary study investigated the relationship between AOPP and LN in this population, and the findings suggest AOPP level may be a potential marker for predicting LN.

However, this study has several limitations. First, the presence of LN as a complication was evaluated at the 6-month follow-up appointment. Therefore, the possibility of delayed LN (i.e., onset after 6 months) in the LN- group cannot be excluded. Second, because of the small sample size, it was impossible to perform a subgroup analysis of the types of skin injuries and LN. Thus, future studies with larger sample sizes and longer follow-up periods are required to corroborate the results of the present study.

In conclusion, higher serum AOPP levels are correlated with an increased risk of LN. Therefore, future studies are warranted to determine the potential clinical value of this novel biomarker.
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