Urinary nerve growth factor levels could be a biomarker for overactive bladder symptom: a meta-analysis


Department of Urological Surgery,
The Fourth Affiliated Hospital of China Medical University,
Shenyang, Liaoning, China

Corresponding author: P. Wang
E-mail: cmu4h_wangping@126.com

Received June 10, 2013
Accepted October 1, 2013
Published April 14, 2014
DOI http://dx.doi.org/10.4238/2014.April.14.14

ABSTRACT. To examine whether urinary tract nerve growth factor (uNGF) could be a biomarker for overactive bladder (OAB) symptom, we conducted a comprehensive meta-analysis of 8 case-control studies. In all the studies considered, patients with OAB symptom had a higher uNGF level compared to healthy people. In addition, patients had a significantly lower uNGF level after successful treatment. In the subgroup analysis, we found that patients with OAB-wet symptom had a higher uNGF level than patients with OAB-dry symptom. However, no significant difference was found between patients with OAB symptom and patients with interstitial cystitis/painful bladder syndrome (IC/PBS) symptom in uNGF/Cr levels. In conclusion, uNGF level could be a useful biomarker for the diagnosis of OAB, a possible biomarker for differentiation between OAB subtypes (wet or dry), and a predictive biomarker for a specific treatment, but it cannot be used as the urinary biomarker for the differential diagnosis of IC/PBS and OAB.
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INTRODUCTION

Overactive bladder (OAB) is a symptomatic diagnosis based on the presence of urgency, with or without incontinence, and is usually accompanied by frequency and nocturia. OAB has an overall prevalence in the adult population of above 10%, but this may exceed 40% in elderly groups (Irwin et al., 2006). OAB symptom and interstitial cystitis/painful bladder syndrome (IC/PBS) are prevalent urologic diseases that can cause considerable morbidity (Jacobs et al., 2010). However, the term OAB does not actually identify specific causes or disease entities (Yokoyama et al., 2008). Although the use of an urgency perception scale or urgency severity score has been suggested, these instruments are based on subjective reporting by the patient who must grade the degree of urgency and may be difficult for patients to understand the term of urgency (De Wachter and Wyndaele, 2003; Nixon et al., 2005). One way to overcome this problem is to introduce an objective test for the diagnosis of OAB.

The term “biomarker” generally indicates anything that can be used as an indicator of a particular disease state, including its presence (diagnostic biomarker), severity, and/or its response to a specific treatment (predictive biomarker). Biomarkers can be specific cells, enzymes, hormones, genes, or gene products that can be detected and measured in parts of the body such as the blood, urine, or tissue (Bhide et al., 2013). Urinary tract nerve growth factor (uNGF) is produced by the bladder urothelium and smooth muscle (Steers et al., 1991). It has attracted considerable attention as a key player in the link between inflammation and altered pain signaling (Liu et al., 2011). NGF is responsible for the growth and maintenance of sensory neurons and appears to have a role in neuroimmune interactions, tissue inflammation, and neuroplasticity for neuronal events, leading to OAB. Increased expression of NGF is also present in bladder biopsies from women with sensory urgency or IC/PBS (Lowe et al., 1997). Many experimental data have reported increased level of uNGF in patients with OAB and IC/PBS (Vijaya et al., 2013). We hypothesize that determination of uNGF level could serve as a valuable biomarker for the diagnosis of OAB. Furthermore, if uNGF levels can be reduced after successful therapy, the measurement of uNGF could be a useful objective tool for assessing the therapeutic outcome of OAB treatment (Liu et al., 2008). Therefore, we performed a meta-analysis by including the most recent and relevant articles to identify statistical evidence.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Literature search

We performed an electronic search of the PubMed, Cochrane library, Embase, Web of Science, Springer Link, and CBM databases extensively to identify relevant studies available up to March 10, 2013. The search terms included ['overactive bladder' or 'OAB' (Mesh)] and ['nerve growth factor' or 'NGF' (Mesh)]. References in the eligible studies or textbooks were also reviewed through a manual search to identify other potentially eligible studies.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The included studies were required to meet the following criteria: i) the type of study should be a case-control study; ii) these case-control studies should focus on the association
between the uNGF level and OAB symptom; iii) all patients were diagnosed with clinical OAB symptoms (confirmed by a 3-day voiding diary indicating a frequency of 8 episodes of urgency or 1 or more than 1 episodes of urgency incontinence per day); iv) patients were classified as OAB-wet or OAB-dry based on the voiding diary record (with or without urgency incontinence); v) the publication was in English. Studies were excluded if they reported incomplete, useless, or overlapping data; if they used median and interquartile ranges to describe the uNGF levels; or if they were meta-analyses, letters, reviews, or editorial articles.

Data extraction

Using a standardized form, data from published studies were independently extracted by two reviewers (H.C. Qu and S. Yan) to populate the necessary information. The following information was extracted from each of the articles: first author, year of publication, language, study design, source of cases and controls, number of cases and controls, mean age, sample, clinical symptom, treatment, and uNGF (or uNGF/Cr) level. In case of conflicting evaluations, an agreement was reached following a discussion with a third reviewer (P. Wang).

Quality assessment of studies included

Two reviewers (H.C. Qu and S. Yan) independently assessed the quality of papers according to modified STROBE quality score systems (von Elm et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2011). Forty assessment items related to the quality appraisal were used in this meta-analysis, with scores ranging from 0 to 40. Scores of 0-20, 20-30, and 30-40 were defined as low, moderate, and high quality. Disagreement was resolved by discussion.

Statistical analysis

The mean difference (MD) and 95%CI were calculated using Review Manager Version 5.1.6 (provided by the Cochrane Collaboration, available at: http://ims.cochrane.org/revman/download) and STATA Version 12.0 (StataCorp., College Station, TX, USA) software. Between-study variations and heterogeneities were estimated using Cochrane’s Q-statistic (Higgins and Thompson, 2002; Zintzaras and Ioannidis, 2005) (P ≤ 0.05 was considered to be a manifestation of statistically significant heterogeneity). We also quantified the effect of heterogeneity by using the I² test, which ranged from 0 to 100% and represented the proportion of inter-study variability that can be contributed to heterogeneity rather than by chance. When a significant Q-test (P ≤ 0.05) or I² > 50% indicated that heterogeneity among studies existed, the random-effect model was conducted for meta-analysis. Otherwise, the fixed-effect model was used. To establish the effect of heterogeneity on the conclusions of the meta-analyses, subgroup analysis was carried out. Funnel plots were often used to detect publication bias. However, because of the limitations caused by varied sample sizes and subjective reviews, the Egger linear regression test, which measured funnel plot asymmetry by using a natural logarithm scale of OR, was used to evaluate publication bias (Peters et al., 2006). When the P value was <0.05, publication bias was considered to be significant. All P values were two-sided. To ensure the reliability and the accuracy of the results, 2 reviewers (H.C. Qu and S. Yan) independently populated the data in the statistical software programs and obtained the same results.
RESULTS

Characteristics of studies included

According to the inclusion criteria, 8 studies (Kim et al., 2006; Liu and Kuo, 2008, 2009; Liu et al., 2009; Kuo et al., 2010b; Chung et al., 2011; Oktar et al., 2013; Cho et al., 2013) met the inclusion criteria and were included in the meta-analysis. The flow chart of study selection is shown in Figure 1. The 8 case-control studies included 246 patients with OAB (80 patients were diagnosed as OAB-DRY, 102 were diagnosed as OAB-WET), 173 controls, and 148 patients with IC/PBS. Totally, 104 patients received successful treatment. The publication year of involved studies ranged from 2006 to 2013. All patients fulfilled the diagnostic criteria of clinical OAB symptoms (urgency or urgency incontinence) confirmed by a 3-day voiding diary (a frequency of 8 episodes of urgency or 1 or more than 1 episodes of urgency incontinence per day). The source of control samples were healthy people. All quality scores of included studies were more than 20 (moderate-high quality). The characteristics and methodological quality of the included studies are summarized in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Characteristics of individual studies in this meta-analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (Year)</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Material (N)</th>
<th>Quality score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liu et al. (2009)</td>
<td>NGF, NGF/Cr</td>
<td>Pre-treatment (N = 50), post-treatment (N = 50)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuo et al. (2010b)</td>
<td>NGF, NGF/Cr</td>
<td>1. Wet (N = 22), dry (N = 26)</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. OAB (N = 22), IC/PBS (N = 60)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al. (2006)</td>
<td>NGF</td>
<td>OAB (N = 20), Control (N = 65)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu and Kuo (2009)</td>
<td>NGF/Cr</td>
<td>OAB (N = 23), IC/PBS (N = 40)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chung et al. (2011)</td>
<td>NGF, NGF/Cr</td>
<td>1. OAB (N = 22), Control (N = 33)</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. OAB (N = 22), IC/PBS (N = 48)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu and Kuo (2008)</td>
<td>NGF, NGF/Cr</td>
<td>1. OAB (N = 134), Control (N = 40)</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Wet (N = 80), dry (N = 54)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oktar et al. (2013)</td>
<td>NGF, NGF/Cr</td>
<td>1. OAB (N = 40), Control (N = 20)</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Pre-treatment (N = 40), post-treatment (N = 49)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cho et al. (2013)</td>
<td>NGF</td>
<td>1. OAB (N = 30), Control (N = 15)</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NGF = nerve growth factor; OAB = overactive bladder; IC/PBS = interstitial cystitis/painful bladder syndrome.
Urinary nerve growth factor and overactive bladder risk

Difference in the uNGF levels of patients with OAB symptom and healthy subjects

A summary of the meta-analysis findings of the difference in the uNGF levels between patients with OAB symptom and healthy subjects is provided in Figure 2. The meta-analysis showed that patients with OAB symptom had a higher uNGF level than healthy people (MD = 31.74, 95%CI = 9.48-54.00, P = 0.005). Sensitivity analysis was conducted by omitting single studies, and no influence was found on the significance of the pooled MD.

Figure 2. Meta-analysis of the difference between patients with OAB symptom and healthy controls (in uNGF levels).

Difference in the uNGF levels or uNGF/Cr levels (uNGF level was normalized to its urine Cr level) of patients with OAB-dry and OAB-wet symptoms (uNGF level was normalized to its urine Cr level)

The difference between patients with OAB-dry and OAB-wet symptoms is provided in Figures 3 and 4. The meta-analysis showed that patients with OAB-wet symptom had a higher uNGF or uNGF/Cr level than patients with OAB-dry symptom (MD = 46.49, 95%CI = 43.94-49.05, P < 0.00001 or MD = 1.32, 95%CI = 1.26-1.38, P < 0.00001). Sensitivity analysis was conducted by omitting single studies, and no influence was found on the significance of the pooled MD.

Figure 3. Meta-analysis of the difference between patients with OAB-dry symptom and OAB-wet symptom (in uNGF levels).
OAB-DRY and OAB-WET

### Difference in the uNGF/Cr level of patients with OAB symptom and IC/PBS symptom

The meta-analysis showed no significant difference in the uNGF/Cr level between patients with OAB symptom and those with IC/PBS symptom ($P = 0.08$; Figure 5). Sensitivity analysis was conducted by omitting single studies, and no influence was found on the significance of the pooled MD.

### Difference in the uNGF levels or uNGF/Cr levels of patients with OAB symptom before and after successful treatment

A summary of the meta-analysis findings of the difference in the uNGF levels or uNGF/Cr levels of patients with OAB symptom before and after successful treatment is provided in Figures 6 and 7. The meta-analysis showed that patients have a significantly lower uNGF or uNGF/Cr level after successful OAB treatment ($MD = 11.80$, $95\% CI = 4.61-19.00$, $P = 0.001$ or $MD = 0.53$, $95\% CI = 0.21-0.84$, $P = 0.001$). Sensitivity analysis was conducted by omitting single studies, and no influence was found on the significance of the pooled MD.
Publication bias

Publication bias of the literature was assessed by Beggar’s funnel plot and the Egger linear regression test. The Egger linear regression test was used to measure the asymmetry of the funnel plot. All graphical funnel plots of the studies included appeared to be symmetrical (Figures 8-11). The Egger test also showed that there was no publication bias.
Figure 9. Beggar’s funnel plot of publication bias.

Figure 10. Beggar’s funnel plot of publication bias.

Figure 11. Beggar’s funnel plot of publication bias.
DISCUSSION

OAB is a symptomatic diagnosis based on the presence of urgency, with or without incontinence. It has an overall prevalence in the adult population (Irwin et al., 2006). Although the pathophysiology of OAB has not been fully understood, OAB is partially caused by changes in the afferent nerves, and NGF plays an important role in this process (Kim et al., 2006).

Produced by the urothelium and bladder muscles, NGF regulates nerve cell growth and survival, and induces the sensitization of nociceptive sensory neurons (Kim et al., 2006). Recent studies showed that NGF provides mechanisms for bidirectional communication between the muscle or urothelium and nerve by triggering morphological and physiological alterations in the afferent and efferent neurons, leading to OAB symptom (Steers, 2002; Kim et al., 2006; Liu an Kuo, 2009). Bladder outlet obstruction in rats has been shown to cause an alteration in the urinary NGF levels (Chul et al., 2001) and it has been found to be associated with OAB and other lower urinary tract dysfunctions such as interstitial cystitis and bladder cancer (Liu and Kuo, 2009). Another study showed that urinary NGF levels decreased with the decrease in the severity of urgency and increased when the OAB symptom recurred (Liu et al., 2009).

In our meta-analysis, we included 8 independent studies to examine the association of urinary NGF level with OAB symptom. Overall, our analysis showed that patients with OAB symptom had a higher uNGF level than healthy people. This result suggested that uNGF levels could be a potential biomarker for the diagnosis of OAB. In addition, we found that uNGF decreased in response to effective treatment [antimuscarinic therapy (Liu et al., 2009) and anticholinergic therapy (Cho et al., 2013)], showing that uNGF level could be used to assess the therapeutic effects of OAB treatment. Interestingly, we even identified that patients with OAB-wet had significantly higher uNGF levels than those with OAB-dry. Previous studies provided evidence that patients with OAB-wet had higher uNGF/Cr levels than those with OAB-dry (Digesu et al., 2003; Hashim and Abrams, 2006; Liu et al., 2010; Kuo et al., 2010a). A possible reason for the difference in the uNGF levels between OAB-dry and OAB-wet could be the different degrees of inflammation and a higher percentage of DO (detrusor overactivity) in patients with OAB-wet. Hyman et al. (2001) found a higher incidence of DO associated with urgency incontinence (OAB-wet) than with the symptoms of urgency and frequency or nocturia or difficult urination (75 vs 36%). Hashim and Abrams (2006) also found that 69% of men and 44% of women with OAB-dry had DO, while 90% of men and 58% of women with OAB-wet had DO. In another group of 843 women classified as having OAB, 457 (54.2%) had urodynamically proven DO (Digesu et al., 2003). Therefore, uNGF could be a possible biomarker for differentiation between OAB subtypes. However, we found no significant difference between patients with OAB symptom and patients with IC/PBS symptom in uNGF/Cr levels. The clinical symptoms of IC/PBS and OAB are similar, except that bladder pain typically presents in IC/PBS, and the urgency or urge incontinence presents in patients with OAB-dry and OAB-wet. Recent research has revealed that uNGF levels increase in both patients with IC/PBS and patients with OAB symptom (Liu et al., 2008, 2010). Thus, we need to find other urinary biomarkers for the differential diagnosis of IC/PBS and OAB.

Similar to other meta-analyses, this study has many limitations. First, the relevant research articles were not many and the sample size of this meta-analysis was not large. In addition, some relevant studies could not be included in our analysis because of incomplete raw data. Third, we could not address the sources of heterogeneity among all studies. Fourth, although all cases and controls of each study were well defined with similar inclusion criteria,
there might be potential factors that were not taken into account and they might influence our result. Fifth, meta-analysis is retrospective research that is subject to methodological limitations. Most important of all, our meta-analysis was based on unadjusted MD estimates because not all published studies presented adjusted MDs or presented MDs, which were not adjusted by the same potential confounders, for example, age, ethnicity, and timing of urine collection. Given these results, additional investigations in these areas are needed, and our conclusions should be interpreted cautiously.

In conclusion, this meta-analysis of 8 case-control studies demonstrates that uNGF (or uNGF/Cr) level could be a useful biomarker for the diagnosis of OAB, a possible biomarker for differentiation between OAB subtypes (wet or dry), and a predictive biomarker for a specific treatment. However, it cannot be the urinary biomarker for the differential diagnosis of IC/PBS and OAB. Because of the limited number of published studies in this field, the current available evidence remains limited. Therefore, we emphasize the necessity of conducting large studies with adequate methodological quality and proper control of confounds to obtain valid results.
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