New efficient DNA extraction method to access the microbiome of *Ricinus communis* seeds
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**ABSTRACT.** *Ricinus communis* (castor bean) seeds are used to produce an alcohol-soluble oil that is used in more than 400 industrial processes. Despite its economic importance, there has been little research on the endophytic microbiota of castor bean seeds. This microbiota is important for plant metabolic processes and may have considerable biotechnological potential, such as production of lipases and plant growth promoter agents. We evaluated several DNA extraction methodologies in order to access the microbial diversity of castor bean through a metagenomic approach. Based on our observations, we developed a new methodology that takes advantage of the low solubility of calcium phosphates and the high affinity of these phosphates for proteins and polysaccharides. The extracted DNA quality was evaluated by PCR, using a selective primer pair for bacterial and mitochondrial 16S rDNA genes (799F and 1492R). We found this methodology quantitatively and qualitatively more efficient than the other approaches. In evaluating this new extraction methodology, we found that the difficulties of DNA extraction from castor bean seeds, such as abundant oil, polysaccharides, phenolic compounds, and plant enzymes, could be overcome. The resulting extracts had high concentration and purity,
and they were obtained faster than with previous methods. The samples contained virtually all of the DNA, including the microbial DNA; this was validated by PCR analysis.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Castor bean oil is obtained through simple extraction processes and has unusual properties, such as alcohol solubility. This feature allows the use of castor bean in more than 400 industrial manufacturing processes including soaps, lubricants, hydraulic and brake fluids, paints, and dyes. In addition to oil production, other possibilities of this plant include the use of its leaves as silkworm food, mixing with forage to increase milk secretion in dairy cattle, and use of the stem in the manufacture of paper (Azevedo and Beltrão, 2008).

The establishment of plants in habitats requires interaction with various environments and living organisms. We highlight those that occur between plant and microbial endophytes, which can be mutualistic, symbiotic, or even proto-cooperational. In this context, the use of microorganisms in agricultural practices has increased substantially to improve plant growth and provide biological control of plant diseases. They are used as chemical compound substitutes, thus favoring environmental preservation (Peixoto Neto et al., 2002).

Despite the economic importance of castor bean oil, studies of its endophytic microbiota are rare, and most simply address the isolation and characterization of microorganisms by methods that do not encompass the total biodiversity of the sample. Knowledge of endophyte biodiversity is important because these microorganisms are often advantageous for the plant and can be used to develop cheaper, less invasive agricultural processes. However, isolation and characterization by conventional microbiological methods do not enable a complete representation of the biodiversity of the endophytic community (Azevedo et al., 2000; Peixoto Neto et al., 2004; Ryan et al., 2008). Thus, culture-independent methods are needed to characterize the endophytic microbial biodiversity in castor bean.

Metagenomics was conceived as the direct isolation of DNA from a specific environment, followed by cloning of the entire genome of the microbial population within the sample (Langer et al., 2006). The resulting genomic library is used to identify sequences of interest, sequencing of microbial genomes, and phylogenetic inference. For biodiversity studies and phylogenetic inference, genes known as “phylogenetic anchors” are sequenced. Genes are highly conserved between microbial species and their low divergence may reflect speciation. Genes encoding subunit 16S ribosomal RNA (16S rDNA) are used for this purpose due their highly conserved 5'- and 3'-sequences. 16S rDNA sequence comparison is used for conventional and metagenomic phylogenetic studies (Schloss and Handelsman, 2003). These genes may be amplified from a DNA sample, cloned, and sequenced, or accessed in a genomic library by PCR or hybridization for subsequent sequencing (Rajendhran and Gunasekaran, 2008; Yu et al., 2008).

Metagenomics has become popular in ecological studies and bioprospecting as it allows access to the genomes of microbial populations that have not yet been characterized due to difficulties in culturing and isolation (Langer et al., 2006; Sleator et al., 2008). The success of metagenomic studies depends on the essential first step of optimization of the DNA extrac-
tion protocol for the environment of interest. The extraction method allows us to obtain DNA with the necessary quality and quantity for molecular cloning. In castor bean seeds, DNA extraction is critical due to the presence of many interfering substances such as phenols, tannins, carbohydrates, and lipids, which are difficult to remove. We evaluated and developed a novel protocol for total DNA extraction from castor bean seeds.

We present a relatively quick and inexpensive protocol for total DNA extraction from castor beans that provides clean DNA that can be PCR-amplified with a selective primer pair for bacterial and mitochondrial 16S rDNA (799F and 1492R).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Castor bean seeds were collected from spontaneously grown *Ricinus communis* L. on the “Recanto Florido” farm (19°33’12.96’’S-47°10’13.10’’W) near Araxá, MG, Brazil, in October and November 2010. The material was disinfected as suggested by Assumpção et al. (2009). The disinfection process was validated by plating rinse water on Luria Bertani agar (10 g/L NaCl; 10 g/L tryptone; 5 g/L yeast extract; 1.5% agar). The absence of bacterial and fungal growth after 72 h at 28.5°C validated the disinfection.

After disinfection, seed coats were excised using a sterile scalpel blade. Endosperms with the embryo were placed in an autoclaved pestle and ground in liquid nitrogen to a fine powder. Aliquots of this material were manipulated with a sterile spatula. Each aliquot was subjected to a different extraction methodology, and each method was performed in quadruplicate. Six different extraction protocols were tested (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Modifications</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OL</td>
<td>Incubation of the mash at 100°C/5 min before the start of the process in order to inactivate nucleases</td>
<td>Oliveira et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ON</td>
<td>3 extractions with chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (24:1) and not used the proteinase K (10 mg/mL)</td>
<td>Oliveira et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Rogers and Bendich (1988)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ExE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Xavier et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After testing these methodologies, we propose a DNA extraction method (ExO) as follows: the crushed sample in liquid nitrogen is maintained at room temperature. Aliquots of 150 µL/g sterile PBS (140 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 10 mM Na₂HPO₄, and 2 mM KH₂PO₄, pH 7.2) and 155 µL/g TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0, autoclaved) were added to samples that were homogenized with a spatula. This mixture was distributed in aliquots of 300-100 mg in 2-mL tubes for DNA extraction. In each tube, 0.9 mL 1% (w/v) sterile CaCl₂ was added. The tubes were vortexed for 30 s and incubated at room temperature for 8 min. The supernatant was carefully transferred to a new tube and centrifuged at 4500 g for 5 min (it is optional, to facilitate the homogenization). Then, 100 µL 30% SDS and 200 µL TE buffer were added to the suspension, vortexed for 45 s, and centrifuged at 4500 g for 5 min at 4°C. The interface suspension was transferred to a new tube with 500 µL phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1; PCI). The
tubes were vortexed again for 10 s and centrifuged at 14,000 g for 10 min at 4°C. The upper phase was collected and again extracted with PCI. The aqueous phase was transferred to a fresh tube. A cold solution of 1/10 volume 3 M sodium acetate, pH 4.6, and 7/10 volume isopropanol was added, and the suspension was mixed by inversion. The precipitated DNA was incubated at -20°C for 1 h, and pelleted by centrifugation at 14,000 g for 30 min at 4°C. The pellet was washed with 0.5 mL 70% cold ethanol and centrifuged at 14,000 g for 10 min at 10°C. The pellet was left in a laminar flow hood for 5 min, resuspended in 100 µL TE buffer with 5 µL 10 mg/mL RNase, and stored at -20°C.

An aliquot of each DNA extract (5 µL) was separated by agarose gel electrophoresis [1% (w/v) agarose; 0.2 µg/mL ethidium bromide] at 120 V for 40 min. All extraction productions were quantified on a Nanodrop ND-1000 (Thermo Scientific).

To analyze the calcium phosphate crystals formed during DNA extraction, we used the pellet from the first high-speed centrifugation (4500 g), resuspended in 500 µL 95% ethanol, and vortexed for 30 s. To study the adsorbed protein, 50 µL resuspended material was placed on a slide with 50 µL Bradford reagent (Bradford, 1976), kept in the dark for 15 min, then analyzed by light microscopy (Olympus CH2). To analyze the adsorbed nucleic acids, another slide was made with 50 µL material dried in a stove and fixed in a mixture of 50 µL 5 M HCl and 50 µL 1 M methanol. After 15 min at room temperature, the slide was dried and 50 µL Schiff's reagent (1 g/L fuchsin, 25 g/L sodium bisulfite, 15 mL/L 1 M HCl) was added. The slide was incubated with a coverslip in the dark for 30 min (Hillary, 1939; Lessler, 1951) and analyzed by light microscopy. To verify the presence of adsorbed starch or other polysaccharides, we added 50 µL resuspended pellet to a slide with 50 µL iodine tincture [5% (w/v) iodine in 100% ethanol]. After 5 min, a coverslip was placed above the drop and the slide was analyzed by light microscopy.

The extracted mixtures of bacterial and plant DNA were analyzed with a specific bacterial 16S rDNA primer to compare the DNA quality in each mixture. Amplification was performed with primers: 799F (5ꞌ-ATTAGATACAACMGGCGGK-3ꞌ) (Chelius and Triplett, 2001) and universal primer 1492R (5ꞌ-TACGGHTACCTTTGATCGACTT-3ꞌ) (Lane, 1991). The reaction was performed in a final volume of 25 µL containing 100 ng DNA, 1X Taq buffer, 0.1 mM of each dNTP, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 5 pmol of each primer, 1 U Taq DNA polymerase, and sterilized ultrapure water. PCR was performed in a GeneAmp 9700 (Applied Biosystems) thermal cycler with the following protocol: preheating (95°C, 3 min), 35 cycles at 94°C for 20 s, 53°C for 40 s, and 72°C for 1 min, and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min.

The PCR product separated on 1.5% (w/v) agarose gel with 0.2 µg/mL ethidium bromide and electrophoresis at 120 V for 40 min in 0.5X TBE (45 mM Tris-borate, pH 8.0). A mitochondrial DNA product of ~1.5 kb and a bacterial product of ~0.756 kb (Chelius and Triplett, 2001) in the same extract were considered to represent a valid extraction.

Statistical analysis included ANOVA and the Tukey test at a 5% probability threshold.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The main difficulty of DNA extraction from castor bean seeds is the presence of carbohydrates and proteins that reduce the quality of the extract (Gomes et al., 2011). High-quality DNA is extremely important to the fidelity of the procedures that follow. The presence of protein, starch, or other polysaccharides reduces Taq DNA polymerase efficiency, compromising the products to be cloned and sequenced, making it necessary to concentrate the DNA...
and remove contaminants (Nunes et al., 2011).

The proposed methodology presented satisfactory DNA yields from *R. communis*. In all methodologies, a significant amount of carbohydrates remained in the final extract; we propose additional phenol extractions to remove them.

Table 2 shows the average DNA concentrations produced by each extraction methodology, and Figure 1 shows the relative quality of each extract. Every extraction yielded a good electrophoresis profile, but the OM extraction (see Table 1) showed DNA degradation. All samples were RNA free.

Table 2. Summary statistics for the analysis of DNA extraction methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Binary presence/absence of PCR bands (means ± SD)</th>
<th>Concentration (means ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MB</td>
<td>0.714 ± 0.95b</td>
<td>55.67 ± 13.54b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ON</td>
<td>1.67 ± 0.58b</td>
<td>63.46 ± 39.45b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0b</td>
<td>359.67 ± 316.16b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL</td>
<td>1.33 ± 0.58b</td>
<td>98.82 ± 122.74b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>1.33 ± 0.58b</td>
<td>4488.37 ± 1219.19b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ExE</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.58b</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ExO</td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.00b</td>
<td>583.69 ± 878.20b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For method abbreviations, see Table 1. For ExO, see Material and Methods. Average of DNA concentrations (ng/μL) of the extracts from different methods and binary distribution of presence and absence of bands in PCR. a,b,c Average from the Tukey test at 5%.

Figure 1. Products of total DNA extraction obtained using the different methodologies. *Lane M* = marker (100-bp Sharp ladder). Agarose 1% gel, electrophoresis at 100 V for 40 min in 0.5X TBE. For abbreviations, see Table 1. For ExO, see Material and Methods.

The analysis of variance showed a difference between at least one of the means (P < 0.05). The Tukey test suggested that the best methods were the ones suggested by Rogers and Bendich (1988) and the ExO proposed in this report. PCR amplification of 16S rDNA (Figure 2) revealed that ExO, MB2, MB5, and OL1 produced both expected amplicons (1.5 kb and 756 bp). However, only the ExO DNA extraction methodology provided acceptable results for
both amplicons in all samples. The data were into numeric matrices for the presence/absence of bands (classifying each sample in 0 = absence, 1 = presence of just 1 band, or 2 = presence of both bands) and the significant differences were determined by the Fisher F-test (P < 0.05). The Tukey test at 5% revealed the superiority of the proposed method (Table 2).

Figure 2. Triplicates of the PCR amplicons, showing both bands, mitochondrial and bacterial, from different methods of DNA extraction. Lanes 1, 2, and 3 = OL; lanes 4, 5, and 6 = ON; lanes 7, 8, 9, and 12 = MB; lane 10, 11, 13, and 17 = ExE; lanes 14, 15, and 16 = ExO; lanes 18, 19, and 20 = PA; lane C- = control without DNA; and lane M = 100-bp Sharp ladder. Agarose 1% gel with 0.2 μg/mL ethidium bromide, electrophoresis at 100 V for 50 min. For abbreviations, see Table 1. For ExO, see Material and Methods.

DNA electrophoresis and PCR (Figures 1 and 2) suggested that PA (see Table 1) was one of the best DNA extraction methodologies; however, reproducibility was low. Thus, the method should not be used for metagenomic studies due to the risk of losing some genetic material.

The OL methodology (see Table 1) resulted in a cleaner extract; however, reproducibility and PCR-amplification were poor (Figure 1). This methodology was originally used for genomic studies (Oliveira et al., 2007), producing high-integrity DNA of high molecular weight, but we do not consider it appropriate for metagenomic studies due to inconsistent amplification quality. The OM method (see Table 1) yielded the worst results, probably due to the high-temperature nuclease inactivation. Reproducibility was poor and the products could not be used for genomic and metagenomic purposes. The MB methodology (see Table 1) provided good results, yielded poor amplification, and low sample concentrations; the method is also difficult and time-consuming.

ExO was the best extraction method, yielding high DNA concentrations and consistent, quality sample amplifications. In addition, the ExO methodology was tested without PCI extractions (data not shown); these modifications did not significantly differ in efficiency and yield; products were amplified easily and protein/RNA contamination was not detectable, and thus the method was time-saving.

The apparent success of the ExO methodology comes from the reaction between cal-
cium chloride and PBS salts at neutral pH, generating calcium phosphate precipitates (Rigo et al., 2007; Guastaldi and Aparecida, 2010). The precipitated nanocrystals adsorbed proteins (Figure 3) via interaction between the protein group (\(\text{NH}_4^+\)) and the crystal group (\(\text{PO}_4^{2-}\)) or complex formation between HA-Ca\(^{2+}\) - OOC-protein keeping only the nucleic acids of the ground material in contact with organic phases of the extraction process. The hydroxyapatite and octacalcic phosphate precipitates formed the cell debris, based on the principle underlying the use of silica beads for DNA (Rojas-Herrera et al., 2008); this idea is already used in HPLC (Guastaldi and Aparecida, 2010). Formation of these insoluble phosphates is similar to the biomimicking process proposed by Abe et al. (1990).

![Figure 3. Crystals of calcium phosphates. A. DNA stained with the Schiff reactive. The compound stained all medium, not only the crystal surface (arrow), indicating that the DNA is not exclusively adsorbed. B. Polysaccharide adsorbed at the crystal surface forming a super-polymer that looks as a macroarrayed structure (arrow) stained by iodine. C. Protein adsorbed at the crystal surface and differential nucleation (arrows) stained with the Bradford reactive (100X magnification).](image)

The proposed methodology can be used for all genomic and metagenomic purposes, not only metagenomics, and can be easily modified for material specificity. It is time-efficient and can be used to study processes that occur during calcification. The most interesting finding in this study was the use of calcium deposition for a more robust DNA extraction that can be used for diverse proposals.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The method (ExO) proposed here proved to be the most efficient and reliable for total DNA extraction from *R. communis* (castor bean) for metagenomic approaches in comparison to others proposed in the literature. This methodology may easily be modified according to the plant material.
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