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ABSTRACT. The blood clam, *Tegillarca granosa*, is widely cultivated in China. We isolated 6 microsatellite loci from *T. granosa* and used them to investigate genetic diversity and population structure of 5 widely distributed populations of blood clam collected from eastern and southeastern China. The allele number per locus varied from 4 to 9, and the polymorphism information content value was 0.301 to 0.830. The mean observed and expected heterozygosities varied from 0.304 to 0.460 and 0.556 to 0.621, respectively; the population from Yueqing had the smallest observed heterozygosity. In the neighbor-joining tree, Shandong, Fenghua and Yueqing populations clustered together, and there was geographic divergence between Shandong and Guangxi populations. Some microsatellite loci that were isolated from these mainland China samples were not found in blood clams collected from Malaysia.
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INTRODUCTION

Microsatellites (simple sequence repeats; SSR) are ubiquitous in eukaryotic genomes and can be studied by PCR (Powell et al., 1996). This genetic marker is known for its co-dominant nature and high polymorphism (Scott et al., 2000). In the field of shellfish research, microsatellites have proven to be useful in genetic resource assessment, population genetic structure analysis and genetic linkage map construction. For example, Geist and Kuehn (2005) used 9 microsatellite loci to analyze genetic diversity and differentiation of the freshwater pearl mussel *Margaritifera margaritifera*, and Hubert and Hedgecock (2004) constructed linkage maps of the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* with 102 microsatellite loci. However, unlike universal primer molecular markers such as amplified fragment length polymorphism, searching for microsatellite markers is complex and cumbersome. The first step is to obtain sequence information on loci and then to design primers in the flanking regions. The traditional microsatellite isolation method aims to construct a small genomic DNA bank and to hybridize clones with radioactive labeling probes to isolate microsatellite loci (Rassmann et al., 1991). However, this method requires the screening of a large number of clones, and the positive clone ratio is relatively small. Several microsatellite-enrichment methods for improving isolation efficiency have been reported, such as membrane hybridization (Karagyozov et al., 1993) and magnetic enrichment (Carleton et al., 2002). Using these enrichment methods, microsatellite loci have been largely isolated from shellfish species. Up to June 2010, more than 2000 microsatellite sequences have been deposited in GenBank for about 51 species of Lamellibranchia, the most commercially important mollusc species belonging to this class.

*Tegillarca granosa* (blood clam), a species of the Arcidae (Lamellibranchia), usually grows in muddy beaches of the Indian Ocean and western Pacific Ocean. As it is an economically important mollusc species, *T. granosa* has been widely cultivated in East and Southeast Asian countries. In China, this species has been intensively cultivated from northern Shandong Province to southern Guangxi Province. In some Southeast Asian countries such as Malaysia, the cultivation of this mollusc also has a long history. In recent years, offspring from artificial breeding have been released into the natural environment to compensate for the shortage of natural seed or for the purpose of stock enhancement, and few of these actions have been assessed for their influence on genetic structures and gene invasion of natural populations. For genetic resource management, it is necessary to employ highly sensitive genetic makers, such as microsatellite markers, to study the genetic variation of *T. granosa*.

Many papers on the cultivation model and artificial breeding of the blood clam have been reported, where RAPD and isozyme markers have been used in *T. granosa* of China (most written in Chinese). However, the use of microsatellite markers in genetic structure analysis of the blood clam has not been previously reported. In this study, microsatellite loci of *T. granosa* were isolated by the magnetic bead method and microsatellite primers were designed. We examined the genetic polymorphism of microsatellite primers and determined the heterozygosity and phylogenetic relationship of 5 geographic populations of China.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Sample collection and DNA extraction

A total of 162 samples were used in this study, and they represented 5 populations
(Figure 1): 32 from Hainan (HN), 36 from Guangxi (GN), 30 from Yueqing (YN), Zhejiang, 33 from Fenghua (FN), Zhejiang, and 31 from Shandong (SN). Meanwhile, primers were amplified using 18 blood clams gathered from Perak State, Malaysia (MN) at the same time. Genomic DNA was extracted from muscle tissue using a commercial DNA extraction kit (ShengGong, Shanghai, China), according to the manufacturer protocol. DNA samples were electrophoresed on a 0.8% agarose gel and concentration was adjusted to 20 ng/μL.

Microsatellite locus isolation by magnetic bead method

Microsatellite loci were isolated by the magnetic bead-enrichment method described by Fischer and Bachmann (1998) and Hamilton et al. (1999), with some modification. The Sau3A I adaptor-ligated fragments were hybridized with 5'-biotinylated (GT)$_n$, (CAG)$_n$, (GAA)$_n$, and (AAAG)$_n$ oligonucleotide probes. The hybridized fragments were then captured with streptavidin-coated magnetic Dynabeads (Dynal M-280). The enriched fragments were made double stranded by PCR with SauL-A primers (Rosas et al., 2008). PCR products were purified, ligated to Pmd18-T vector (TaKaRa) and transformed into competent cells. Clones were tested by PCR with SauL-A and oligonucleotides (CAG)$_n$, (GAA)$_n$ or (AAAG)$_n$ as the primers, and those that produced two or more bands were selected and sequenced.

Primer design and microsatellite amplification

Eleven microsatellite sequences previously isolated by the magnetic bead method
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from a DNA pool of MN and FN were chosen to design the primers. Microsatellite primer pairs were designed by the Primer Premier version 5.0 software following the criteria that the length of the primer is 18-24 bp and the expected product size is between 100 and 300 bp. These primers were screened by temperature gradient PCR to determine the suitable annealing temperature. The functional primers were then selected to carry out population amplification. PCR was performed in a 25-µL reaction system consisting of the following: 1X PCR buffer, 2.0 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 10 pmol of each primer, 0.65 U Taq polymerase, and 10 ng genomic DNA. The reaction was carried out in a PCR amplifier (Eppendorf Mastercycler), and the reaction program was as follows: 5 min at 94°C for denaturation, 30 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 30 s at annealing temperature, an extension at 72°C for 45 s, and a final extension step of 7 min at 72°C. PCR products were electrophoresed on an 8% polyacrylamide gel and detected by silver staining. The size of the alleles was determined according to PUC19 DNA/MSPI (HpaII) marker as the molecular size ladder.

Data analysis

We performed alignment analysis of microsatellite-containing sequences by the DNAMAN 6.0 software to exclude redundant positive clones, and non-redundant loci were saved in FASTA format. After microsatellite analysis, the genetic diversity of every locus was testified. Expected heterozygosity (Hₑ) and observed heterozygosity (Hₒ) were estimated using Genepop 4.0.7 (Raymond and Rousset, 1995) and confirmed by CERVUS 2.0 (Marshall et al., 1998). Significant deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was estimated for every locus following the Markov chain method under the parameters of dememorization number = 5000, number of batches = 20, and iterations per batch = 2000. For every locus, the CERVUS 2.0 program was used to calculate polymorphic information content (PIC), allele number (Nᴬ) and total exclusionary power. The significance of the population pairwise Wright Fₛᵗ was calculated using the FSTAT software (Goudet, 1995). A phylogenetic neighbor-joining tree was constructed from Fₛᵗ in the PHYLIP software and visualized with the TREEVIEW program.

RESULTS

In this study, 228 microsatellite-containing sequences of >10 bp, with repeat numbers not less than 5 times, were isolated from genomic DNA of T. granosa. After alignment analysis, 11 redundant sequences were excluded. Among these 217 non-redundant sequences, 186 sequences had enough flanking regions to design primers. Primarily, 11 primers were designed and tested on 5 populations of China.

Seven microsatellite loci of 11 designed primers produced targeted bands (Table 1). Among them, primer TMP35 only amplified in samples from MN, so it was excluded from the following population structure analysis. The other 6 microsatellite primers, TMP3, TMP12, TMP18, TMP22, TMP26, and TMP36, were used to study the genetic diversity and population structure of 5 blood clam populations. Among these 6 primers, TMP 18 and TMP26 also amplified in samples from MN.

Genetic variations at the 6 microsatellite loci tested in the 5 populations are summarized in Tables 2 and 3. Among these loci, the Nᴬ varied from 4 to 9, with a mean allele number of 6.67. The Nᴬ variation was positive with the microsatellite repeat times and repeat length.
The PIC value ranged from 0.301 to 0.830. Locus TMP12 had the highest PIC value of 0.830 compared to 0.301 for TMP36 (Table 3). For every locus, the \( H_o \) varied from 0.139 to 0.703, while \( H_e \) varied from 0.325 to 0.851. The total exclusionary power for the first parent was 0.902 and 0.982 for the second parent.

### Table 1. Basic genetic information of microsatellite primers used in the present study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locus</th>
<th>Repeat motif</th>
<th>Primer (5'→3')</th>
<th>Ta (°C)</th>
<th>Expected product size (bp)</th>
<th>( N_a )</th>
<th>PIC</th>
<th>Mean ( H_o/H_e )</th>
<th>GenBank No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TMP3</td>
<td>(GAA)(_n)</td>
<td>F: GACAAGACGTTAGCAAG</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td>0.703/0.842</td>
<td>EU881987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R: GAAAAGTGAATTAATC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMP12</td>
<td>(TTC)(_m)</td>
<td>F: TTTTCCTCTGAGTAATCTG</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.830</td>
<td>0.524/0.851</td>
<td>EU881988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R: TGTGACCAACGAAGTTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMP26</td>
<td>(AAG)(_n)</td>
<td>F: GAAAGTTTAGGAATGTTG</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td>0.423/0.497</td>
<td>EU881989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(AAG)(_n)</td>
<td>R: TTCTTTGTTCTAGAGTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMP35</td>
<td>(ACA)(_n)</td>
<td>F: GCTCTAGCTGAGTGTCAC</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>0.187/0.467</td>
<td>EU881990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ACA)(_n)</td>
<td>R: GACAGCCTCGAGTTAAA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMP36</td>
<td>(CAG)(_n)</td>
<td>F: ACCGGGTCTACAAGATTC</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td>0.139/0.325</td>
<td>EU881992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ACA)(_n)</td>
<td>R: TGCTCTAGCTGAGTGTCAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMP22</td>
<td>(GAA)(_n)</td>
<td>F: GAAGAAGTTTGAGAATGG</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td>0.423/0.497</td>
<td>EU881989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(GAA)(_n)</td>
<td>R: TTCTTTGTTCTAGAGTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMP18</td>
<td>(TTTC)(_m)</td>
<td>F: AGTTACAGTGGTTGTCTTTTC</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.769</td>
<td>0.549/0.802</td>
<td>EU881990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(TTTC)(_m)</td>
<td>R: GACAGCCTCGAGTTAAA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ta = annealing temperature; \( N_a \) = allele number; PIC = polymorphic information content; \( H_o \) = observed heterozygosity; \( H_e \) = expected heterozygosity; F = forward primer; R = reverse primer.

### Table 2. Genetic variations of 6 microsatellite loci in 5 populations of blood clam.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>TMP3</th>
<th>TMP12</th>
<th>TMP26</th>
<th>TMP18</th>
<th>TMP22</th>
<th>TMP36</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GN (allele No.)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( H_o )</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>0.593</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>0.231**</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( H_e )</td>
<td>0.794</td>
<td>0.740</td>
<td>0.440</td>
<td>0.784</td>
<td>0.498</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN (allele No.)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( H_o )</td>
<td>0.733</td>
<td>0.556**</td>
<td>0.452</td>
<td>0.464**</td>
<td>0.267**</td>
<td>0.258</td>
<td>0.455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( H_e )</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td>0.504</td>
<td>0.706</td>
<td>0.518</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>0.598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FN (allele No.)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( H_o )</td>
<td>0.630</td>
<td>0.688</td>
<td>0.438**</td>
<td>0.690</td>
<td>0.121**</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( H_e )</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.848</td>
<td>0.614</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>0.590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YN (allele No.)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( H_o )</td>
<td>0.583**</td>
<td>0.241**</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.167**</td>
<td>0.033**</td>
<td>0.304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( H_e )</td>
<td>0.816</td>
<td>0.832</td>
<td>0.329</td>
<td>0.863</td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>0.575</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Significant deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium by Markov chain procedure (P < 0.01). \( H_o \) = observed heterozygosity; \( H_e \) = expected heterozygosity; MN = Malaysia. For other abbreviations, see legend to Figure 1.

### Table 3. Pairwise comparison of \( F_{ST} \) in 5 populations of blood clam.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>GN (allele No.)</th>
<th>HN (allele No.)</th>
<th>SN (allele No.)</th>
<th>FN (allele No.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( F_{ST} )</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN (allele No.)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For abbreviations, see legend to Figure 1.
Among the 5 populations, the mean $H_0$ and $H_E$ varied from 0.304 to 0.460 and 0.556 to 0.621, respectively. The YN population had the lowest $H_0$, and GN had the highest value. The mean $N_A$ was similar among these 5 populations. Deviation from HWE was assessed by the Markov chain method. Thirteen cases of deviation were detected for different loci and different populations: locus TMP3 in the YN population; TMP12 in HN, SN and YN; TMP26 in FN; TMP18 in HN and SN; TMP22 in all 5 populations; and TMP36 in YN.

The pairwise $F_{ST}$ test showed that the biggest $F_{ST}$ distance was between populations SN and GN, indicating that these two groups were more genetically divergent than other population pairs. YN and FN had the smallest genetic distance of 0.0278, which was in accordance with the geographic distance. A neighbor-joining tree based on $F_{ST}$ distance (Figure 2) showed that the FN, SN and YN populations clustered together, indicating that there was no obvious genetic differentiation between these populations. These 3 populations were slightly divergent from the GN and HN populations.

![Figure 2.](image)

DISCUSSION

The magnetic bead-enrichment method has been used in the isolation of microsatellites in the shellfish *C. gigas* (Li and Kijima, 2002) and *P. yessoensis* (Zhao et al., 2006). In most cases, oligonucleotide (CA/GT) was used as the probe to capture target fragments, because the (CA/GT) microsatellite motif is the most abundant sequence in genomic DNA (Brenner et al., 1993). At the same time, we also chose tri- or tetranucleotide probes to isolate microsatellite loci. In this study, the criterion for microsatellite isolation was much lower than that used by Gardner et al. (1999) (microsatellite length of more than 10 repeats), but was similar to the isolation standard of EST-SSRs from grape (Scott et al., 2000). Meanwhile, to compensate for the low discrimination of silver staining, all microsatellite primers were designed from tri- or tetranucleotide repeat motifs so as to minimize the disturbance of the stutter-band produced in most cases with dinucleotide microsatellite primer amplification.

Microsatellite DNA is a highly polymorphic genetic marker, where the polymorphism of this marker is attributed to the repeat number variation that occurred during DNA replication, damage repair and recombination (Levinson and Gutman, 1987). Previous publications proved that the longer the microsatellite repeat sequence, the higher the polymorphism of microsatellite primers (Schlöterer and Tautz, 1992; Wierdl et al., 1997; Eisen, 1999). In the present study, loci TMP22 and TMP36 had the shortest microsatellite repeats, and correspondingly, $N_A$ and PIC values were the smallest among these 6 primers, as well as having the lowest
and $H_e$ values. The mean $H_e$ of the other 4 loci, estimated as being 0.497 to 0.851, showed high genetic polymorphism.

As a relative genetic polymorphism index, mean $H_o$ can be used to assess population genetic diversity. Among these five populations, YN had the smallest mean $H_o$ value (0.304). Considering that the blood clam had been intensively cultivated in the Yueqing area for a long period and that artificial breeding larvae had been widely used to fill the shortage of natural breed in recent years, we believe that either samples of the YN population used here had experienced artificial selective pressure and some allele loss, or that the natural population in this area mingled with the artificially bred blood clam. Previous RAPD analysis showed that the wild population of Korea had higher heterozygosity than that of 4 populations of China (Li et al., 2003); these 4 populations were more or less affected by cultivation and artificial breeding seeds. Because the broodstocks for seed production have a small gene pool, the artificial offspring will cause a fluctuation in gene frequencies as well as a decrease in genetic polymorphisms in the wild (Jeong et al., 2003).

Thirteen deviations from HWE were detected in the present study and might have been due to the presence of null alleles or the consequence of small population sizes. In YN population, deviation was observed at 4 loci due to homozygote excess. Isozyme and microsatellite research has shown that heterozygote deficit may be a common observation in marine bivalve populations (Fairbrother and Beaumont, 1993; Yu and Li, 2007). However, in this case, we considered that the deviation in the YN population was caused by the introduction of a large number of artificial stock of blood clam into the wild population, which resulted in an increase in homozygoties and decrease in heterozygoties.

The $F_{ST}$ value and neighbor-joining tree showed that only little genetic differentiation existed between the populations of SN, YN and FN, where the results were similar to those in previous reports. Li et al. (2003) used RAPD markers to analyze 5 populations of blood clam, and their results showed that no evident genetic differentiation existed between the Shandong and Zhejiang populations; isozyme analysis led to a similar conclusion (Wang et al., 2005). However, this situation maybe the consequence of human actions, because early in the 1960s, wild blood clam spats collected from Shandong had been cultivated in Zhejiang. Afterwards, commercial seed produced from Zhejiang, especially in the Yueqing area, were also cultivated in Shandong. In recent years, even Korean blood clam was introduced to the Yueqing area as breeding parents to undergo artificial breeding. These operations are unavoidable to minimize the genetic differentiation between different geographic populations.

Regarding the GN and HN populations, these two populations were clustered apart from the SN, FN and YN populations. According to Wright criteria (1978), populations GN and SN had undergone moderate genetic differentiation ($F_{ST} = 0.0703 > 0.05$). Zhang et al. (2004) analyzed the genetic relationship between 4 populations of T. granosa based on 9 morphological characters, and their results showed that genetic differentiation between the Guangxi and Shandong populations was bigger than that between the Shandong and Zhejiang populations. They believed that water temperature was mainly responsible for the genetic differentiation. With respect to climate, the Shandong population is located in a temperate climate zone, where blood clams cease to grow in the winter season; the Guangxi population inhabits a subtropical area and can grow all year long. In view of geographic distance, GN and HN populations are far from the SN population; the geographic isolation effect is more efficient in this case.
The total exclusionary power for first parent was 0.902 and 0.982 for the second parent. Bessert and Orti (2003) chose 7 microsatellite loci to submit to paternity analysis in the fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*), and found that the average paternity exclusion probabilities for these loci ranged from 0.102 to 0.795, with a total paternity exclusionary power of 0.999, and that they were suitable for paternity analysis. The total exclusionary power of these 6 microsatellite loci in this study was a little smaller than that in fathead minnow, but these loci are suitable for identifying breeding lines.

Our study showed that some microsatellite loci, which exist in the populations of China, are absent in the blood clam of Malaysia, and vice versa. Also, some primers were found to amplify on all samples from Malaysia and China. Thus, we conclude that over a long evolutionary history, significant genetic differentiation occurred between blood clams of Malaysia and China. To date, no data are available to determine if this differentiation is enough to reach the subspecies or species level.
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