Karyotype diversity of four species of the *incertae sedis* group (Characidae) from different hydrographic basins: analysis of AgNORs, CMA3 and 18S rDNA
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**ABSTRACT.** A large number of genera in the tropical fish family Characidae are *incertae sedis*. Cytogenetic analysis was made of four of these species: *Astyanax eigenmanniorum*, *Deuterodon stigmaturus*, *Hyphessobrycon luetkenii*, and *H. anisitsi*, collected from various hydrographic basins: hydrographic system from Laguna dos Patos/RS, Tramandai basin/RS and Tibagi River basin/PR. The first two species were collected in their type locality in the State of Rio Grande do Sul. The 2n = 48 karyotype was observed only in *A. eigenmanniorum*, while the other species had 2n = 50 chromosomes, with different karyotypic formulas. There was weak heterochromatin staining in the pericentromeric region of *A. eigenmanniorum*, *D. stigmaturus* and *H. luetkenii* chromosomes. In *H. anisitsi*, heterochromatin appeared to be more abundant and distributed in the pericentromeric and terminal regions of the chromosomes; three pairs showed more evident heterochromatic blocks. There were multiple Ag-NORs in all populations, visualized by FISH with an 18S rDNA probe. While *D. stigmaturus* and *H. luetkenii*
had conserved AgNOR, CMA, and 18S rDNA sites, the other two species showed intra- and interindividual variation at these sites. The karyotype variability was high, as is common in this group of fish. Different species arising from isolated hydrographic basins maintain an elevated level of karyotype differentiation, mainly with respect to chromosome structure, heterochromatin distribution and rDNA localization. This is the first report with cytogenetic data for *D. stigmaturus* and *H. luetkenii*.
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### INTRODUCTION

The family Characidae shows the greatest diversity of the order Characiformes, with about 950 species of fish described (Reis et al., 2003). It comprises 13 subfamilies, where the majority of the genera are included in the subfamily Tetragonopterinae. While there is a lack of evidence that this subfamily is a monophyletic group, these genera have been placed in an *incertae sedis* group by Lima et al. (2003), based on phylogenetic systematics.

Of the 88 genera of the family Characidae belonging to the *incertae sedis* group, only 18, (20%), have been cytogenetically studied. Therefore, little is known about the karyotype structure of this group, and the literature is practically limited to the genus *Astyanax* (Baird and Girard, 1854), for which a wide numeric and morphologic variability is very evident (Pazza et al., 2006; Hashimoto et al., 2008; Kantek et al., 2008; among others).

Besides *Astyanax*, the genera belonging to the *incertae sedis* group, for which cytogenetic studies have been conducted are: *Bryconamericus* Eigenmann in Eigenmann (McAtee and Ward, 1907), *Ctenobrycon* (Eigenmann, 1908), *Deuterodon* Eigenmann in Eigenmann, (McAtee and Ward, 1907), *Exodon* (Muller and Troschel, 1844), *Gymnocyprinus* (Eigenmann, 1908), *Hasemania* (Ellis, 1911), *Hemigrammus* (Gill, 1858), *Hollandichthys* (Eigenmann, 1909b), *Hyphessobrycon* (Durbin in Eigenmann, 1908), *Markiana* (Eigenmann, 1903), *Moenkhausia* (Eigenmann, 1903), *Nematobrycon* (Eigenmann, 1911a), *Oligosarcus* (Günther, 1864), *Piabina* (Reinhardt, 1867), *Prionobrama* (Fowler, 1913), *Salminus* (Agassiz in Spix and Agassiz, 1829), and *Triportheus* (Cope, 1872b). The majority of these studies only refer to the diploid number, but have demonstrated karyotype variability, such as the occurrence of different cytotypes in the genus *Bryconamericus* (Paintner-Marques et al., 2002; Capistano et al., 2008), presence of B chromosomes in the genus *Moenkhausia* (Foresti et al., 1989; Portela-Castro et al., 2002), occurrence of sex chromosomes in the genus *Triportheus* (Nirchio et al., 2007; Diniz et al., 2008), and different patterns of heterochromatin distribution in *Oligosarcus* (Rubert and Margarido, 2007; Hattori et al., 2007), among other examples.

The chromosome number in the species of this group varies from 36 in *Astyanax schubarti* Britski, 1964 (Morelli et al., 1983) to 54 in *Bryconamericus* sp (Wasko and Galetti Jr., 1998), where the diploid numbers 50 and 52 are the most constant. Portela et al. (1988) suggested that the ancestral karyotype of the old subfamily Tetragonopterinae, where the majority of its representatives belong currently to the *incertae sedis* group, bears 2n = 50 meta- and submetacentric chromosomes.

Besides the large karyotypic difference found in this group, different cytogenetic markers show the variation of species in the distribution of repetitive DNA sequences, such as...
the organization of heterochromatin, 18S rDNA, 5S rDNA, and different families of repetitive DNA (Ferro et al., 2000; Rosa et al., 2009).

Because of the remarkable chromosomal variability among the genera of the family Characidae, four species of this group were studied in the present study, focusing on both cytogenetic and molecular features, to investigate the genetic events that occur in populations from different hydrographic basins.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Four species of the family Characidae listed as Incertae sedis were collected in three hydrographic basins: a) 11 specimens (9 females and 2 males) of *Astyanax eigenmanniorum* (Cope, 1894) from Saco da Alemoa (29° 59’39.49”S e 51° 14’42.64”W) municipality of Eldorado do Sul/Hydrographic system from Laguna dos Patos/RS; b) 8 specimens (5 females and 3 males) of *Deuterodon stigmaturus* (Gomes, 1947) from Maquiné river (29° 42’11.84”S e 50° 11’48.09”W) municipality of Maquiné/Tramandai basin/RS; c) 18 specimens of *Hyphessobrycon luetkenii* (Boulenger, 1887): 3 males collected from Saco da Alemoa (29° 59’39.49”S e 51° 14’42.64”W) and 7 females and 8 of indeterminate sex collected in the Maquiné river (29° 42’11.84”S e 50° 11’48.09”W) municipality of Maquiné/Tramandai basin; and d) 13 individuals (6 males and 7 females) of *Hyphessobrycon anisitsi* (Eigenmann, 1907) collected in the Cambé stream (23° 19’09.38”S e 51° 11’44.72”W) municipality of Londrina/Tibagi river basin/PR (Figure 1). Individuals from each population were deposited in the Museum of Zoology at Universidade Estadual de Londrina (MZUEL) under the numbers, MZUEL 5070 to *Astyanax eigenmanniorum*; MZUEL 5086 to *Deuterodon stigmaturus*; MZUEL 5092 to *Hyphessobrycon luetkenii*; MZUEL 5216 to *Hyphessobrycon anisitsi*.

Figure 1. Collection sites of studied specimens. Map of Brazil showing the Paraná, Santa Catarina and Rio Grande do Sul states in the selected area (right side). Hydrographic map showing the (a) Tibagi river basin; (b) Hydrographic systems of Laguna dos Patos; and (c) Tramandai river basin.
Conventional staining

Metaphasic chromosomes were obtained by the air drying technique (Bertollo et al., 1978) and lymphocyte culture (Fenocchio and Bertollo, 1988) and stained with 5% Giemsa in phosphate buffer (pH 6.8). The chromosomes were organized as metacentric (m), submetacentric (sm), subtelocentric (st) and acrocentric (a) for the preparation of a karyogram. Chromosomes metacentric, submetacentric and subtelocentric were considered as biarmed and acrocentric as uniarmed to determine the fundamental number (FN).

Chromosome banding

Active nucleolar organizer regions (NORs) were detected by silver nitrate staining (Howell and Black, 1980). The distribution of heterochromatin was analyzed by Giemsa C-banding after treatments with 0.1 M HCl, Ba(OH)₂, and 2X SSC (Sumner, 1972). The GC- and AT-rich bands were detected with chromomycin A₃ (CMA₃) and 4′,6-diamino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), respectively, according to Schweizer (1976). The slides were stained with 0.5 mg/mL CMA₃ for 1 h, washed in distilled water and sequentially stained with 2 μg/mL DAPI for 15 min. Slides were mounted with a medium composed of glycerol/McIlvaine buffer (pH 7.0) 1:1, plus 2.5 mM MgCl₂.

Fluorescence in situ hybridization

The in situ hybridization procedure was performed according to Swarça et al. (2001). The 18S rDNA probe of Prochilodus argenteus Agassiz, 1829 (Hatanaka and Galetti, 2004) was labeled with biotin-14-dATP by nick translation. Slides were treated with 30 μL hybridization mixture containing 4 μL 100 ng labeled probe, 15 μL 50% formamide, 6 μL 50% polyethylene glycol, 3 μL 20X SSC, 1 μL 100 ng calf thymus DNA, and 1 μL 10% SDS. The material was denatured at 90°C for 10 min, and hybridization was performed overnight at 37°C in a humidified chamber. Post-hybridization washes were carried out in 2X SSC, 20% formamide in 0.1X SSC, 0.1X SSC and 4X SSC/0.2% Tween 20, all at 42°C. The probe was detected with a solution of 5% BSA and FITC-conjugated avidin (50:0.5, v:v). The post-detection washes were performed in 4X SSC/0.2% Tween 20 at room temperature. Slides were mounted with 25 μL a medium composed of 23 μL DABCO solution (1,4-diaza- bicyclo (2.2.2)-octane (2,3%), 20 mM Tris HCl, pH 8.0, (2%) and glycerol (90%), in distilled water), 1 μL 2 μg/mL DAPI and 1 μL 50 mM MgCl₂.

All the images were acquired with a Leica DM 4500 B microscope equipped with a DFC 300FX camera and Leica IM50 4.0 software, and optimized for best contrast and brightness with iGrafx Image software.

RESULTS

Hyphessobrycon anisitsi (Eigenmann, 1907)

H. anisitsi showed a chromosome number of 2n = 50, where the karyotype formula is 18m + 10sm + 6st + 16a and the fundamental number equal 84 (Figure 2a). C-banding dem-
onstrated a distribution of heterochromatin in the pericentromeric region of all chromosomes, in the terminal region of the long arm of some chromosomes and also as blocks more evident in the terminal region of the short arm of two metacentric chromosome pairs and on the long arm of one acrocentric chromosome pair, probably pair 23 (Figure 3a).
NORs were observed in short arms of three chromosomes: on sixth metacentric pair and in one of homologues of pair 10 (Figure 4a). Using fluorochrome staining were found an intra- and interindividual variation, with 2 to 8 chromosomes showing CMA+/DAPI- signals (Figure 5a-d), confirmed by FISH with 18S rDNA probe, that showed 6 to 8 chromosomal marks (Figure 6a).

**Hyphessobrycon luetkenii (Boulenger, 1887)**

*H. luetkenii*, of the two hydrographic systems in the State of Rio Grande do Sul (RS), showed a chromosome number of 2n = 50, with the karyotype formula being 6m + 8sm + 36a, and the fundamental number equal 64 (Figure 2b). Heterochromatin was distributed in the pericentromeric region in the majority of chromosomes of the complement (Figure 3b).

All the specimens showed intra- and interindividual variation about NORs, markings were observed on the short arms of 2 to 9 acrocentric chromosomes (Figure 4b) After treatment with fluorochrome, were observed until 5 small sites CMA+/DAPI- in short arm of acrocentric chromosomes (Figure 5e). FISH with 18S rDNA probe showed until 12 chromosomes with ribosomal sites (Figure 6b).
Figure 4. Metaphase chromosomes showing the Ag-NOR sites: (a) Hyphessobrycon anisitsi; (b) Hyphessobrycon luetkenii; (c) Deuterodon stigmatus; and (d) Astyanax eigenmanniorum. Arrows indicate the NORs.

Figure 5. CMA/DAPI banding in four species of incertae sedis group: (a-d) Metaphases of Hyphessobrycon anisitsi showing the CMA+/DAPI- sites. The chromosomes bearing GC-rich sites are shown in the box. Note the site variation among different metaphases. (e) Hyphessobrycon luetkenii; (f) Deuterodon stigmatus; (g-h) Astyanax eigenmanniorum. Note the variation in numbers of GC-rich sites. Arrows indicate the CMA+/DAPI- sites.
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Figure 6. Fluorescence in situ hybridization with 18S rDNA probe. (a) Hyphessobrycon anisitsi, (b) Hyphessobrycon luetkenii; (c) Deuterodon stigmaturus; (d) Astyanax eigenmanniorum. Arrows indicate the ribosomal sites.

Deuterodon stigmaturus (Gomes, 1947)

*D. stigmaturus* showed 2n = 50 chromosomes, along with the karyotype formula 8m + 6sm + 2st + 34a and a fundamental number of 66 (Figure 2c). Heterochromatin appeared weakly present in the pericentromeric regions of all the complement (Figure 3c).

NORs showed intra- and interindividual variations, observed like small sites in short arms of 4 to 7 acrocentric chromosomes (Figure 4c). Fluorochrome staining showed small signals CMA<sup>+</sup>/DAPI<sup>−</sup> in short arms of acrocentric chromosomes (Figure 5f). In FISH with 18S rDNA probe, 8 acrocentric chromosomes with fluorescence signals in terminal regions of the short arm were observed (Figure 6c).

Astyanax eigenmanniorum (Cope, 1894)

*A. eigenmanniorum* showed 2n = 48 chromosomes, distributed as 10m + 16sm + 10st + 12a, with a fundamental number of 84 (Figure 2d). C-banding in this species demonstrated the weak presence of heterochromatin in the pericentromeric region of all chromosomes (Figure 3d).

All metaphases showed three subtelocentric chromosomes carrying the AgNOR in the short arm (Figure 4d). Using staining with fluorochrome CMA<sub>3</sub> and DAPI can also be observed an interindividual variation in relation to positive signals CMA<sub>3</sub>. In an individual four chromosomes with markings CMA<sub>3</sub>/DAPI<sup>−</sup> in short arms of three medium subtelocentrics and in the long arm of a large metacentric were observed (Figure 5g), and in the other analyzed specimens a large number of chromosomes with terminal CMA<sub>3</sub>/DAPI marks in long and/or short arms were observed (Figure 5h). FISH with 18S rDNA probe showed a interindividual variation of 3 to 4 ribosomal sites (Figure 6d).
The results obtained for the four species are summarized in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Summary of results obtained in the present study (2n = diploid number; FN = fundamental number).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>2n</th>
<th>Karyotype formula</th>
<th>FN</th>
<th>Ag-NOR</th>
<th>CMA/DAPI</th>
<th>FISH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Hyphessobrycon anisitsi</em></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>18m + 10sm + 6st + 16a</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Inter- and intraindividual variation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hyphessobrycon luetkenii</em></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6m + 8sm + 36a</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2-9</td>
<td>5 sites</td>
<td>12 sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Deuterodon stigmaturus</em></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>8m + 6sm + 2st + 34a</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>4-7</td>
<td>5 sites</td>
<td>8 sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Astyanax eigenmanniorum</em></td>
<td>48</td>
<td>10m + 16m + 10st + 12a</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Interindividual variation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, the representatives of *Deuterodon stigmaturus* and *Astyanax eigenmanniorum* studied had been collected in the type locality (topotype), and this was the first cytogenetics report for *D. stigmaturus* and *Hyphessobrycon luetkenii*.

The diploid chromosome number of 50 was observed for *Hyphessobrycon anisitsi*, *H. luetkenii* and *Deuterodon stigmaturus*, corresponding to the most frequent value for the family Characidae, present in other populations and species previously studied from the genus *Hyphessobrycon*, such as *H. anisitsi* of the populations in the Piracuama and Perdizes rivers (Centofante et al., 2003a), *H. herbertaxelrodi* (Géry, 1961) and *H. flammneus* (Myers, 1924, Arefe, 1990), and also in other species of the group, for example, of the genera *Moenkhausia* (Foresti et al., 1989; Portela-Castro and Júlio Jr., 2002), *Oligosarcus* (Martinez et al., 2004; Kavalco et al., 2005; Rubert and Margarido, 2007), *Salminus* (Margarido and Galetti Jr., 1999; Souza et al., 2008) and *Astyanax* (Pacheco et al., 2001; Kavalco and Moreira-Filho, 2003; Pamponet et al., 2008), among others. In the genus *Deuterodon*, only *Deuterodon pedri* (Eigennmann, 1908) has been studied cytogenetically, and the chromosome number observed was also 50 (Portela et al., 1988).

An interesting observation in *D. stigmaturus* and *H. luetkenii* was the presence of a large number of acrocentric chromosomes, an uncommon characteristic among the representatives of this group of fish, but which has also been observed in *Ctenobrycon hauxwellianus* (Cope, 1870, Carvalho et al., 2002), *Deuterodon pedri* (Portela et al., 1988) and *Exodon paradoxus* (Müller and Troschel, 1844, Venere et al., 1997). Portela et al. (1988) suggested that the ancestral karyotype of the old subfamily Tetragonopterinae would be 2n = 50 meta- and submetacentric chromosomes, and therefore, the species with the greater number of acrocentric chromosomes can be considered to be more derived, due to the accumulation of chromosomal rearrangements along the process of karyotype differentiation for these populations.

*Hyphessobrycon anisitsi* of Cambé stream showed 18m + 10sm + 6st + 16a, a karyotype formula very different from that of the populations analyzed by Centofante et al. (2003a) who found 6m + 16sm + 12st + 16a for *H. anisitsi* of Piracuama and Perdizes rivers, but the FN of 84 was the same, because the number of chromosomes with one and two arms was identical. The variation in chromosome types observed between the populations of Cambé stream and those examined by Centofante et al. (2003a), could be due to the occurrence of pericentric inversion in metacentric chromosomes, giving rise to submeta- and subtelocentric chromosomes, suggesting a divergent karyotype evolution in this group of fish.

*Astyanax eigenmanniorum* showed 2n = 48 chromosomes, where this diploid number has been found in other species such as: *A. fasciatus* (Cuvier, 1819, Pazza et al., 2006), *A.
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parahybae (Eigenmann, 1908, Centofante et al., 2003b; Kavalco and Moreira-Filho, 2003), and some populations of A. scabripinnis (Jenyns, 1842, Fernandes and Martins-Santos, 2003; Malacrida et al., 2003; Vicari et al., 2008). Another population of Astyanax eigenmanniorum, from Caetano stream, Uberlandia/MG, analyzed by Torres-Mariano and Morelli (2008), showed a formula of 14m + 18sm + 10st + 6a, constituted by a greater number of meta- and submetacentric chromosomes (32), compared with the results obtained in this study (26 chromosomes). Torres-Mariano and Morelli (2008) also found 0 to 2 B chromosomes which were not observed in the population studied here. In Astyanax eigenmanniorum of Laguna dos Patos, the first metacentric pair did not show a size much greater than that of the other chromosomes of the complement, which is a characteristic that is shared by many characids and that was observed in the population studied by Torres-Mariano and Morelli (2008).

In relation to heterochromatin, the population of Astyanax eigenmanniorum of Laguna dos Patos showed the weak presence of heterochromatin in the pericentromeric region of all chromosomes, while A. eigenmanniorum of Caetano stream/MG displayed heterochromatin distributed in the terminal and/or centromeric regions (Torres-Mariano and Morelli, 2008). Observing the karyotypic differences found between the population of Astyanax eigenmanniorum in the present study and the population of the Caetano stream /MG suggests that the latter probably belongs to a different species, because the karyotype differences found between these two populations corroborate what was described by Lima et al. (2003), limiting the occurrence of A. eigenmanniorum to the southern region of Brazil. Confirming this fact, Fauaz et al. (1994) recorded karyotype data for A. eigenmanniorum of Grande River/MG; however, the specimens of this population were recently identified as being A. bockmanni (Vari and Castro, 2007).

Deuterodon stigmaturus and Hyphessobrycon luetkenii also displayed heterochromatin distribution with weak staining in the pericentromeric region of all chromosomes. Hyphessobrycon anistitti showed a pattern of heterochromatin distribution completely different from that of the 3 other species studied, showing more abundant heterochromatin in the pericentromeric and terminal regions in the majority of chromosomes of the karyotype, where this was present in terminal blocks more evident in 3 chromosome pairs: 3 and 6, in the short arm, and in the long arm of chromosome 23. Centofante et al. (2003a) also observed heterochromatic terminal blocks in populations of H. anistitti of the Perdizes and Piracuama rivers, in various chromosomes, including chromosomes 2, 6 and 21, which could be similar to chromosomes 3, 6 and 23 observed in the present study, and which could be considered chromosome markers for this species.

According to Margarido and Galetti Jr. (1999), the pattern of heterochromatin distribution is useful in the characterization and differentiation of some species. In the present study, it can be seen that the 3 species that had the same heterochromatin pattern belong to the hydrographic basins of the same region, while H. anistitti, which belongs to the basin of Tibagi river/PR, has a totally different pattern of heterochromatin distribution.

Multiple NORs were observed in four studied species. Deuterodon stigmaturus and Hyphessobrycon luetkenii showed little AgNORs sites, usually present in a large amount and on short arms ofacrocentric chromosomes, varying both inter and intra individually. FISH with 18S rDNA, proved the lot and location of AgNORs, being found eight chromosomes bearing these ribosomal sites in D. stigmaturus and 12 small sites in H. luetkenii.

No data were found on chromosome banding for the genus Deuterodon and for Hyphessobrycon luetkenii, however, the presence of multiple NORs is very common in the family Characidae, for example, occurs in species of the genus: Astyanax (Mizoguchi and Mar-
tins-Santos, 1998; Kavalco and Moreira-Filho, 2003; Pazza et al., 2006), *Hyphessobrycon* (Cenofante et al., 2003a), *Piabina* (Portela et al., 1988; Peres et al., 2008), among others.

AgNORs GC-rich are also common in the family of Characidae and present in *Salminus hilarii* (Valenciennes, 1850) and *S. brasiliensis* (Cuvier, 1816, Margarido and Galetti Jr., 1999), *Moenkhausia sanctaefilomenae* (Steindachner, 1907), *M. intermedia* (Eigenmann, 1908), *Hemigrammus marginatus* (Ellis, 1911, Portela-Castro and Júlio Jr., 2002).

While *D. stigmaturus* and *H. lutkenii* showed a conservation in AgNORs, CMA, and 18S rDNA sites, the two other species showed an intra- and interindividual variation of these sites. *H. anisitsi* and *A. eigenmanniorum* always showed 3 chromosomes with AgNORs, which were also related to the 18S rDNA and CMA sites. However, in these species an interindividual variation of these latter sites can be observed, characterizing these populations as polymorphic for the number and chromosomal distribution of CMA, and ribosomal sites. Interindividual variation of ribosomal DNA has been found in other species and populations of neotropical fish studied, as *Astyanax scabripinnis* (Ferro et al., 2000; Mantovani et al., 2005), *Serrasalmus* (La Cepède, 1803, Nakayama, 2007), *Squalius alburnoides* (Steindachner, 1866) and *S. pyrenaicus* (Günther, 1868, Gromicho et al., 2005).

The polymorphism observed in *H. anisitsi* and *A. eigenmanniorum*, in this study, can be associated to a very small size of sites of ribosomal DNA, that might hinder the detection of the hybridization signals corresponding to the chromosomes. Another hypothesis is the idea that real differences between individuals and populations may occur with the ribosomal sites (Ferro et al., 2000; Gromicho et al., 2005).

Cytogenetic analyses performed in four species of Characidae, showed a variation in relation to chromosomal macro- and microstructure. In two species, *H. lulkenii* and *D. stigmaturus* a constant cytogenetic pattern in relation to the other two species, that showed a karyotipical variability was observed.

The present study contributes to the accumulation of cytogenetic information on the family Characidae, principally with the cytogenetic characterization of totopotypes and demonstrating that taxonomically well-defined species, such as *Hyphessobrycon lutkenii* from different hydrographic basins, has a stable karyotype. However, *H. anisitsi* which is not well delimited taxonomically, shows karyotype differences between the populations previously investigated and that in the present study, which could mean the occurrence of more than one species, thereby indicating the need for a taxonomic revision for this group of fish.
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