Cytogenetic findings in Serbian patients with Turner’s syndrome stigmata
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ABSTRACT. Cytogenetic findings are reported for 31 female patients with Turner’s syndrome. Chromosome studies were made from lymphocyte cultures. Non-mosaicism 45,X was demonstrated in 15 of these patients, whereas only three were apparently mosaic. Eight patients showed non-mosaic and four patients showed mosaic structural aberrations of the X-chromosome. One non-mosaic case displayed a karyotype containing a small marker chromosome. Conventional cytogenetics was supplemented by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) with an X-specific probe to identify the chromosomal origin of the ring and a 1q12-specific DNA probe to identify de novo balanced translocation (1;9) in one patient. To our knowledge, this is the first finding of karyotype 45,X,t(1;9)(cen;cen)/46,X,r(X),t(1;9)(cen;cen) in Turner’s syndrome. The same X-specific probe was also used to identify a derivative chromosome in one patient.
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INTRODUCTION

Turner’s syndrome is characterized by short stature, gonadal dysgenesis, and anatomic malformations, including pterygium colli, congenital heart disease, renal anomalies, and cubitus valgus (Turner et al., 1938). Turner’s syndrome phenotype is attributed to hemizygosity for genes that are normally expressed in both the active and inactive X-chromosomes in females (Park et al., 1999). According to recent reports, only about half of all Turner’s syndrome patients are really monosomic for the whole X-chromosome, while the other half are represented by a heterogeneous group with different structural abnormalities of the sex chromosome (Kuznetzova et al., 1995). Most of them are confined to structural abnormalities of the X-chromosome. The other group of these patients has a mosaic karyotype with the second cell lines carrying numerical or structural sex chromosome anomalies (Gicquel et al., 1992). Detailed clinical and cytogenetic analysis of these patients can provide new information on the developmental effects of different chromosomal segments and their participation in normal and abnormal development.

Complex comparative clinical, cytogenetic, and molecular analyses are also important to determine the nature of derivative and marker chromosomes because of the high risk of malignancy in dysgenetic gonads, owing to the presence of Y-chromosome material (Petrovic et al., 1992; Page, 1994).

Small marker and derivative chromosomes represent a difficult task for cytogenetic analysis by routine classical methods. However, the combination of cytogenetic and molecular techniques enables us to solve this problem quite efficiently and to establish the origin of derivative and marker chromosomes in patients with Turner’s syndrome.

Balanced translocations are very rare events in Turner’s karyotype. To the best of our knowledge, four cases of monosomy X associated with balanced Robertsonian translocation t(13;14) (Laszlo et al., 1984; Salamanca et al., 1985; Krajinovic et al., 1994; Silva et al., 2006) and one previous case of Turner’s syndrome with familial balanced translocation t(1;2) (q32;q21)mat (Kondo et al., 1979) have been reported until now.

In this report, 31 patients with Turner’s syndrome stigmata with different karyotypes were studied. Besides cytogenetics, we used fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) to examine 2 patients for precise karyotyping and identification of the origin of the ring chromosome and de novo balanced translocation in the karyotype of one patient, and a derivative chromosome in the karyotype of another.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Patients

Since 1990, 31 patients with monosomy X in the different karyotypes (Table 1) have been analyzed in the Laboratory of Cytogenetics and Molecular Genetics, Institute of Hematology, Clinical Center of Belgrade, Serbia. The criteria for inclusion in the study were female phenotype, short stature, delayed or lack of sexual maturation, and complete or partial loss of a sex chromosome in at least some cells.

Cytogenetics

Cytogenetic analysis was carried out on metaphases obtained from phytohemagglu-
tinin-stimulated peripheral lymphocytes using a standard procedure. Chromosomes were examined with modified Giemsa staining HG-banding technique, which was described previously in detail (Novak et al., 1994). The karyotypes were presented in accordance with the guidelines of the International System for Human Cytogenetic Nomenclature (ISCN) (Shaffer et al., 2009).

Molecular cytogenetics

Protocol No. 1 was applied to patients whose mosaic karyotype showed t(1;9) and a ring chromosome (Figure 2). Specifically, FISH was performed on metaphase chromosomes prepared from peripheral blood by a standard technique. To confirm de novo balanced translocation between chromosomes 1 and 9, a subcentromeric DNA probe specific for chromosome 1 (1q12-21) was used. A tandemly repeated alphoid DNA sequence, DXZα, localized predominantly at the centromeric region of the X-chromosome (Xq11-13), was used to confirm the origin of the ring chromosome. Both of these DNA probes were labeled with biotin-14-dATP using BioNick Labeling System, and the protocol previously described by Djordjevic et al. (2008) was applied.

Protocol No. 2 was applied to patients whose karyotype showed structural abnormality of the X-chromosome (Figure 3). The probe specific for the centromeric region of the X-chromosome was labeled with biotin-14-dATP in a nick translation reaction using a BioNick Labeling System (Gibco-BRL). Approximately 100 ng of the probe was precipitated and dissolved in 16 μL hybridization buffer consisting of 50% formamide, 10% dextran-sulfate, 1% SDS, 1X Denhardt’s, 2X SSC and 0.04 M sodium phosphate, pH 7.0. The probe was denatured for 10 min at 65°C and kept on ice. The target DNA was denatured in 70% formamide/2X SSC at 65°C for 3 min, quenched immediately in cold 70% ethanol, dehydrated through an ethanol series (70, 90, 90, 95%) and air-dried. Afterward, the probe was placed on a slide, sealed under a coverslip with rubber cement, and incubated in a humidified box at 37°C overnight. After removal of coverslips in 2X SSC at room temperature, the slides were washed twice, first in 50% formamide/2X SSC, and then in 2X SSC for 10 min at 42°C. The slides were incubated in TNFM (4X SSC, 0.05% Tween 20, 5% non-fat milk) for 20 min at 37°C. After incubation with Fluorescein Avidin DCS (Vector Laboratories), the slides were washed in 4X SSC/0.05% Tween 20 for 5 min at 42°C and then with 10X PBS for 5 min at room temperature, and air-dried. The slides were mounted in 0.4 mg/mL DAPI (diamidino phenylindole), counterstained in Vectashield Antifade Buffer and viewed under an Olympus BX51 fluorescent microscope with appropriate filters for detection of fluorescein and the DAPI, and the Cytovision 3.1 software (Applied Imaging Corp.) was used for analysis.

RESULTS

Conventional cytogenetic analysis was carried out in 31 patients with features of Turner’s syndrome. Karyotypes of patients are shown in Table 1. The most common was monosomic karyotype (15/31 or 48.4%). In 3/31 patients (9.7%) a loss of X in mosaic form was observed. Aberration i(Xq) was present in 7/31 (22.6%), while r(X) was found in 3/31 (9.7%) patients. Structural aberrations of X-chromosome were present as del(Xp) in 1/31 (3.2%) and t(X;1), also in 1/31 (3.2%). Aneuploid karyotype with +mar chromosome was
observed in 1/31 (3.2%) patients.

In one patient with r(X) in the mosaic karyotype, balanced translocation between chromosomes 1 and 9 with a breakpoint in centromeres was observed. Mitoses of both cell lines (45,X/46,Xr(X)) are shown in Figure 1 (A1, A2).

To determine the origin of the ring chromosome, FISH analysis was performed with the DXZα probe, specific for the centromeric region of the X-chromosome. To confirm the assumption that it is a balanced translocation between chromosomes 1 and 9, FISH analysis with 1q12-specific DNA probe was also performed. Two HG-banded mitotic chromosome spreads, as well as the results of metaphase FISH applied in these preparations, are shown in Figure 2.

It was confirmed that the ring chromosome originates from the X-chromosome (Figure 2A1, A2), and that two chromosomal derivatives, der(1) and der(9), were products of balanced translocation between chromosome 1 and chromosome 9 (Figure 2B1, B2). The cytogenetic result of the last patient was 45,X,t(1;9)(cen;cen)[30]/46,X,r(X),t(1;9)(cen;cen)[2].

Cytogenetic analysis of the constitutive karyotype of both parents of this patient showed that their karyotypes were normal. Analysis confirmed that the balanced t(1;9) in the karyotype of our patient was de novo aberration in r(X) mosaic Turner’s karyotype.

Table 1. Distribution of karyotypes associated with Turner’s syndrome in our series of patients (N = 31).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Karyotype</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X-complete and homogeneous monosomies</td>
<td>45,X</td>
<td>15/31</td>
<td>48.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-mosaic monosomies</td>
<td>45,X/46,XX</td>
<td>3/31</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-isochromosome</td>
<td>46,X,i(Xq)</td>
<td>5/31</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-mosaic isochromosome</td>
<td>45,X/46,X,i(Xq)</td>
<td>2/31</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-ring chromosome</td>
<td>46,X,r(X)</td>
<td>2/31</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-mosaic ring chromosome</td>
<td>45,X,t(1;9)/46,X,r(X),t(1;9)</td>
<td>1/31</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-deletion chromosome</td>
<td>45,X/46,XX,del(Xp)</td>
<td>1/31</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-structural abnormalities</td>
<td>46,X,t(X;1)</td>
<td>1/31</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-other chromosome abnormalities</td>
<td>47,XX,+mar</td>
<td>1/31</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Peripheral blood karyotype of the patient with mosaic r(X) and balanced t(1;9). (A1) HG-banded metaphase shows cell line 46,X,r(X),t(1;9). Arrows indicate balanced chromosomes 1 and 9, r(X) and X-chromosome. (A2) HG-banded metaphase shows cell line 45,X,t(1;9). Arrows indicate balanced chromosomes 1 and 9 and X-chromosome.
In another patient, in which karyotype translocation between the X-chromosome and chromosome 1 was observed, FISH analysis with the DNA probe specific for the X-chromosome (green), showing the signals present on both normal X-chromosome and r(X) (arrows), was performed, so t(X;1) was confirmed by molecular cytogenetics. Partial karyotype of this patient, as well as the results of FISH analysis, is shown in Figure 3 (A1, A2).

**DISCUSSION**

Turner’s syndrome is the most common sex chromosomal abnormality in females, affecting an estimated 3% of all females conceived (Chander and Ahmed, 2001). It is well known
that two basic hypotheses on the manifestation of Turner’s syndrome can be considered; first, the existence of two X-chromosomes for the survival of early human XO conceptuses, and, second, haploinsufficiency or imbalance of the same gene products in non-activated homologous regions of the X- and Y-chromosomes (Kuznetzova et al., 1995).

Monosomy X (45,X) may represent 1-2% of all human conceptuses (De La Chapelle, 1990). In spite of the mild phenotypic abnormalities in live-born 45,X individuals compared with live-born autosomal trisomies, more than 99% of 45,X embryos are aborted in early pregnancy (Hook and Warburton, 1983). Hook and Warburton speculated that 45,X fetuses who survive to live birth are cryptic mosaics. They hypothesized that these patients had a second cell line in some organs or tissues in which a double dose of the same locus or loci of the long arm of the X-chromosome is necessary for fetal survival. Because of differences in ascertainment, the prevalence rate of 45,X Turner’s syndrome varies between 40 and 60% in different studies (Held et al., 1992). The detection of chromosomal mosaicism depends on several factors, including the number of cells examined, the number and type of tissues studied, the culture techniques employed, and whether in vivo or in vitro selection against one of the cell line occurs (Held et al., 1992).

In our study, we investigated the karyotypes of patients with Turner’s syndrome only from peripheral blood lymphocytes (for technical reasons), and compared our findings with the results of single-center studies that included a large number of patients (87-150). In our group of patients (Table 1), the most common karyotype was monosomic 45,X, found in 48.4%, i.e., 15/31 patients. Mosaic karyotype 45,X/46,XX, was only present in 9.7% (3/31) patients. Structural aberrations of X-chromosome, such as i(Xq), r(X), del(Xp), t(X;1), were found in 38.7% patients (12/31), a large percentage for this group of patients. Aneuploid karyotype with marker chromosome was observed in one female (1/31 or 3.2%).

Table 2 summarizes the chromosome findings in lymphocytes of patients with Turner’s syndrome reported in the literature, as well as our results. The data include studies by Palmer and Reichmann (1976), Hall et al. (1982), Ranke et al. (1983), Park et al. (1983), Held et al. (1992), and present the series of the patients reported, respectively. By comparing our findings with results of these studies, we conclude that, although our group of patients was the smallest, the results were roughly similar. The largest deviation was in the mosaic karyotypes such as X/XXX or X/XX/XXX. In our group, there were no such karyotypes, while in the other

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Patients studied</th>
<th>Karyotype 45,X</th>
<th>Mosaicism</th>
<th>Structural aberrations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Palmer and Reichmann (1976)</td>
<td>N = 110</td>
<td>58.2%</td>
<td>X/XX</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hall et al. (1982)</td>
<td>N = 127</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
<td>X/XX,i(Xq)</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranke et al. (1983)</td>
<td>N = 150</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>X/X,r(X)</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park et al. (1983)</td>
<td>N = 116</td>
<td>61.2%</td>
<td>X/XXX or X/XX/XXX</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Held et al. (1992)</td>
<td>N = 87</td>
<td>20.7%</td>
<td>X,X,+mar</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>N = 31</td>
<td>48.4%</td>
<td>X/XY</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Sex chromosome constitution in our group of patients compared with five major studies.
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studies they were present (Palmer et al., 1976; Hall et al., 1982; Ranke et al., 1983; Park et al., 1983; Held et al., 1992). Mosaicism XXY was absent in our report, as well as in the study of Ranke and colleagues (1983). Also, del(Xq) was not present in our patients, while it was found in three of the five studies mentioned above (Hall et al., 1982; Park et al., 1983; Held et al., 1992). Karyotype Xi(Xq) was the most common in our group of patients (16.1%), comparable to other studies (Palmer and Reichmann, 1976; Hall et al., 1982; Ranke et al., 1983; Park et al., 1983; Held et al., 1992).

Particularly interesting was the karyotype of our patient with a balanced translocation t(1;9) in all mitoses, and r(X) chromosome in mosaic form.

A ring chromosome is formed when breaks occur at both ends of a chromosome and proximal ends rejoin. Theacentric fragment is usually lost and partial monosomy results. In practice, ring chromosomes induce complex mitotic events with variable duplication, deficiency and unpredictable phenotypic expression. It has been noted that r(X) chromosome cases are phenotypically different according to size of the ring, reflecting the amount of deletion of both short and long arms (Chander and Ahmed, 2001). The smaller the ring, the greater the deletion is, with closer resemblance to classic 45,X Turner’s phenotype (Collins et al., 1994). Some authors felt that the r(X) chromosome patients lacked many of the classic Turner’s syndrome features and that the majority are not karyotyped until the age of 11 years, usually because of pubertal failure (Dennis et al., 1993; Collins et al., 1994). Also, others believed that the phenotype is more severely affected than expected in classical Turner’s syndrome (Migliori et al., 1991; Van Dyke et al., 1992; Dennis et al., 1993; Cantu et al., 1995).

Our patient was 19 years old, with primary amenorrhea, sexual infantilism and short stature. The external genitalia were female, but immature; breast development was absent. The ovarian ultrasound displayed only bilateral streak gonads.

Cytogenetic analysis showed a ring chromosome in the karyotype of this patient. FISH confirmed that the ring chromosome originated from the X-chromosome and that the cell line 46,X,r(X) was present in 12%, while cell line 45,X was present in 88% of peripheral blood lymphocytes. Also, cytogenetic analysis showed the presence of balanced translocation t(1;9) in all mitoses, and FISH analysis confirmed it.

Balanced translocations in 45,X karyotype are very rare. Four previous cases of monosomy X associated with a translocation t(13;14) have been reported. Salamanca et al. (1985) reported a case of maternally inherited t(13;14), but did not investigate the parental origin of the X-chromosome non-disjunction. Laszlo et al. (1984) reported a patient, her mother and sister, who all had a t(13;14). Krajnovic et al. (1994) found that both X-chromosomes and the t(13;14) were paternally inherited in one of their patients. Silva et al. (2006) reported a patient who had both translocation and X-chromosome that were demonstrated to be maternally inherited. All of these studies reported the association between balanced Robertsonian translocations and Turner’s syndrome. To our knowledge, only one patient was reported with Turner’s syndrome and familiar balanced translocation. Kondo et al. (1979) reported a patient whose karyotype was 45,X,t(1;2)(q32;q21).mat.

As far as we know, our patient is a unique case of Turner’s syndrome whose karyotype is the result of mosaicism of cells with monosomy X and cells with r(X). In both cell lines, de novo balanced translocation t(1;9)(cen;cen) was present, since both her parents had a normal karyotype. In this example, in karyotype 45,X/46,X,r(X) in combination with unrelated balanced translocation, we can conclude that there is no causal-consequential relationship between autosomal and sex chromosome abnormalities.
X;autosome chromosomal translocations are also rare in the karyotype of Turner’s syndrome. Omar et al. (1999) published three cases of Turner’s syndrome with X;autosome chromosomal translocation. The karyotype of two female patients was 46,X,der(9)t(9;X)(q11.2;q22.3). This abnormal chromosomal complement results in a loss of the short arm of the X-chromosome and a gain of an extra copy of the long arm of chromosome 9. One patient had a karyotype with balanced translocation of the same chromosomes 46,X,t(9;X)(q11.2;q22.3). X;autosome chromosomal translocation was found in one of our patients. Her karyotype was 46,X,t(1;X)(p36;q22), and FISH analysis confirmed the presence of both X-chromosome centromeres.

We are convinced by the present results, as well as by data of other authors, that only the combined application of cytogenetic and molecular techniques can provide adequate chromosomal analysis in patients with different chromosomal complement in Turner’s syndrome karyotype.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Research supported by the Ministry of Science and Technological Development, Republic of Serbia (DD Grant #143028).

REFERENCES

Novak A, Kruskic M, Ludoski M and Jurukovski V (1994). Rapid method for obtaining high-quality chromosome banding...
Turner’s syndrome


