Lack of association of genetic polymorphisms of angiotensin-converting enzyme gene I/D and glutathione-S-transferase enzyme T1 and M1 with retinopathy of prematures
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ABSTRACT. One of the most frequently observed causes of blindness in infancy is the pathogenesis known as retinopathy of prematurity (ROP). Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) is a vital enzyme in the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system; it is involved in the development of cardiovascular system diseases linked to I/D polymorphism of the ACE gene. Glutathione-S-transferase enzyme (GST) is one of the most important regulating components of the antioxidant system; there are indications that certain polymorphisms of GST genes (GSTT1, GSTM1), especially the null genotypes, increase the tendency for oxidative stress diseases. We investigated a possible correlation between ACE gene I/D and GSTT1 and GSTM1 gene polymorphisms in 56 prematures suffering from ROP and a control group composed of 48 prematures without ROP in a hospital in Turkey. PCR was used to detect the ACE I/D, GSTT1 and GSTM1 gene polymorphisms. Genotype was
determined based on bands formed on agarose gel electrophoresis. We found no significant differences in genotype frequency of the ACE I/D, GSTT1 and GSTM1 genes between normal subjects and patients with ROP. Our results do not support an association of ACE I/D, GSTT1 and GSTM1 gene polymorphisms with risk for ROP.
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INTRODUCTION

Premature retinopathy (ROP), which is one of the most frequently observed causes of blindness in infancy and whose pathogenesis is not clearly defined, is a disease that appears in connection with the abnormal proliferation of the retinal capillaries, and is observed mainly in premature infants with low birth weight (Smith, 2002; Rusai et al., 2008). Currently, its etiology is not clearly defined. The existence of factors like underdeveloped retinal capillaries, low birth weight, age of pregnancy, hyperox connected to oxygen treatment, patient ductus arteriosus, bronchopulmonary dysplasia, apnea that is recurrent and requires mechanical ventilation, hypercapnia and hypocapnia, sepsis, and genetical tendency are considered to play a role in development of the disease (Subhani et al., 2001; Karma et al., 2005; Shah et al., 2005). Low birth weight and short gestational age have been consistently shown to be associated with ROP. However, the most interesting fact is that in infants with similar clinical characteristics, while the advanced stage of ROP progresses in some, it regresses spontaneously in others. Certain studies have indicated that genetic factors may play a role in this difference (Hutcheson et al., 2005; Shastry and Qu, 2007).

Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE), which is a key enzyme in the renin-angiotensin and kinin system, exists in high concentrations on the vascular endothelial cell surface and most of it originates in endothelial tissue. ACE is also observed in kidneys, brain and liver at concentrations lower than in plasma. It plays a major role in adjustment of vascular tone by converting angiotensin-1 to angiotensin-2, which is a vasoconstrictor agent, and by decomposing bradykinin, which is a vasodilator. At the same time ACE is also related to fibrinolysis, platelet activation and coagulation activation (Hayes et al., 1978; Beneteau-Burnat and Baudin, 1991; Vaughan, 1997; Nishimura et al., 1999). The I/D polymorphism, which is the most prevalent polymorphism of the ACE gene, plays a role in the synthesis of ACE, especially the D genotype, and in the development of various cardiovascular diseases (Barceló et al., 2001; Yaggi et al., 2005).

Glutathione-S-transferase (GST) is one of the most important enzymes in the enzymatic antioxidant system. Besides detoxifying exogenous electrophilic xenobiotics, these transferases inactivate endogenous end products formed as secondary metabolites during oxidative stress (Habdous et al., 2004; Hayes et al., 2005; Zhong et al., 2006). Some studies indicate that certain polymorphisms of GST genes (GSTT1, GSTM1) and especially the null genotypes increase the tendency towards diseases related to oxidative stress (Onaran et al., 2000a,b; Strange et al., 2001).

In this study, we investigated the correlation between ROP cases and ACE I/D and GST gene polymorphisms.
MATERIAL AND METHODS

Patients

Our study consisted of 56 patients with ROP and a control group of 48 infants with premature birth who did not show ROP. Our study group included premature babies with either birth weight of 1500 g or lower, born in the 32nd week or earlier or babies with birth weight between 1500-2000 g or born after the 32nd week with instable clinical status, requiring support in respiration/circulation and declared as being of high risk by the neonatologist/pediatric specialist monitoring the babies. Cases who met the same criteria but were not diagnosed with retinopathy and had no eye problem were included in the control group.

The babies were submitted to fundus examination using indirect ophthalmoscopy. Before this examination, 2.5% phenylephrine and 0.5% tropicamide drops were administered twice at 15-min intervals for pupil dilatation. The first scanning examination was conducted on all babies when their chronological age was 4-6 weeks. When premature retinopathy was not detected, they were re-evaluated in 2-week intervals until the retinal vascularization was complete. When ROP was diagnosed, the babies were examined every week starting from the beginning of the disease until it regressed. For threshold ROP disease treatment, laser photocoagulation under general anesthesia was performed. ROP stages were determined according to the International Premature Retinopathy Classification Committee. A hemogram evaluation was simultaneously performed for all cases in which blood samples were taken for genetic tests and their anemic status was investigated. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical School of the University of Uludağ.

Methods

Blood samples were obtained in EDTA tubes from both patients and controls. DNA was isolated according to the Dr. Zeydanlı (DZ) DNA isolation kit procedure and then stored at -20°C until polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed. I/D polymorphism of the ACE gene of DNA samples was determined using the PCR technique. The primers used to determine ACE I/D polymorphism were F: 5’-CTG GAG ACC ACT CCC ATC TTCTC-3’ and R: 5’-GAT GTG GCC ATC ACA TTC GTC AGA T-3’, and the D/D genotype insertion area-specific primer: F: 5’-TGG GAC CAC AGC GCC CGC CCA CTA C-3’ and R: 5’-TCG CCA GCC CTC CCA TGC CCA TAA-3’ (Lee and Tsai, 2002). A PCR mixture of 30 μL was prepared for ACE gene amplification from the DNA samples. The mixture was prepared to contain 2.5 μL 10X Taq polymerase buffer, 0.5 μL 10 mM dNTP mixture, 2 μL 25 mM MgCl₂, 1 μL 10 pmol primary couple, 0.2 μL Taq polymerase (Bioron) and 20 μL ddH₂O for each sample. About 3 μL (100 ng) of the DNA sample was added to the mixture. To prevent incorrect D/D genotyping in samples of ACE D/D genotype, the results were confirmed with a second PCR analysis. PCR conditions were as follows: after the first denaturation for 5 min at 94°C, which continued for 1 min at 94°C, and then with 35 cycles of annealing for 1 min at 57°C (for verification of D/D genotype, at 63°C), and extension for 1 min at 72°C, which ended with a final extension for 10 min at 72°C.

After PCR, the samples were separated by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis, stained with ethidium bromide and photographed for the amplification study. As a result of the am-
The multiplex PCR method was used to determine GSTM1 and GSTT1 polymorphisms in the isolated DNAs. For the GSTT1 polymorphism, forward 5'-TTCCCTTACTGGTCTCTACAACTC-3' and reverse 5'-TCACGGATCATGCTGAGCA-3' primers were used. For the GSTM1 polymorphism, forward 5'-GAACTCCCTGAAAAGCTAAAGC-3' and reverse 5'-GTTGGGCTCAAATATACGGTGG-3' primers were used. Albumin forward 5'-GCCCTCTGCAAGTTACTAC-3' and reverse 5'-GCCCTAAAAAGAAAATCCCCAATC-3' primers were used as internal controls (Abbas et al., 2004). Albumin (350 bp), GSTM1 (219 bp) and GSTT1 (459 bp) PCR products were formed. PCR conditions required denaturation for 5 min at 94°C and then 35 cycles as follows: 1 min at 94°C (denaturation), 1 min at 58°C (annealing), 1 min at 72°C (elongation) and finally 10 min at 72°C (final elongation). Genotypes were determined using an agarose gel electrophoresis.
determined by migration of the products on agarose gel with 2% ethidium bromide (Figure 2).

Figure 2. A representative multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis of GST polymorphisms. Albumin (350 bp), GSTT1 (459 bp) and GSTM1 (219 bp) genes. PCR products resolved by agarose gel electrophoresis. Lane MARKER = a 100-bp DNA ladder. Lanes 1 and 3 = both GSTT1 and GSTM1 present genotypes; lane 2 = GSTM1 present genotype and GSTT1 null genotype; lane 4 = both GSTT1 and GSTM1 null genotypes.

Statistical analysis

Data are reported as means ± standard deviation. The SPSS 13.0 program was used for the analysis. The chi-square ($\chi^2$) test was used to compare genotypes. A value of $P < 0.05$ was accepted as indicating a statistically significant difference.

RESULTS

In this study, among the 56 cases in the patient group (29 males and 27 females), the birth weight was $1321 \pm 318$ g, and among the 48 cases in the control group (18 males and 30 females), the birth weight was $1469 \pm 287$ g on average (Table 1).
No significant differences in allele and genotype frequencies for any polymorphism were observed between ROP patients and controls, although the D allele was less frequent in ROP patients (P > 0.05). In the patient group, the frequencies of the I and D alleles were 38 and 62%, respectively, and in the control group they were 32 and 68%, respectively (Table 2).

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of ROP patients and controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ROP patients (N = 56)</th>
<th>Control group (N = 48)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Males/Females (N)</td>
<td>29/27</td>
<td>18/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (grams)</td>
<td>1321 ± 318</td>
<td>1469 ± 287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age at birth (weeks)</td>
<td>29.71 ± 1.4</td>
<td>31 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are reported as means ± SD.

Table 2. Allele frequency and genotype distribution among ROP patients and controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>ROP patients (N = 56)</th>
<th>Control group (N = 48)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I/I Genotype</td>
<td>10 (18%)</td>
<td>4 (8%)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I/D Genotype</td>
<td>23 (41%)</td>
<td>23 (48%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D/D Genotype</td>
<td>23 (41%)</td>
<td>21 (44%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence of I allele (%)</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence of D allele (%)</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are reported as number with percent in parentheses.

Table 3 shows the distribution of GSTM1 and GSTT1 polymorphisms among the patients and controls. While the number of patients carrying the GSTT1 null genotype was determined to be 18 (32%) among ROP patients, this was identified to be 8 (17%) among the control group. When the groups were compared in terms of GSTM1 polymorphism, the null genotype among the patient group was 29 (51%) while it was 25 (52%) in the control group. When the groups were compared in terms of GSTT1 and GSTM1 genotypes, no statistical significance was determined (P > 0.05).

Table 3. GSTM1, GSTT1 and GSTP1 polymorphism rates among ROP patients and controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genes</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>ROP patients (N = 56)</th>
<th>Control group (N = 48)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GSTT1</td>
<td>Null</td>
<td>18 (32%)</td>
<td>8 (17%)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>38 (68%)</td>
<td>40 (83%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTM1</td>
<td>Null</td>
<td>29 (51%)</td>
<td>25 (52%)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>27 (49%)</td>
<td>23 (48%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are reported as number with percent in parentheses.

DISCUSSION

While currently the ROP etiology is not well defined, studies conducted with large numbers of individuals report that factors like low birth weight and short gestational period are involved (Bossi and Koerner, 1995; Haider et al., 2002). Among infants with similar clinical characteristics, it was noted that while the advanced stage of ROP progresses in some, it regresses spontaneously in others. Genetic factors are reported to have some influence on the progression of the advanced stage of ROP among infants of white skin color when compared with infants with black skin color (Ng et al., 1988; Hutcheson et al., 2005; Shastry and Qu, 2007).

In our study, we investigated whether GST1, GSTM1 and ACE I/D gene polymorph-
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Phenotypes have an impact on ROP development risk. We showed that there is no correlation in terms of polymorphism when the patient group is compared with the control group.

In the study conducted by Haider et al. (2002), regarding the ACE I/D polymorphism in ROP patients, a significance was determined in terms of the I/I genotype, and a higher rate of the I/I genotype was detected in the patient group. On the other hand, in our study, when patient and control groups are compared, the rate of I/D and D/D genotypes was determined to be close, and even though the I/I genotype was determined to be higher in the patient group when compared to the control group, no significant difference was observed (Table 2) (P > 0.05).

Glutathione S-transferases can work as endogenous antioxidants to protect cells from oxidative stress. It is observed that the GSTT1 and GSTM1 null genotypes cause a decrease in enzyme activity and the oxidative stress inactivation is reduced especially in people with the GSTM1 null genotype (Van der Hel et al., 2003; Uzunoğlu et al., 2006). As far as we know, up to this date, there has been no study investigating ROP progress with GSTT1 and GSTM1 polymorphisms. We also analyzed the correlation of the GSTT1 and GSTM1 null genotypes as well as ACE polymorphism among the patient group compared to the control group. While the GSTM1 null genotype rate among patients and controls is similar (51 vs 52%) it is observed that the GSTT1 null genotype rate is higher among patients (32 vs 17%) (Table 3). When this was analyzed statistically, no significance was determined (P > 0.05).

There are certain genetic studies that may shed light on the pathogenesis related to the beginning and progress of this complex disease. In a study conducted by Shastry et al. (1997), a relationship was discovered among patients with advanced stage of ROP and the missense mutation of the Norrire disease gene. However, in another study, Haider et al. (2000, 2001) did not present an association with the missense mutation of the Norrire disease gene at the beginning or advanced stage. Besides, research was conducted on polymorphisms of genes of various factors including vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), insulin-like growth factor I receptor (IGF-IR), endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS), and angiopoietin 2, which may play a role in the progress of ROP disease. In one study, Rusai et al. (2008) analyzed the eNOS gene T-786C and the 27-bp repeat gene polymorphisms and asserted that the 27-bp repeat may play a role in the advanced level of ROP progress. No correlation was found in studies conducted with VEGF, IGF-IR and angiopoietin 2 gene polymorphisms. Bányázs et al. (2006), Kwinta et al. (2008), and Shastry (2009) have not identified any correlation between ROP progress and VEGF polymorphisms. Again, in studies conducted by Balogh et al. (2006) and Shastry (2007) no correlation was identified between IGF-IR gene G(+3174)A polymorphism and a tendency for the progress of the disease.

To conclude, no correlation was identified between patient and control groups, in terms of the ACE gene and I/D polymorphism as well as the GSTM1 and GSTT1 polymorphisms. Studies must be conducted on larger groups of cases to analyze the GSTP1 (Ile/Val) and other cytokine gene polymorphisms that might have a role in the etiopathogenesis of ROP.
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